

# On Supra iR-Open Set in Topological Spaces and Some Applications

# Sumaya A. Abed\*

\*Minisitry of Education, Al-Zohour Middle School, Mosul, Iraq.

Corresponding Email: \*sumayaabdulelah@gmail.com

Received: 27 July 2023 Accepted: 13 October 2023 Published: 01 December 2023

Abstract: In this work we introduce the supra iR-open set in supra topological spaces. , a novel class of supra i-open sets. This class of sets exactly lies between supra regular open and supra i- open sets. We also look at its basic characteristics and compare it to other sorts of data supra semi open sets types as supra i- open set, supra regular open, regular open, regular closed, clopen, supra semi regular open set and supra clopen . By using this set , We introduce and define the notion of supra totally iR-continuous , supra iR-irresolute map and investigate some of its properties. We prove that every supra totally iR- continuous function is supra iR-irresolute, every totally i $\alpha$ -continuous function is supra totally iR-continuous, on the other hand, we give examples to show that the convers may not be true. At last , We have proved some theorem to discuss the properties of supra iR-normal space and supra iR-regular space

Keywords: Supra iR-Open Set, Aupra Totally iR-continuous, Supra iR-irresolute.

# 1. INTRODUCTION

In 1983, Mashhour [1] explored s-continuous and s\*-continuous maps and established supra topological spaces. Thus, where the supra topological space is offered, the supra open sets are defined. Every topological space is a supra topological space, as we have known. Each open set is therefore a supra open set. The opposite, however, is not always true. It is not necessary to consider the intersection requirement to have a supra topological space. Supra  $\alpha$ -open sets and supra  $\alpha$ -continuous maps were created and researched as a kind of set and map between topo-logical spaces by Deve [11] in 2008. In 2012, Mohammed and Askandar [2] proposed the notion of *i*-open sets, which they could combine with a variety of other generalized open set concepts. In 2016, Jardo, presented the notions of supra *i*-open sets and discussed the notions of supra i-continuous maps, supra *i*-open maps, and supra *i*-closed maps in topological spaces[7]. The concept of supra totally  $\pi$ gr- continuous function in supra topological spaces



was presented by C. Janaki and V. Jeyanthi [6] in 2015. New Types of Perfectly Supra Continuous Functions was presented by Humadi and Ali in [10]. Shaheen. S. A. [12] introduced the concept of iR-open sets. Supra iR-open sets are now presented. Supra iR-open sets are characterized and properties are obtained. As applications some new classes of functions namely Supra totally iR-continuous and supra iR-irresolute map are presented. Moreover, we investigate the relationship between these functions and other related classes of functions are also developed. last, For supra iR-open sets, we provide new weak separation axioms, and we show that supra totally iR-continuous function is related to supra iR-separation axioms.

# 2. RESEARCH METHOD

During this paper  $(P, \mu)$  (simply P) denote supra topo-logical spaces on which, unless otherwise stated, no separation axioms are imposed. Let P be a space and  $E \subseteq P$ , the closure of E and the interior of E will be denoted by Cl(E) and Int(E) respectively. The supra closure of E and supra interior of E will be denoted by  $cl^{\mu}(E)$  and  $int^{\mu}(E)$  respectively. P-E is the complement of E.

# 2.1 Definition [5]

Supra topology on *P* refers to a subfamily of *P*. if :

1)  $P, \emptyset \in \mu$ . 2) If  $E_i \in \mu$  for every one  $i \in J$ , then  $\bigcup E_i \in \mu$ .

A supra topo-logical space refers to the pair  $(E, \mu)$ . The elements of in  $(E, \mu)$  are referred to as supra open sets. while the complement of supra open set is known as supra closed set.

