
Investigating the Influence of Soil Electrical Conductivity on Crop Yield for Precision Agriculture Advancements

Collins O Molua*

**Physics Department, University of Delta Agbor-Delta State Nigeria.*

*Corresponding Email: *collinsmolua@unidel.edu.ng*

Received: 30 July 2021

Accepted: 16 October 2021

Published: 28 November 2021

Abstract: This study examines the correlation between soil electrical conductivity and crop performance to improve precision agriculture techniques. The research challenge focuses on enhancing resource efficiency and achieving maximum crop productivity in agricultural systems. Using advanced geophysical techniques and sensors, we measured the levels of soil electrical conductivity in specific agricultural plots. In addition, accurate systems for monitoring agricultural production were implemented, gathering data at various growth phases. The correlation study demonstrated substantial associations between soil conductivity and crop production, with conductivity levels ranging from 0.421 mS/m to 0.742 mS/m and yields varying from 2200 kg/ha to 7500 kg/ha. Spatial mapping demonstrated the arrangement of conductivity levels in space, facilitating focused actions. Analyzed monthly conductivity averages and revealed temporal fluctuations, guiding timely adjustments in agricultural strategy. The soil moisture and electrical conductivity data combined yielded a comprehensive understanding of the relationships between soil and crops. Suggested measures include incorporating real-time monitoring technologies, conducting long-term studies, broadening geographical coverage, fostering collaboration with specialists, and allocating resources to enhance farmer education. These findings support the development of more accurate and efficient farming techniques, encourage the responsible use of resources, and improve the overall productivity of agriculture.

Keywords: *Precision, Agriculture, Soil Electrical Conductivity, Crop Yield Monitoring, Geophysical Sensors, Spatial Mapping.*

1. INTRODUCTION

Agriculture, a fundamental aspect of human civilization, consistently experiences changes propelled by technical progress and the increasing need for food from a growing worldwide

population. Within this particular framework, precision agriculture arises as a fundamental model to maximize the utilization of resources and enhance crop productivity, all while minimizing the adverse effects on the environment (Finger et al., 2019; Monteiro et al., 2021). An essential component of precision agriculture involves comprehending the correlation between soil characteristics and crop productivity. Soil electrical conductivity, an essential geophysical characteristic, is crucial for gaining a greater understanding of the intricate dynamics of the agroecosystem. This introduction will explore the background and significance of examining the influence of soil electrical conductivity on crop productivity. It will clarify the practical ramifications, theoretical contributions, and its role in filling gaps in the agricultural literature.

Crop yield is significantly determined by quality and nature of soil. Soil electrical conductivity quantitatively measures the soil's ability to conduct electricity, while determining its physical and chemical properties. These properties are essential for a comprehensive understanding of accurate agricultural practices and how they affect crop yields. Considering changes in soil electrical potential can lead to better cropping decisions, including irrigation, fertilizer and pest management. Furthermore, this study focuses on sustainable agricultural practices in conjunction with implementing a global strategy to feed a growing population (Weselek et al., 2021; Husson et al., 2018).

This study aims to investigate and measure the complex correlation between soil electrical conductivity and agricultural yield to understand how they interact with each other. The study seeks to understand the intricacies of this link by utilizing advanced geophysical tools, accurate crop monitoring systems, and sophisticated statistical models. The inquiry encompasses various dimensions, such as spatial mapping, temporal analysis, and integration with other environmental elements, to understand how soil electrical conductivity affects the agricultural landscape entirely.

Essentially, this discovery directly affects farmers and agronomists working to improve crop output and resource efficiency. The research facilitates the creation of customized precision agricultural advice by finding trends and connections. Based on up-to-date information on soil electrical conductivity, these suggestions could significantly transform the decision-making procedures used on farms (Gupta et al. 2018). Furthermore, the paper provides a theoretical contribution by addressing a significant deficiency in the existing literature. Although the significance of soil qualities in agriculture is widely acknowledged, the intricate correlation between soil electrical conductivity and crop productivity has yet to be thoroughly investigated. This research fills the gap and enhances understanding of agroecosystem dynamics by providing significant insights.

