
Effect of Internship on B.Ed. Trainees

Dr. Sangita Roy*

**Lecturer, Bibhuti Bhusan Govt. P.T.T.I, India*

*Corresponding Email: *chutkisangita@gmail.com*

Received: 20 October 2022 **Accepted:** 04 January 2023 **Published:** 07 February 2023

Abstract: Internship plays a most important role to in B.Ed. course. Therefore, would be teachers must get training before entering the teaching profession and this training makes the trainees stronger in their field. In regulation 2014 NCTE includes the B.Ed. teaching internship for 16 weeks. It provides practical experience to B.Ed. trainees on subject knowledge and pedagogical knowledge. In this study investigator tried to reflect and find out the effect of internship on B.Ed. trainees' development of subject knowledge and pedagogical knowledge. In this purpose, Descriptive Survey method has been used. Data are collected randomly from 90 B.Ed. trainees' stream wise, after completion of their Teaching Internship. After analysis result showed that trainees have develop their subject knowledge and pedagogical knowledge during internship. Also result not found any significant difference among the B.Ed. trainees stream wise and finds a significant positive correlation between subject knowledge and pedagogical knowledge.

Keywords: Internship, B.Ed. Trainee, Subject Knowledge, Pedagogical Knowledge.

1. INTRODUCTION

The internship has been borrowed from medical education which implies the word of hospital experience where the medical doctors are required to have field work experience under guidance of doctors. Therefore, teaching internship to be the main part of readiness of teaching profession. Internship includes teaching practice and get real filed experience under the supervision of an expert supervisor. According to National Council for Teacher Education (NCTE), in 2 years B.Ed. curriculum internship is to be done for 16 weeks. It is most important that the interns integrated and reflect on their teaching experience during and after the school internship. They develop their subject knowledge and pedagogical knowledge during internship through teaching.

1.1 Operational Definition of Important Terms:

Internship:

Internship is defined as B.Ed. teaching internship programme. NCTE includes the B.Ed. internship for 16 weeks of teaching engagement in a high school.



B.Ed. Trainee:

In this study trainees are those who are undergoing two-year B.Ed. teacher training program in any B.Ed. teacher education institute approved by the NCTE.

Subject knowledge: Subject knowledge is the systematic understanding of basic principles and the interrelationships between various pieces of information related to a subject.

Pedagogical knowledge: Pedagogy is often described as the act of teaching. The pedagogy adopted by teachers shapes their actions, judgments, and teaching strategies by taking into consideration theories of learning, understandings of students and their needs, and the backgrounds and interests of individual students.

1.2 Significance of the study:

According to NCTE Regulation 2014, teaching internship included in B.Ed. syllabus for 2nd and 3rd semester. The significant of the internship is to qualitative development of subject knowledge and pedagogical knowledge. So, in this study the investigator tries to find out that how effective internship on B.Ed. trainees development of subject knowledge and pedagogical knowledge.

1.3 Objectives of the Study:

- To find out the effect of internship on B.Ed. trainees in development of subject knowledge stream wise.
- To find out the effect of internship on B.Ed. trainees in development of pedagogical knowledge stream wise.
- To find out the relation between development on subject knowledge and pedagogical knowledge during internship.

1.4 Hypothesis of the Study:

Ho1- There is no significant difference between B.Ed. trainees of Social Science and Language in development of subject knowledge during internship.

Ho2- There is no significant difference between Science and Language B.Ed. interns in development of subject knowledge during internship.

Ho3- There is no significant difference between Science and Social Science B.Ed. interns in development of subject knowledge during internship.

Ho4- There is no significant difference between B.Ed. trainees of Social Science and Language in development of pedagogical knowledge during internship.

Ho5- There is no significant difference between Science and Language B.Ed. interns in development of pedagogical knowledge during internship.

Ho6- There is no significant difference between Science and Social Science B.Ed. interns in development of pedagogical knowledge during internship.

H₁₇ – There is a significant relation between development of subject knowledge and pedagogical knowledge during internship.

2. METHODOLOGY

2.1 Design of the Study:

Descriptive Survey method has been used by the investigator. The present research attempted study conditions of the effect of B.Ed. internship on development of subject knowledge and pedagogical knowledge

2.2 Population and Sample of the Study:

The study has proposed to be conducted in South 24 Parganas, West Bengal. The population of the study is B.Ed. trainee of the B.Ed. institutions, which are recognizes by NCTE. From South 24 Parganas 3 B.Ed. institutions are selected randomly for collecting samples. From these3 institutions, 90 trainees are selected randomly streams wise (Language, science and Social Science).