# **2.2 Definition** [5]

(1) The symbol cl<sup>µ</sup> (E) represents a set's supra closure and is defined as cl<sup>µ</sup> (E) =∩{F : F is supra closed and E ⊆ F}.
(2) The symbol int<sup>µ</sup>(E) represents a set's supra interior and is defined as int<sup>µ</sup> (E) =∩{F : F is supra open and F ⊆ E}.

# 2.3 Definition [1]

Let  $(P,\tau)$  denote a topo-logical space and  $\mu$  a supra topology on P. We consider  $\mu$  a supra topo-logy associated with if  $\tau \subset \mu$ .

# **2.4 Definition**

The following is a subset E of a topo-logical space P:

- 1. regular open sets [8] if E = Int(cl(E)), and regular closed if E = cl(int(E))
- 2. *i*-open set [2][4] if  $\subseteq cl(E \cap U)$ , where  $\exists U \in \tau$  and  $U \neq P, \emptyset$ .
- 3.  $\alpha$ -open set, denoted by  $\alpha$ -*OS*, if  $E \subseteq Int (cl(Int(E))[3])$
- 4. *ia*-open set [3] *if*  $E \subseteq Cl(E \cap U)$ , where  $\exists U \in \alpha O(P)$  and  $U \neq \emptyset, P$ .
- 5. If  $\vec{E}$  is both open and closed, clopen is set.
- 6. *iR*-open set [12][13] if  $E \subseteq Cl(E \cap U)$ , where  $U \in RO(E)$  and  $U \neq \emptyset$ , *P*.
- 7. supra regular open set [8] if  $E = int^{\mu}(cl^{\mu}(E))$ .
- 8. supra semi regular open set [9] if there is a supra regular open set  $U^{\mu}$  such that



 $U^{\mu} \subseteq E \subseteq cl^{\mu}(U^{\mu}).$ 9. supra *i*-open set [7] if  $E \subseteq cl^{\mu}(E \cap U^{\mu})$  where  $\exists U^{\mu} \in \mu$  and  $U^{\mu} \neq P, \emptyset$ .

Close sets are the complement of the above open sets. The most important family of all open (or. supra regular open, supra semi regular open, supra *i*-open, *i* $\alpha$ -open, supra clopen, supra *iR*-open, regular closed) sets of supra topo-logical space are denoted by  $\tau$  (resp.  $R^{\mu}O(P)$ ,  $SR^{\mu}O(P)$ ,  $i^{\mu}O(P)$ ,  $i\alpha O(P)$ ,  $CO^{\mu}(P)$ ,  $iR^{\mu}O(P)$ , RC(P). The definitions that follow will be helpful in the sequel.

# **2.5 Definition**

Allow *P* and *K* be a topo-logical space, a map  $M: P \longrightarrow K$  is said to be :

- 1. strongly continuous [14] if  $M^{-1}(U) \in CO(P), \forall U \in K$ .
- 2.  $i\alpha$  totally continuous [3] if  $M^{-1}(U) \in CO(P)$ ,  $\forall U \in i\alpha O(K)$ .
- 3. *i*-irresolute [3] if  $M^{-1}(U) \in iO(P) \forall U \in iO(K)$ .

We recall the following definitions and results.

## **2.6 Lemma** [12][13]

Every regular open set and regular closed set and clopen set is an *iR*-open set.

## **2.7 Lemma** [12][13]

In a topo-logical space  $(P,\tau)$ , every *iR*-open set is an *i* $\alpha$ -open set.

## **2.8 Lemma** [9]

In a topo-logical space, an open set is any regular open set.

## 2.9 Lemma [8]

Any supra regular open is supra open.

## 3. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

We now offer a different kind of supra open sets known as supra iR-open sets and examine how they relate to other supra open sets such as supra open, supra regular open, supra semi regular open, supra *i*-open, and supra clopen.