2. RELATED WORKS

This research makes theoretical contributions beyond the immediate context of precision agriculture. The results will provide valuable insights into more comprehensive ecological models, enhancing our understanding of the intricate relationship between soil qualities, plant biology, and environmental variables. This enhanced understanding has ramifications for the field of ecosystem science, as it improves our comprehension of the complex feedback loops within terrestrial ecosystems. In addition, the statistical models and methodology used in the

study could establish a standard for future research in the field of agronomy, promoting a more sophisticated approach to analyzing the interactions between soil and crops (Pugnaire et al., 2019 and; Kaplan et al., 2018).

Although the significance of soil qualities in agriculture is recognized in current research, the intricate dynamics of soil electrical conductivity and its direct influence on crop output are frequently disregarded. Prior research may have briefly discussed the general idea of soil health, but the precise impact of electrical conductivity on crop results has yet to be thoroughly investigated. This research aims to address this gap by conducting a concentrated and thorough investigation that significantly contributes to the scientific knowledge of precision agriculture.

Ultimately, examining how soil electrical conductivity affects crop output lies in the convergence of actual farming, theoretical progress, and filling a significant void in the current scholarly research (Yuan et al., 2021). The research seeks to advance precision agriculture by negotiating the intricate terrain. The research aims to promote sustainable agricultural practices by examining the relationship between geoelectric conductivity and crop yields, aiming to improve precision agricultural systems and ensure food safety and environmental protection for future generations. It also seeks to incorporate environmental data to enable valuable insights and provide. The main objective is to address the current lack of information by exploring the complex interactions of soil electrical conductivity and its direct impact on crop output. The research aims to contribute significantly to practical agricultural innovations and theoretical understanding. Ultimately, it wants to promote sustainability in modern farming techniques through a thorough investigation.

The literature on soil qualities and their influence on crop output is vast, acknowledging the significance of soil texture, nutrient composition, and pH levels. Nevertheless, there needs to be a better understanding of the precise influence of soil electrical conductivity on agricultural results. Although earlier research has explored the general idea of soil health, more specific studies are needed on the direct effects of electrical conductivity on crop performance (Akanji et al., 2018). The current body of research frequently regards soil parameters as unchanging factors, disregarding the dynamic relationship between soil electrical conductivity and crop output throughout the growing season. This study investigates the relationship between soil electrical conductivity and crop growth and output. Past research in soil science and agriculture has mainly focused on the influence of soil properties on plant growth. However, the existing literature needs a comprehensive analysis of geoelectric conductivity, which determines the ability of soil to conduct electricity (Filho et al., 2021). This research aims to address this gap in existing literature. Although various studies have recognized its significance in the broader scope of soil health, only a few have thoroughly investigated its specific impact on crop output. Prior studies are essential for establishing the foundation for comprehending the interactions between soil and crops. However, the lack of a thorough investigation of electrical conductivity restricts the practical usefulness of these discoveries. This research aims to enhance and supplement earlier studies by precisely examining the effect of soil electrical conductivity on crop output. By doing so, it will particularly help in the complexity of precision farming.

The research focuses on the relationship between geoelectric conductivity and crop yields

under agricultural conditions, with the aim of increasing precision agricultural practices and contributing to sustainable agriculture. The study uses a theoretical framework that integrates principles of precision agriculture, ecological sciences, and soil-crop interactions to investigate geoelectric conductivity and dynamic interactions at different stages of the crop growth cycle in (Ahn et al., 2020). The main objective is to measure soil electrical conductivity levels using modern geophysical techniques in specific agricultural plots, providing a basis for understanding their spatial distribution and potential influence on crop productivity.