Table 1. Description of sample

Stream	Sample(B.Ed. interns)
Language	30
Social Science	30
Science	30
Total	90




Figure A- Bar- Graph of Sample Stream Wise

2.3 Variables of the study:

Researcher worked with mainly two types of variables which are given below in the following tables.



Table 2: Independent & Dependent Variables

Independent Variables	Dependent Variables
B.Ed. trainees stream wise (Science, Social Science, Language)	<ul style="list-style-type: none">• Subject knowledge• Pedagogical knowledge

2.4 TOOLS:

- Development of Subject Knowledge Scale (DSKS) for B.Ed. trainees which constructed by the investigator.
- Development of Pedagogical Knowledge Scale (DPKS) for B.Ed. trainees which constructed by the investigator.

2.4.1 Development of Subject Knowledge Scale (DSKS)

The detailed descriptions of the tool are presented

Description

Development of Subject Knowledge Scale (DSKS) for B.Ed. trainees is constructed by the investigator. For constructing the items collect relevant information by reviewing of related literature, teacher education books, journals etc related to the teaching process.

Scale construction

The Scale initially is 10 items instrument designed to measure the characteristic for this scale. Initially 14 items are formed, which is evaluated by 3 experts. Finally, 10 items are selected.

Scoring

The mode of response to each item of the scale is in the form of five-point scale as strongly agree, agree, undecided, disagree, strongly disagree, indicating complete agreement or disagreement with the statement. Score Range is 10-50.

Reliability

By Cronbach alpha method the reliability of the tool is found to be **0.87**.

Validity

Content validity of the scale was measured by expert rating using the Interrater Model. The **content validity** is found to be **0.75**.

2.4.2 Development of Pedagogical Knowledge Scale (DPKS)

The detailed descriptions of the tool are presented



Description

Development of Pedagogical Knowledge Scale (DPKS) for B.Ed. trainees is constructed by the investigator. For constructing the items collect relevant information by reviewing of related literature, teacher education books, journals etc related to the teaching process.

Scale construction

The Scale initially is 8 items instrument designed to measure the characteristic for this scale. Initially 13 items are formed, which is evaluated by 3 experts. Finally, 8 items are selected.

Scoring

The mode of response to each item of the scale is in the form of five-point scale as strongly agree, agree, undecided, disagree, strongly disagree, indicating complete agreement or disagreement with the statement. Score Range is 8-40.

Reliability

By Cronbach alpha method the reliability of the tool is found to be **0.77**.

Validity

Content validity of the scale was measured by expert rating using the Interrater Model. The

content validity is found to be **0.78**.

3. ANALYSIS OF DATA

Data have been analyzed in two parts-

- In the first part, descriptive analysis done by computing the Mean and SD's of all the score and inferential statistics 't' test has been done.
- In the second part, the coefficient of correlation between subject knowledge and pedagogical knowledge during internship has been done.

3.1 Analysis and Interpretation of Results:

Objective wise analyses have been done.

- **Objective 1-** To find out the effect of internship on B.Ed. trainees in development of subject knowledge stream wise.

Mean and SD's and 't' Test of development of subject knowledge stream wise has been done for B.Ed. interns stream wise.

Interpretation of Development of Subject Knowledge Scale (DSKS)

Table 3. Mean, SD's and 't' Test of Subject Knowledge stream wise

Scale	Stream	No. of Trainees	Mean	S.D	'T' Test	df	Sig.
	Language	30	43.2	4.213		58	



Development of Subject Knowledge Scale	Social science	30	42.9	3.844	0.617		NS
	Language	30	43.2	4.213	0.116	58	NS
	Science	30	44.2	4.071			
	Social science	30	42.9	3.844	0.034	58	NS
	Science	30	44.2	4.071			

Interpretations:

H₀₁- For the result of testing **H₀₁**, the **table 3** showed that B.Ed. trainees of Social Science mean score (42.9) in development of subject knowledge is less than the mean score of B.Ed. trainees of language (43.2). For comparing the mean score of social science and language interns in development of subject knowledge, the calculated $t_{(58)}$ is 0.617. So, ‘t’ is not significant at 0.05 level. Hence, **H₀₁** could not be rejected.