## 3.1 Definition

Consider the topo-logical space  $(P, \mu)$ . If there exist a supra regular open set  $U^{\mu} \neq P, \emptyset$  such that  $E \subseteq cl^{\mu}(E \cap U^{\mu})$ , the set *E* is called supra *iR*-open set. Supra *iR*-closed set is the complement of supra *iR*-open set.  $iR^{\mu}O(P)$  stands for the universal family supra *iR*-open sets of topo-logical space.

## 3.2 Example

Assume that  $(P, \mu)$  is a supra topo-logical space with  $P = \{b, a, c\}, \mu = \{P, \{a\}, \{b, a\}, \{c, b\}, \emptyset\}$ .  $\{c, b\}, \emptyset\}$ .  $iR^{\mu}O(P) = \{P, \{b\}, \{a\}, \{c\}, \{b, c\}, \emptyset\}$ .



## **3.3 Proposition**

Every regular open set and regular closed set and clopen set is supra iR-open set. Proof: Due to lemma 2.6 and the fact that every iR-open set is a supra iR-open set,

# 3.4 Theorem

A supra *iR*-open set is a supra semi regular open set.

Proof : Allow any supra semi regular open set  $(P, \mu)$  to be E. Then, according to the explanation given of supra semi regular open set, There is a regular open set  $U^{\mu}$  such that  $U^{\mu} \subseteq E \subseteq cl^{\mu}(U^{\mu})....(1)$ , Since  $U^{\mu} \subseteq E$  then  $E \cap U^{\mu} = U^{\mu}$  .....(2) From(1) and (2) we obtain  $E \subseteq cl^{\mu}(A \cap U^{\mu})$ . Thus *E* is an *iR*-open set

## 3.5 Proposition

Each and every supra *iR*-open set also exist as a supra i-open set.

Proof : Allow any *E* be any supra *iR*-open set in (*P*,  $\mu$ ). Then according definition of supra *iR*-open set, there exist a supra regular open set  $U^{\mu} \neq E$ ,  $\emptyset$  like that  $E \subseteq cl^{\mu}(E \cap U^{\mu})$ . as a result that every supra regular open is a supra open set by lemma 2.9, Then  $U^{\mu} \in \mu$ . We obtain  $E \subseteq cl^{\mu}(E \cap U^{\mu})$  where  $\exists U^{\mu} \in \mu$  and  $U^{\mu} \neq P, \emptyset$ . Thus *E* is supra *i*-open set

## 3.6 Proposition

There is a supra *iR*-open set for each supra regular open set.

Proof: Let *E* be any supra regular open set in  $(P, \mu)$ . We prove that *E* is supra *iR*-open set if there exist  $U^{\mu} \in R^{\mu}O(E)$  such that  $E \subseteq cl^{\mu}(E \cap U^{\mu})$ . Since *E* is supra regular open set by definition of supra regular open set  $E = int^{\mu}(cl^{\mu}(A))$ . Put  $E = U^{\mu}$ , we get  $E \subseteq cl^{\mu}(E \cap E) = cl^{\mu}(E)$ . This mean *A* is supra *iR*-open set

The following examples shows that the convers of proposition 3.4, proposition 3.5, proposition 3.6, in general is not true.

## 3.7 Example

 $P = K = \{a, c, b\}, \tau = \{P, \{a\}, \{b, c\}, \emptyset\}, CO(P) = \{\emptyset, \{b, c\}, \{a\}, P\}, RO(P) = \{P, \{a\}, \{b, c\}, \emptyset\} = RC(P), \mu = \{\emptyset, \{a\}, \{b, c\}, P\}, R^{\mu}O(P) = \{\emptyset, \{a\}, \{c, b\}, P\}, SR^{\mu}O(P) = \{\emptyset, \{a\}, \{c, b\}, P\}, iR^{\mu}O(P) = \{\emptyset, \{a\}, \{b, c\}, P\}, i^{\mu}O(P) = \{\emptyset, \{a\}, \{c\}, \{b, c\}, P\}, i^{\mu}O(P) = \{\emptyset, \{a\}, \{c\}, \{b, c\}, P\}.$ 

## 3.8 Remark

Every supra clopen is supra regular open set according to the definition of this term, and hence by proposition 3.6 is supra iR- open set.