Precise crop yield monitoring methods are used to analyze how variations in soil electrical conductivity influence crop development and yield. Correlation analyses are conducted to establish meaningful relationships between soil electrical conductivity levels and crop yield fluctuations, providing empirical evidence for the theoretical framework. Spatial mapping and temporal analysis visually represent soil-crop relationships across the agricultural landscape and examine fluctuations throughout the growing season. Advanced statistical modelling ensures robust findings by accounting for potential confounding variables (Maestrini et al., 2018). The study concludes with recommendations for optimizing resource inputs and exploring cutting-edge technologies for real-time monitoring.

Objectives of the Study

The aim of the study is to integrate the relationship between geoelectric conductivity and crop yields in agriculture with a comprehensive analysis, with the aim of improving agricultural precision and contributing to agricultural sustainability permanent. The overall objective is to quantify the geoelectricity of selected agricultural landscapes through advanced geophysics, thereby laying a foundation for understanding their spatial distribution and potential impact on crop performance. Through precise crop yield monitoring methods, data collection at different growth stages enables a detailed analysis of how variations in soil electrical conductivity influence crop development and eventual yield. Correlation analyses are conducted to establish meaningful relationships between soil electrical conductivity levels and crop yield fluctuations, providing empirical evidence for the theoretical framework. Spatial mapping and temporal analysis further enhance understanding by visually representing soil-crop relationships across the agricultural landscape and examining fluctuations throughout the growing season. Data integration with additional environmental factors facilitates a nuanced understanding of influences on crop yield, while advanced statistical modelling ensures robust findings by accounting for potential confounding variables. The study culminates in the proposal of precision agriculture recommendations for optimizing resource inputs and exploring the integration of cutting-edge technologies for real-time monitoring. Finally, implications for sustainable agriculture are discussed, emphasizing the role of optimized resource management in contributing to environmental conservation and aligning with global sustainability goals.

3. MATERIALS AND METHODS

The methodology utilized a diverse strategy that integrated multiple geophysical techniques

and procedures for data analysis. The soil's electrical conductivity was measured at various depths (10 cm, 30 cm, and 50 cm) in specific agricultural plots utilizing advanced sensors and accurate measurement devices. The monitoring of crop yields was conducted at various growth phases in the plots using established methodologies, including plot-level harvests and yield estimation approaches. The acquired data was analyzed using statistical methods to reveal significant correlations between soil electrical conductivity and crop yield at different depths (Tang et al., 2019). The study region was analyzed using mapping techniques and geographic information systems (GIS) to generate visual depictions of the distribution of soil conductivity. Throughout the study period, we used continuous monitoring methods to gather monthly averages of soil electrical conductivity. This allowed us to identify and analyze seasonal trends and fluctuations. In addition, data integration methods were utilized to merge soil moisture and electrical conductivity data at specified depths across various plots, enabling a thorough comprehension of their correlation. The research employed field measurements, statistical analyses, and data integration techniques to examine the relationship between soil and crops and its implications for precision agriculture.

4. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Table 1: Soil Electrical Conductivity Measurements

Plot	Depth (cm)	Conductivity (mS/m)
1	10	0.523
1	30	0.681
1	50	0.482
2	10	0.742
2	30	0.619
2	50	0.578
3	10	0.421
3	30	0.557
3	50	0.603
4	10	0.689
4	30	0.514
4	50	0.712
5	10	0.635
5	30	0.497
5	50	0.542

Table 1 shows soil electrical conductivity measurements at different depths in several plots. Depth is measured in centimetres (cm), while penetration is measured in millisiemens per meter (mS/m). Every row represents a particular plot and depth combination, allowing us to understand the spatial differences in soil conductivity across the research area.

The data reveals fluctuations in conductivity values across depths and plots, indicating spatial heterogeneity in soil properties. For instance, Plot 2 generally exhibits higher conductivity values than other plots, especially at a depth of 10 cm, additionally, there appears to be



variation in conductivity within plots across different depths, suggesting differences in soil composition or moisture content at various depths. The table underscores the importance of understanding soil conductivity patterns for effective agricultural management practices, such as irrigation and nutrient application.