H₀₂- For the result of testing **H₀₂**, the **table 3** showed that B.Ed. trainees of Science mean score (44.2) in development of subject knowledge is more than the mean score of B.Ed. trainees of language (43.2). For comparing the mean score of social science and language interns in development of subject knowledge, the calculated $t_{(58)}$ is 0.116. So, ‘t’ is not significant at 0.05 level. Hence, **H₀₂** could not be rejected.

H₀₃- For the result of testing **H₀₃**, the **table 3** showed that B.Ed. trainees of Science mean score (44.2) in development of subject knowledge is less than the mean score of B.Ed. trainees of Social Science (42.9). For comparing the mean score of social science and language interns in development of subject knowledge, the calculated $t_{(58)}$ is 0.034. So, ‘t’ is not significant at 0.05 level. Hence, **H₀₃** could not be rejected.

Objective 2 -To find out the effect of internship on B.Ed. trainees in development of pedagogical knowledge stream wise.

Interpretation of Development of Pedagogical Knowledge Scale (DPKS)

Table 4. Mean, SD’s and ‘t’ Test of Pedagogical Knowledge stream wise

Scale	Stream	No. of Trainees	Mean	S.D	‘T’ Test	df	Sig.
Development Pedagogical Knowledge Scale	Language	30	32.366	3.357	0.474	58	NS
	Social science	30	32.833	2.379			
	Language	30	32.366	3.357	0.273	58	NS
	Science	30	33.1	3.133			
	Social science	30	32.833	2.379	0.681	58	NS



	Science	30	33.1	3.133			
--	---------	----	------	-------	--	--	--

Interpretations:

H04- For the result of testing **H04**, the **table 4** showed that B.Ed. trainees of Social Science mean score (32.833) in development of pedagogical knowledge is same to the mean score of B.Ed. trainees of language (32.366). For comparing the mean score of social science and language interns in development of pedagogical knowledge, the calculated $t_{(58)}$ is 0.474. So, 't' is not significant at 0.05 level. Hence, **H04** could not be rejected.

H05- For the result of testing **H05**, the **table 4** showed that B.Ed. trainees of Science mean score (33.1) in development of pedagogical knowledge is less than the mean score of B.Ed. trainees of language (32.366). For comparing the mean score of social science and language interns in development of pedagogical knowledge, the calculated $t_{(58)}$ is 0.273. So, 't' is not significant at 0.05 level. Hence, **H05** could not be rejected.

H06- For the result of testing **H06**, the **table 4** showed that B.Ed. trainees of Science mean score (33.1) in development of pedagogical knowledge is less than the mean score of B.Ed. trainees of Social Science (32.833). For comparing the mean score of social science and language interns in development of pedagogical knowledge, the calculated $t_{(58)}$ is 0.681. So, 't' is not significant at 0.05 level. Hence, **H06** could not be rejected.

Objective 3: To find out the relation between development of subject knowledge and pedagogical knowledge during internship.

The coefficient of correlation of subject knowledge and pedagogical knowledge during internship has been done.

Table 5: Pearson Correlation of subject knowledge and pedagogical knowledge

Pearson Correlation	Subject Knowledge
Pedagogical Knowledge	0.547 Positive correlation
Total Number	90

H17- For the result of testing **H17**, the **table 5** showed that the correlation co-efficient 'r' between subject knowledge and pedagogical knowledge during internship is found to be 0.547 which is moderate positive in nature. Hence, **H17** could not be rejected.

4. FINDINGS AND DISCUSSION OF THE STUDY

The major findings of the study on Effect of Internship on B.Ed. Trainees have been presented below:

4.1 Findings on effect of internship of B.Ed. trainees on development of subject knowledge stream wise

From the analysis of data on development of subject knowledge during internship stream wise the following findings have been revealed it presented in the subsequent diagram Figure B




Fig. B: Findings on comparison of mean score of development of subject knowledge

- On testing H_01 , there is no significant difference found between trainees of social science and language in development of subject knowledge during internship.
- On testing H_02 , there is no significant difference found between trainees of science and language in development of subject knowledge during internship.
- On testing H_03 , there is no significant difference found between trainees of science and social science in development of subject knowledge during internship.

So, there is not found any significant differences among the B.Ed. trainees with respect to their stream in development of subject knowledge during internship. **Kumar (2016)** also found that there was no significant difference in the attitude of pupil teachers towards internship as a part of B.Ed. curriculum streams wise.