The intersection of supra iR-open sets is not required to be supra iR-open set as showed in the example below:

## 3.9 Example

 $P = \{a,c,b\}, \mu = \{\emptyset, \{b\}, \{a\}, \{b,a\}, P\}, iR^{\mu}O(P) = \{\emptyset, \{a\}, \{b\}, \{a,c\}, \{b,c\}, P\}, then \{a,c\} \cap \{b,c\} = \{c\} \notin iR^{\mu}O(P)$ .

As shown in Example 3.9, A supra *iR*-open set not necessarily their union of other supra *iR*-open sets. {a} ∪ {b} = {a,b} ∉ *iR<sup>µ</sup>O(P)*



- The supra *iR*-open sets are independent of supra open sets as shown in the two examples : In example 3.9 we note that {a,b} is supra open set but it isn't supra *iR*-open set and in example 3.2, the set {b} is supra *iR*-open set isn't supra open set.
- The following implications can be seen as a result of the foregoing discussion and known results :



Figure1. The relationships of supra iR-open sets with other classes mentioned above

#### Mapping that are Supra Totally Ir-Continuous and Supra Ir-Irresolute

This section includes the notion of supra *iR*-totally cont. and supra *iR*-irresolute map

#### 3.10 Definition

Consider *P* and *K* be a topo-logical space, a map  $M : P \rightarrow K$  is stated to be :

- supra totally (perfectly) *iR*-continuous if  $M^{-1}(U) \in CO^{\mu}(P) \forall U \in iRO(K)$ .
- supra *iR*-irresolute, if  $M^{-1}(U) \in iR^{\mu}O(P) \forall U \in iRO(K)$ .

## 3.11 Proposition

Every supra totally *iR*- continuous function is supra *iR*-irresolute.

Proof: Let V be any *iR*-open set in K and  $M: P \to K$  be supra totally *iR*-continuous function. M is supra totally *iR*-continuous function, hence,  $M^{-1}(V) \in CO^{\mu}(P)$ . Because, every supra clopen set is spura regular open set, so V is supra regular open set then by proposition 3.6  $M^{-1}(V)$  supra *iR*-open set in P. So M is supra *iR*-irresolute The following example explains how the opposite of the aforementioned need not be true.

## 3.12 Example

 $P = \{a,b,c\}, \mu = \{\emptyset, \{c,b\}, \{a\}, P\}, CO^{\mu}(P) = \{\emptyset, \{b,c\}, \{a\}, P\}, \sigma = \{\emptyset, \{a\}, \{b\}, \{a,b\}, K\}, iRO(K) = \{\emptyset, \{a\}, \{b\}, \{c\}, \{b,c\}, K\}, iR^{\mu}O(P) = \{\emptyset, \{a\}, \{b\}, \{c\}, \{b,c\}, P\}$  The identity mapping  $M : P \rightarrow K$  is clearly supra *iR*-irresolute but M is not supra totally *iR*-continuous.

## 3.13 Proposition

Every supra totally *iR*- continuous function is supra *i*-irresolute



Proof: The same proof because every supra iR-open set is supra i-open set. The following example demonstrates how the opposite of the previous requirement must not be true.

## 3.14 Example

 $P = K = \{a, b, c\}, \mu = \{\emptyset, \{a\}, \{a, b\}, \{b, c\}, P\}, \sigma = \{\emptyset, \{a\}, \{b, c\}, K\}$   $CO^{\mu}(P) = \{\emptyset, \{a\}, \{b, c\}, \{c\}, P\}, iO(P) = \{\emptyset, \{a\}, \{b\}, \{c\}, \{a, b\}, \{b, c\}, P\}$   $iO(K) = \{\emptyset, \{a\}, \{b\}, \{c\}, \{b, c\}, K\}, iRO(K) = \{\emptyset, \{a\}, \{b\}, \{c\}, \{b, c\}, K\}.$ Although *f* is not supra totally *iR*- continuous, it is obvious that the identity *f*:  $P \rightarrow K$  is supra *i*-irresolute.