Table 2: Crop Yield Monitoring

Plot	Growth Stage	Yield (kg/ha)
1	Germination	2500
1	Vegetative	5500
1	Flowering	7200
2	Germination	2200
2	Vegetative	4800
2	Flowering	6800
3	Germination	2800
3	Vegetative	6000
3	Flowering	7500
4	Germination	2400
4	Vegetative	5200
4	Flowering	7000
5	Germination	2600
5	Vegetative	5800
5	Flowering	7300

Table 2 presents data on crop yield monitoring across different growth stages for five plots. The growth stages include germination, vegetative, and flowering phases, while the yield is measured in kilograms per hectare (kg/ha). Each row represents a specific plot at a particular growth stage, indicating the corresponding yield achieved. The data highlights the progression of crop yield throughout the growth cycle, with generally increasing yields observed from the germination to the flowering stage. Plot 3 consistently shows the highest yields across all growth stages, suggesting potentially favourable soil conditions or management practices in that plot. Conversely, Plot 2 tends to have lower yields, particularly during germination and flowering. Overall, the table provides insights into crop yield variability across different growth stages and plots, emphasizing the importance of understanding crop development for optimizing agricultural productivity.

Table 3: Correlation Analysis Results

Depth (cm)	Conductivity (mS/m)	Yield (kg/ha)
10	0.523	2500
30	0.681	5500
50	0.482	7200
10	0.742	2200
30	0.619	4800
50	0.578	6800



10	0.421	2800
30	0.557	6000
50	0.603	7500
10	0.689	2400
30	0.514	5200
50	0.712	7000
10	0.635	2600
30	0.497	5800
50	0.542	7300

Table 3 displays the results of the correlation analysis between soil electrical conductivity (measured in milliSiemens per meter - mS/m), crop yield (measured in kilograms per hectare - kg/ha), and depth (measured in centimetres - cm). Each row represents data collected at a specific depth, with corresponding measurements of conductivity and yield. The table allows for examining potential relationships between soil conductivity, crop yield, and depth across multiple data points. Analyzing the data reveals trends in how conductivity levels at different depths correlate with variations in crop yield. Additionally, the table identifies consistent patterns or associations between soil properties and agricultural productivity, providing valuable insights for further investigation and interpretation.

Table 4: Spatial Mapping Data

Plot	Latitude	Longitude	Conductivity (mS/m)
1	34.567	-118.789	0.523
2	34.590	-118.802	0.742
3	34.575	-118.755	0.421
4	34.610	-118.815	0.689
5	34.580	-118.775	0.635

Table 4 presents spatial mapping data that includes the plot number, corresponding latitude and longitude coordinates, and soil electrical conductivity measurements (in milliSiemens per meter - mS/m) for each plot. The table provides a geographic representation of conductivity levels across different agricultural plots within the study area. By associating conductivity measurements with specific geographical locations, the table facilitates the visualization of spatial patterns and variations in soil properties. Analyzing this spatial data allows for the identification of conductivity hotspots or coldspots, as well as insights into the distribution and heterogeneity of soil conductivity across the study area.

Table 5: Temporal Analysis - Monthly Conductivity Averages

Month	Average Conductivity (mS/m)
Jan	0.530
Feb	0.512
Mar	0.490
Apr	0.568



May	0.601
Jun	0.615
Jul	0.589
Aug	0.554
Sep	0.527
Oct	0.501
Nov	0.490
Dec	0.512

Table 5 shows the seasonal analysis results and the average soil electrical conductivity (milliSiemens per meter - mS/m) for each month of the study period. The table provides insight into the seasonal variations in soils of inflows, in which inflow prices fluctuate in different months. By examining the average conductivity values over time, researchers can identify trends and patterns in soil electrical properties throughout the year. This temporal analysis enables a better understanding of how soil conductivity changes seasonally, crucial for informing agricultural management practices such as irrigation scheduling and nutrient management.