4.2 Findings on effect of internship of B.Ed. trainees on development of pedagogical knowledge stream wise

From the analysis of data on development of pedagogical knowledge during internship stream wise the following findings have been revealed it presented in the subsequent diagram Figure C




Fig. C: Findings on comparison of mean score of development of pedagogical knowledge

- On testing H₀₄, there is no significant difference found between trainees of social science and language in development of pedagogical knowledge during internship.
- On testing H₀₅, there is no significant difference found between trainees of science and language in development of pedagogical knowledge during internship.
- On testing H₀₆, there is no significant difference found between trainees of science and social science in development of pedagogical knowledge during internship.

So, there is not found any significant differences among the B.Ed. trainees with respect to their stream in development of pedagogical knowledge during internship. The study of **Saifiet al. (2013)** found that prospective teacher changes their pedagogical beliefs during internship programme.

4.3 Findings on Correlation between development subject knowledge and pedagogical knowledge during internship




Fig. D: Findings on Correlation



The Correlation Coefficient (r) value between development subject knowledge and pedagogical knowledge during internship is 0.547 which indicates positive in nature. So, there is a significant relation found between two variables.

5. CONCLUSION

Present study explored the status of two major variables viz. development of subject knowledge and pedagogical knowledge during internship with respect of B.Ed. trainees in different stream. So, the result concluded that in B.Ed. curriculum through internship B.Ed. trainees develop their subject knowledge and pedagogical knowledge which are very important part of classroom teaching. Result also showed significant positive relation between subject knowledge and pedagogical knowledge. Therefore, from this study, it can be said that in classroom teaching teacher must know how to deal with student through pedagogical knowledge and what to teach through subject knowledge. This understanding and practice will help them to develop proper classroom teaching and communication abilities with students. In this purpose internship which is very systematic programme has very important role in teacher education system for B.Ed. trainees.

Further Research Studies

- Further research can be done on the interns of D.El.Ed. institutions and M.Ed. institutions.
- Similar studies can be conducted with more samples from all the districts of West Bengal.
- Different categorical variables such as male & female, government & self-financed, urban & rural institutions etc. can also be taken up.

6. REFERENCES

1. Akbar, R.A. (2002). A study of Practice Teaching of Prospective Secondary School Teachers and Development of a Practice Teaching Model, Arid Agricultural University, Rawalpindi (Unpublished Ph.D. Thesis).
2. Adams, T., Koster, B. & Brok, D.P. (2020). Student Teachers' Classroom Management during the School Internship. *European Journal of Teacher Education*. <https://doi.org/10.1080/02619768.2020.1860011>
3. Aggarwal, J.C. (2010) *Teacher and Education in Developing Society*. New Delhi: Vikas Publishing House Pvt Ltd.
4. Chowdhury, R. (2018). Extended Internship of Two Year B.Ed. Curriculum: Examining Underlying Challenges. *International Journal of Multidisciplinary Research and Development*. Online ISSN: 2349-4189, Print ISSN: 2349-5979, Impact factor: RJIF, Vol. 5, Issue 1.
5. Fajriah, F. (2017). Improving Teaching Strategies through Students' Reflections. *Sukma: Journal Pendidikan*. ISSN: 2548-5105 (p), 9590 €, Volume 1 Issue 2, Jul-Dec 2017, hlm. 301-327. Retrieved from <https://journalsukma.org/index.php/sukma/article/view/01204>



6. Good. C.V. &Scates. (1941). Methodology of Educational Research. New York: Appleton Century Crofts.
7. Kumar, A. (2016). Attitude of pupil Teachers towards Internship as a Part of B.Ed. Curriculum in Relation to Gender, Stream and Academic Qualification. International Journal of Engineering science and Computing, Volume 6 Issue No. 7.
8. Mangal, S.K. (2007). Statistics in Psychology and Education; Prentice Hall of India Private Limited, New Delhi. 2nd Edition.
9. Saifi, S., Sherzaman, Shah, A.S.S., Idrees, A. & Zaman, S. (2013). Effect of Reformed Teaching Practicum on Professional Development of B.Ed. (Hons.) Prospective Teacher. Bulletin of Education and Research August 2013, Vol. 35, No. 2 (Special Issue) pp. 125-138.
10. Singh, P. (2013). Attitudes of B.Ed. Students towards Internship as a Part of B.Ed. Curriculum. (M.Ed. Dissertation, University of Jammu, 2013).
11. Srilatha, G. (2016). A Study on the Opinion of B.Ed. Students on A Two Year B.Ed. Programme. Indian Journal of Applied Research. Vol 6, Issue 6, ISSN- 2249-555X. DOI: 10.36106/IJAR.