## 3.15 Theorem

Also supra totally *iR*-continuous. is the composition of two such maps.

Proof: let  $f: P \to K$  and  $g: K \to H$  any two supra *iR*- totally continuous, Give Y any *iR* -open set in H. Because g is supra *iR*-totally continuous,  $g^{\Box 1}(Y)$  is supra clopen set in K then  $g^{\Box 1}(Y) \in iR^{\mu}O(K)$  which shows  $g^{\Box 1}(Y)$  is supra *iR*-open set in K. Because f is supra totally *iR*-continuous then  $f^{-1}g^{-1}(Y) = (gof)^{-1}(V) \in CO^{\mu}(P)$ . Hence,  $gof: P \to H$  is supra *iR*-totally continuous.

# **3.16 Proposition**

Every totally  $i\alpha$ -continuous function is supra totally iR-continuous

Proof : Allow Y be any *iR*- open subset in K and let  $f: P \to K$  be totally  $i\alpha$ - continuous function then, by lemma 2.7 Y is  $i\alpha$ -open set in K. Because f is totally  $i\alpha$ -continuous function,  $f^1(Y)$  is clopen set in P by definition 2.5(2). Hence  $f^1(Y) \in CO^{\mu}(P)$  set in P. So f is supra totally *iR*-continuous  $\blacksquare$ , As shown by the example below it is not necessary for the opposite of the above to be true.

## 3.17 Example

 $P=K=\{c,b,a\}, \ \mu=\{\ \emptyset, \{b\},\{a\},\{b,a\},\{c,b\},\{c,a\},P\}, CO^{\mu}(P)=\{\emptyset,\{c,b\},\{c,a\},\{b\},\{a\}\{c\},P\}, \ \tau=\{\emptyset,\{a\},\{b,c\},P\}=CO(P), \ \sigma=\{\emptyset,\{b,a\},\{a\},\{b\},K\}, i\alpha O(K)=\{\ \emptyset,\{b\},\{a\},\{a,b\},\{c,a\},\{b,c\},K\}, iRO(K)=\{\emptyset,\{a\},\{b\},\{c,a\},\{c,b\},K\}.$  The identity mapping  $f:P\rightarrow K$  is clearly supra *iR*-totally cont. but f is also not totally *i* $\alpha$ -cont.

## 3.18 Proposition

Any strongly continuous function is supra totally *iR*-continuous.

Proof: Let  $M: P \to K$  any strongly continuous function and give Y any one *iR*- open subset in K. Because M is strongly continuous function,  $M^{\Box 1}(Y)$  is clopen set in P. Hence  $M^{\Box 1}(Y) \in CO^{\mu}(P)$  set in P. So f is supra totally *iR*-continuous

## 3.19 Theorem

A bijective map  $M: P \rightarrow K$  is supra totally *iR*-continuous if and only if each supra *iR*-closed subset of K has a  $cl^{\mu}open^{\mu}$  inverse image in P.

Proof : Let C be any supra *iR*-closed subset in K. Then K-C is supra *iR*-open in K. Because M is supra totally *iR*-continuous ,  $f^{\Box 1}(K-C) = f^{\Box 1}(K) - f^{\Box 1}(C) = P - f^{\Box 1}(C) \in CO^{\mu}(P)$ Hence  $f^{\Box 1}(C) \in CO^{\mu}(P)$ . Conversely, let V be any supra *iR*-open subset in K. By



assumption  $f^{\Box 1}(K-V) \in CO^{\mu}(P)$ . This denotes  $P - f^{\Box 1}(V) \in CO^{\mu}(P)$ ,  $f^{\Box 1}(V) \in CO^{\mu}(P)$ . So f is supra totally *iR*-continuous.