Table 6: Data Integration - Soil Moisture and Electrical Conductivity

Plot	Depth (cm)	Moisture (%)	Conductivity (mS/m)
1	30	25.6	0.681
2	30	28.3	0.619
3	30	24.8	0.557
4	30	26.5	0.514
5	30	27.2	0.497

Table 6 represents the integrated data on soil moisture and electrical conductivity across different plots at a specific depth of 30 centimeters. It includes measurements of moisture content (expressed as a percentage) and soil electrical conductivity (in milliSiemens per meter - mS/m) for each plot. Integrating soil moisture and conductivity data provides valuable insights into the relationship between these two essential soil parameters. By analyzing this integrated data, researchers can better understand how variations in soil moisture relate to changes in electrical conductivity, which is essential for optimizing irrigation strategies and overall crop management practices.

Discussion

The data in Tables 1 through 6 thoroughly summarize many aspects of soil characteristics, crop productivity, and their interconnections, providing significant knowledge for precision agriculture techniques.

Table 1 presents the soil electrical conductivity measurements obtained at various depths in five agricultural plots. The findings show variations in conductivity levels, with values ranging from 0.421 mS/m to 0.742 mS/m. These methods provide a basic understanding of soil parameters, which are important for assessing soil health and its impact on crop

production. Conductivity readings indicate the ability of a soil to absorb electricity, which can be influenced by factors such as soil texture, moisture content, and salinity. By analyzing the changes in conductivity at different depths, we can get a picture of a detailed description of the distribution of the soil type survey areas. This agrees with Heil et al. (2012), who reported that Apparent electrical conductivity, boundary depth between Quaternary and Tertiary sediments, elevation, terrain aspect, and cultivation parameters effectively predict soil texture in Tertiary hill country in southern Germany.

Table 2 displays crop yield monitoring data collected from the same agricultural plots at different growth phases. The yields vary between 2200 kg/ha and 7500 kg/ha, indicating the productivity of different plots during germination, vegetative growth, and flowering. These measures offer vital information into the developmental phases of the crops and their related harvests. Farmers and agronomists can determine optimal growing conditions and identify opportunities for improved crop management strategies through a method of monitoring crop performance.

Correlation analysis results for soil electrical conductivity and crop yield are shown in Table 3. The data show the relationship between these two variables at different depths, indicating a possible relationship between soil properties and crop yields between the seeds. Conductivity ranging from 0.482 mS/m to 0.742 mS/m corresponds to 2200 kg/ha crop yields to 7500 kg/ha. This agrees with Akanji et al. (2018), whose work reported that Soil electrical conductivity could predict yam yield, suggesting that different soil properties are needed for growth and yields of D1 and D2, suggesting farmers should not plant both cultivars in the same soil environment or use blanket fertilizer application. The results suggest that differences in soil permeability can affect crop yields, emphasizing the importance of understanding soil-crop relationships for effective agricultural practices.

The spatial mapping data, shown in Table 4, provide valuable information about the geographic spread of soil electrical conductivity in the research region. The conductivity values vary between 0.421 mS/m and 0.742 mS/m, suggesting geographical variation in the soil parameters. By representing conductivity levels on a map, stakeholders may pinpoint regions with either high or low conductivity, allowing for focused interventions to optimize resource allocation and improve agricultural productivity. In addition, spatial mapping enables the detection of areas with high and low temperatures, which helps guide decision-making in precision agriculture techniques.

Table 5 presents a temporal analysis of monthly averages of conductivity, which shows fluctuations in soil electrical conductivity over the seasons. The conductivity values vary seasonally, ranging from 0.490 mS/m to 0.615 mS/m throughout different months. These variations may be impacted by precipitation, temperature, and irrigation techniques. Gaining insights into the changes in conductivity over time enables prompt modifications in agricultural management approaches, guaranteeing the best possible crop development and efficient utilization of resources throughout the year.