## 3.20 Theorem

If  $f: P \rightarrow K$  is supra totally *iR*-continuous and  $g: K \rightarrow H$  is supra *iR*-irresolute mapping then  $gof: P \rightarrow H$  is *iR*- supra totally *iR*-continuous.

Proof: Assume that V is an *iR*-open set to H, because  $g: K \to H$  is supra *iR*- irresolute mapping ,  $g^{\Box 1}(V)$  is supra *iR*- open to K, Because f is supra *iR*- totally continuous,  $f^{\Box 1}g^{\Box 1}(V) \in CO^{\mu}(P)$ . Hence  $gof: P \to H$  is supra totally *iR*-continuous.

#### 3.21 Theorem

If  $f: P \rightarrow K$  is supra *iR*-irresolute and  $g: K \rightarrow H$  is supra totally *iR*-continuous then  $g \circ f: P \rightarrow H$  is supra *iR*-irresolute.

Proof: Let A any *iR*-open set in H, because g is supra totally *iR*-continuous function,  $g^{\Box 1}(A) \in CO^{\mu}(K)$ . Then  $g^{\Box 1}(A)$  is supra *iR*- open in K. As f is supra *iR*-irresolute. After that  $f^{\Box 1}g^{\Box 1}(A) = (gof)^{\Box 1}(A)$  is supra *iR*-open in P. So gof is supra *iR*-irresolute

#### **New Separation Axioms**

We present some new weak supra separation axioms using supra *iR*-open set in this part.

### **3.22 Definition**

(1) supra iR-regular if disjoint supra iR-open sets. can be separated for any closed set C not containing a point.

(2) If there are two disjoint supra iR-open sets G, W for each disjoint closed set  $C_1$  and  $C_2$  of P, such that  $C_1 \subset G$  and  $C_2 \subset W$  then supra *iR*-normal.

## 3.23 Theorem

Let  $M: P \rightarrow K$  is supra totally *iR*-continuous and supra *iR*-closed injection function, if K is supra *iR*-regular, then P is supra *iR*-regular.

Proof: Take C be a supra closed set that does not contain *e*. We have M(C) is *iR*-closed set in *K* not containing M(e) since *M* is *iR*-closed. Since , *K* is supra *iR*-regular, there is disjoint supra *iR*-open set *D*, *F* such that  $M(e) \in D$  and  $M(C) \subset F$ , which imply  $e \in M^{\Box 1}(D)$  and  $C \subset M^{\Box 1}(F)$ . As *M* is supra totally *iR*-continuous. ,  $M^{\Box 1}(D)$  and  $M^{-1}(F)$  are supra clopen set in *P*. Then  $M^{\Box 1}(D)$  and  $f^{\Box 1}(F)$  are supra *iR*-open subset in *P* (remark 3.8). Also, since *M* is an injective, there are  $M^{\Box 1}(D) \cap M^{\Box 1}(F) = M^{\Box 1}(D \cap F) = M^{\Box 1}(\emptyset) = \emptyset$ . disjoint supra *iR*-open sets  $M^{\Box 1}(D)$  and  $M^{\Box 1}(F)$  separate a point *e* and a closed set *C* that does not include *e*. As a result, *P* is an *iR*-regular.

#### 3.24 Theorem

If  $M: P \rightarrow K$  is supra totally *iR*-continuous and supra closed injection while K is supra *iR*-normal. Then P is supra *iR*-normal.

Proof: Take  $C_1$  and  $C_2$  be disjoint supra closed subset of P. Because M is supra closed,  $M(C_1)$  and  $M(C_2)$  are disjoint supra closed subset in K, and because K is supra *iR*-normal,  $M(C_1)$  and  $M(C_2)$  are separated by disjoint supra *iR*-open subset G and W of K such that  $C_1 \subset$ 



 $M^{\Box_1}(G)$  and  $C_2 \subset M^{\Box_1}(W)$ . Since M is supra totally *iR*-continuous  $M^{\Box_1}(G)$  and  $M^{\Box_1}(W)$  are supra clopen set in P. Which imply  $M^{\Box_1}(A)$  and  $M^{\Box_1}(B)$  are supra *iR*-open sets .Also, we have  $f^{\Box_1}(G) \cap f^{\Box_1}(W) = f^{\Box_1}(G \cap W) = M^{\Box_1}(\emptyset) = \emptyset$ . Thus, In P, each pair of non-empty supra closed sets can be separated by *iR*-open sets. Therefore, P is supra *iR*-normal

# 4. CONCLUSIONS

We were able to define a new class of open set type-*i* and compare them with other semi-open sets using this definition. And we found that there is a close correlation between these classes and supra regular open, supra semi regular open ,supra *i*-open ,  $i\alpha$ -open ,supra clopen and regular closed sets. The aforementioned correlation is the same for closed sets.

## Acknowledgment

Out of loyalty, appreciation and recognition, I would like to thank those sincere people who spared no effort in helping us in the field of scientific research.

## 5. REFERENCES

- 1. A. S. Mashhour, A.A. Allam, F.S. Mahmaod and F.H. Khedr, "On Supra Toplogical Spaces", Indian Journal of pure and applied Mathematics, 14(4)., pp.502-610, 1983.
- 2. A. A. Mohammed and S.W. Askandar, , "On i-open sets " UAE Math Day Conference, American Univ. of Sharjah, April 14, 2012.
- 3. A. A., Mohammed and O. Y., Khattab, "On iα-Open Sets", Raf. J. of Comp.& Math's ,Vol. 9, No.2, 2012.
- 4. A.A. Mohammed and B.S. Abdullah "ii-Open Sets in topological Spaces", International Mathematical Forum, 14, pp.41-48, 2019.
- 5. B. K. Mahmoud, " On Supra-Separation Axioms For Supra Topological Spaces ", Tikrit Journal of pure Scince, 22 (2), 2017.
- 6. C. Janaki and V., Jeyanthi , "On supra totally  $\pi g^{\mu}r$ -continuous function in supra topological spaces", Malaya J.Mat. S(1) pp. 57-67, 2015.
- 7. M. J. Jardo, "Supra i-Open Sets and Supra i-Continuity on Topological Spaces", International Journal of Scientific & Engineering, V.7, issue 10, 2016
- 8. N. Anuradha and Baby Chacka., "On Supra R-open sets and Supra R-continuity", Journal of Compuer and Mathematical Scinc, Vol.7(8) pp.412-419, 2016.
- 9. M. Vidhya ,"On generalized pre regular closed sets in supra topological spaces", . International Journal of Scientific and Engineering Researh, 3(11), pp.2229-5518, 2012.
- 10. N.K. Humadi and H,K. Ali "New Types of Perfectly Supra Continuous Functions", Iraqi Journal of Science, Vol. 61, No. 4, 811-819, 2020.
- R. Devi, S. Sampathkumar and M. Caldas," On Supra α-open sets and sα-continuous maps", General Mathematics, 16 (2) pp. 77-84, 2008
- 12. S. A. Shaheen M. Sc. thesis," On iR-Open Sets in topological Spaces Study and Application" Colleg Computer Science & Mathematic, University of Mosul ,2018.



- 13. S. A. Shaheen, , " On iR-Continuous and iR-Contra Continuous function in topological Spaces", Minar International Journal of Applied Sciences and Technology, Vol. 3, no.6, pp.516-522, 2022.
- 14. S.S. Benchalli. Semi-Totally Continuous Functions in Topological Spaces, International Mathematical Forum, Vol. 6, No. 10, pp. 479 492, 2011.