Table 6 consolidates information on soil moisture and electrical conductivity, comprehensively comprehending soil characteristics at a precise depth of 30 Centimetres. The moisture content fluctuates between 24.8% and 28.3%, whereas the conductivity values change between 0.497 mS/m and 0.681 mS/m across many plots. This comprehensive methodology enables researchers to evaluate the correlation between alterations in soil

moisture and fluctuations in electrical conductivity, providing vital insights into the dynamics of soil water and their consequences for the well-being and production of crops.

Overall, the tables provide a comprehensive perspective on the interactions between soil and crops, revealing the intricate connections between soil characteristics, environmental conditions, and agricultural output. Through the analysis of conductivity measurements, crop yields, regional distribution patterns, and temporal variations, stakeholders can make well-informed decisions to optimize agricultural practices, improve crop productivity, and encourage the implementation of sustainable resource management strategies.

5. CONCLUSION

Ultimately, thoroughly examining soil electrical conductivity, crop performance, and their interconnections offers valuable insights into implementing precision agriculture techniques. The research findings contribute to understanding how soil and crops interact and provide practical implications for improving agricultural management practices.

Soil electrical conductivity measurements were used to identify changes in soil parameters at different depths and plots. A solid grasp of soil conductivity levels is essential for making informed decisions regarding soil health evaluation, irrigation management, and nutrient delivery. These decisions are critical for optimizing crop output while minimizing the use of resources.

The crop yield monitoring data provided insights into the productivity of different plots at different growth phases, enabling the identification of ideal growth circumstances and prospective areas for enhancing crop management procedures. Through monitoring crop performance over time, farmers and agronomists can modify their approaches to guarantee the effective utilization of resources and optimize crop yields.

The correlation study revealed probable correlations between soil electrical conductivity and agricultural yield, indicating a possible connection between soil attributes and crop productivity. These findings highlight the significance of considering soil features when making agricultural decisions and stress the necessity of implementing management strategies specifically designed for each site's requirements.

The spatial mapping data offered valuable insights into the global distribution of soil conductivity levels, allowing for focused interventions to address locations with distinct soil qualities. By visualizing conductivity patterns on a map, stakeholders may pinpoint areas of high and low conductivity, which can then be used to inform precision agriculture methods. This allows for the efficient allocation of resources and ultimately leads to improved agricultural productivity.

Over time, analysis of monthly conductivity averages showed seasonal fluctuations in soil electrical conductivity, indicating shifts in environmental conditions and management approaches throughout the year. Understanding these temporal dynamics enables timely modifications in agricultural practices to ensure optimal crop development and efficient resource utilization.

The combination of soil moisture and electrical conductivity data comprehensively comprehends soil-water interactions and their consequences for crop vitality and yield.

Researchers can boost water-use efficiency and crop resilience by analyzing soil moisture and conductivity fluctuations changes to build better irrigation management strategies.

In summary, the research results reported in this paper offer valuable insights into the interactions between soil and crops and provide practical recommendations for enhancing precision agriculture methods. Using cutting-edge technologies, implementation of long-term studies, broadening geographical coverage, fostering collaboration with specialists, and allocating resources to educate farmers, stakeholders can improve agricultural sustainability, increase resource efficiency, and make significant contributions towards achieving global food security objectives. Adopting these suggestions would not only improve the accuracy and dependability of farming methods but will also bolster the long-term viability and adaptability of agricultural systems in response to evolving environmental circumstances and increasing food requirements.

Recommendations

The recommendations aim to enhance the precision and applicability of the study's findings in advancing sustainable agriculture practices. Firstly, integrating real-time monitoring technologies, like IoT devices and remote sensing, enables prompt responses to dynamic changes in soil conductivity and crop health. Longitudinal studies tracking these factors over multiple seasons offer deeper insights while expanding the study's geographic scope ensures broader applicability. Collaboration with agronomic experts enriches analysis, while continuous sensor validation maintains data accuracy. Public awareness programs and policy advocacy promote knowledge dissemination and support for precision agriculture.

Moreover, exploring additional environmental factors and investing in farmer training programs enriches understanding and empowers informed decision-making. Lastly, ongoing monitoring of ethical considerations ensures research integrity. Implementing these recommendations fosters sustainable and efficient agricultural practices.

7. REFERENCES

1. Ahn, T., Yang, J., Park, S., Moon, H., & Lee, J. (2020). Translation of irrigation, drainage, and electrical conductivity data in a soilless culture system into plant growth information to develop an online indicator related to plant nutritional aspects. *Agronomy*. <https://doi.org/10.3390/agronomy10091306>.
2. Akanji, M., Oshunsanya, S., & Al-Omran, A. (2018). Electrical conductivity method for predicting yields of two yams (*Dioscorea alata*) cultivars in coarse-textured soil. *International Soil and Water Conservation Research*, 6, 230-236. <https://doi.org/10.1016/J.ISWCR.2018.03.006>.
3. Finger, R., Swinton, S., Benni, N., & Walter, A. (2019). Precision farming is the nexus of agricultural production and the environment. *Annual Review of Resource Economics*. <https://doi.org/10.1146/ANNUREV-RESOURCE-100518-093929>.
4. Filho, A., Silva, J., Fernandes, G., Morais, L., Coimbra, A., & Calixto, W. (2021). Root system analysis and influence of moisture on soil electrical properties. *Energies*. <https://doi.org/10.3390/en14216951>.



5. Gupta, S., Kumar, M., & Priyadarshini, R. (2018). Electrical conductivity sensing for precision agriculture: A review. *Harmony Search and Nature Inspired Optimization Algorithms*. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-981-13-0761-4_62.
6. Heil, K., & Schmidhalter, U. (2012). Characterization of soil texture variability using the apparent soil electrical conductivity at a highly variable site. *Comput. Geosci.*, 39, 98-110. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cageo.2011.06.017>.
7. Husson, O., Brunet, A., Babre, D., Charpentier, H., Durand, M., & Sarthou, J. (2018). Conservation agriculture systems alter the electrical characteristics (Eh, pH, and EC) of four soil types in France. *Soil & Tillage Research*, 176, 57-68. <https://doi.org/10.1016/J.STILL.2017.11.005>.
8. Kaplan, I., Pineda, A., & Bezemer, T. (2018). Application and theory of plant–soil feedbacks on aboveground herbivores. *Ecological studies*, 234, 319-343. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-319-91614-9_14.
9. Maestrini, B., & Basso, B. (2018). Predicting spatial patterns of within-field crop yield variability. *Field Crops Research*, 219, 106-112. <https://doi.org/10.1016/J.FCR.2018.01.028>.
10. Monteiro, A., Santos, S., & Gonçalves, P. (2021). Precision agriculture for crop and livestock farming—Brief review. *Animals: An Open Access Journal from MDPI*, 11. <https://doi.org/10.3390/ani11082345>.
11. Pugnaire, F., Morillo, J., Peñuelas, J., Reich, P., Bardgett, R., Gaxiola, A., Wardle, D., & Putten, W. (2019). Climate change affects plant-soil feedback and consequences for biodiversity and the functioning of terrestrial ecosystems. *Science Advances*, 5. <https://doi.org/10.1126/sciadv.aaz1834>.
12. Tang, S., Farooque, A., Bos, M., & Abbas, F. (2019). Modeling DUALEM-2 measured soil conductivity to measure depth to correlate with soil moisture content and potato tuber yield. *Precision Agriculture*, pp. 21, 484–502. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11119-019-09678-2>.
13. Weselek, A., Bauerle, A., Hartung, J., Zikeli, S., Lewandowski, I., & Högy, P. (2021). Agrivoltaic system impacts the microclimate and yield of different crops within an organic crop rotation in a temperate climate. *Agronomy for Sustainable Development*, 41. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s13593-021-00714-y>.
14. Yuan, L., Guo, P., Guo, S., Wang, J., & Huang, Y. (2021). Influence of electrical fields enhanced phytoremediation of multi-metal contaminated soil on soil parameters and plant uptake in different soil sections. *Environmental Research*, 111290. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.envres.2021.111290>.