



Role of Engineering on Students' Academic Achievement at University Level

Muzamil Hussain ALHussaini^{1*}, Naveed Abbas², Rehmat Manzoor³

^{1*,2,3}Qurtuba University Dera Ismail Khan, Pakistan.

Corresponding Email: ^{1*}muzamilqurtuba@gmail.com

Received: 13 December 2022 **Accepted:** 28 February 2023 **Published:** 04 April 2023

Abstract: *Role of Engineering on students' academic achievement at university level has both negative and positive impact on students' academic development and their educational growth. In this article at higher level students has been taken out both male and female sided. Rapid change of technology and their uses in educational institution is very important and this articles objectives directly or indirectly effects introduced and these objective tested by hypotheses. On the basis of all these discussion and finding have been drawn up. Furthermore factor role of engineering and quality base finding has been added. This article is based on some qualitative measures and motivational type findings like individualized learning or learning based on competencies & Improved utilization of local resources.*

Keywords: *Engineering Factors, Learner Performance, Educational Growth, Technology Measures, University Learners Achievements.*

1. INTRODUCTION

Overview: Engineering is a field that has been growing rapidly in recent years. With the rise of technological advancement, it's no surprise that engineering graduates are highly sought after in various industries. However, there's another aspect to this discipline that deserves attention - its impact on students' academic achievement at university level. In this blog post, we'll explore the crucial role played by engineering courses and programs in shaping student success and why it should be considered as an essential component for any aspiring student looking to excel academically. So grab your pens and get ready to dive into the fascinating world of engineering education. Engineering is one of the most dynamic and diverse fields that have a significant impact on society. It plays a vital role in advancing technological innovation, social progress, and economic growth. But did you know that engineering also has a substantial influence on student's academic achievement at university level? Yes, that's right! The role of engineering in shaping students' academic excellence goes beyond traditional learning methods, providing them with practical knowledge and skills to succeed in their careers. In this blog post, we will explore the fascinating ways engineering shapes students' academic



outcomes and why it is essential for universities to prioritize this field of study. So fasten your seatbelts as we embark on an exciting journey into understanding how engineering can be the key to unlock success for university-level students!

Role of engineering factors

There are many factors that contribute to a student's academic achievement at university level, and engineering is just one of them. While it is certainly important for students to have a strong foundation in engineering principles, there are other factors that can play a role in their success. For example, students who are well-organized and can effectively manage their time will likely do better than those who struggle in these areas. Additionally, students who are able to work well in teams and communicate effectively will also be more successful. Ultimately, each student's individual strengths and weaknesses will play a role in their academic achievement, but having a strong engineering background will certainly give them a solid foundation on which to build.

Learner in engineering

As a learner in engineering, you will be expected to complete a rigorous academic program that will prepare you for a successful career in the field. Engineering students must be able to apply theoretical concepts to real-world problems, and they must be able to communicate effectively with other engineers and technical personnel. You will also be expected to participate in hands-on projects and laboratory work as part of your engineering education.

University learner engineering

The role of engineering on students' academic achievement at university level is significant. Engineering is the process of designing, creating, testing and maintaining systems or products. It is a vital part of many industries, including healthcare, communications, transportation and manufacturing. As such, it is an important field of study for anyone interested in pursuing a career in one of these industries.

Engineering programs at universities typically include coursework in math, science and technology. This combination provides students with the skills and knowledge they need to be successful in their chosen field. In addition to coursework, many engineering programs also require students to complete internships or cooperative education experiences. These experiences give students the opportunity to apply what they have learned in the classroom to real-world settings.

The skills and knowledge gained through an engineering program can help students succeed in a variety of careers. Many engineers go on to work in the traditional fields of engineering, such as designing and building bridges or developing new medical devices. Others use their engineering skills to pursue careers in business or law. No matter what career path they choose, graduates of engineering programs have a solid foundation on which to build their future success.



Motivational Findings

Real, actual projects would significantly improve engineering students' learning and decrease retention, according to numerous studies. Consequently, giving kids the chance to design, create, and construct like real engineers would motivate them significantly.

Either individualized learning or learning based on competencies.

The application of technology in education.

New and different ways to help and finance students.

Improved utilization of local resources.

2. REFERENCES

1. Allen M, Andronova N, Booth B, et al. (2006) Observational constraints on climate sensitivity. In: Schellnhuber HJ, Cramer W, Nakicenovic N, et al. (eds) *Avoiding Dangerous Climate Change*. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press, 281–290.
2. Anderson K and Bows A (2008) Reframing the climate change challenge in light of post-2000 emission trends. *Philosophical Transactions of the Royal Society of London A: Mathematical, Physical and Engineering Sciences* 366(1882): 3863–3882.
3. Asdal K (2008) On politics and the little tools of democracy: A down-to-earth approach.
4. *Distinktion: Journal of Social Theory* 9(1): 11–26.
5. Asdal K (2014) From climate issue to oil issue: Offices of public administration, versions of economics, and the ordinary technologies of politics. *Environment and Planning A* 46(9): 2110–2124.
6. Asdal K (2015) What is the issue? The transformative capacity of documents. *Distinktion: Journal of Social Theory* 16(1): 74–90.
7. Asdal K and Hobæk B (2020) The modified issue: Turning around parliaments, politics as usual and how to extend issue-politics with a little help from Max Weber. *Social Studies of Science* 50(2): 252–270.
8. Barry A (2013) *Material Politics: Disputes along the Pipeline*. Oxford: Wiley Blackwell.
9. Beck S and Mahony M (2018) The politics of anticipation: The IPCC and the negative emissions technologies experience. *Global Sustainability* 1: e8.
10. Blok A (2014) Experimenting on climate governmentality with actor-network theory. In: Strippel J and Bulkeley H (eds) *Governing the Climate: New Approaches to Rationality, Power and Politics*. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press, 42–58.
11. Boykoff MT, Frame D and Randalls S (2009) Discursive stability meets climate instability: A critical exploration of the concept of 'climate stabilization' in contemporary climate policy. *Global Environmental Change* 20(1): 53–64.
12. Callon M (1980) Struggles and negotiations to define what is problematic and what is not: The socio-logic of translation. In: Knorr KD, Krohn R and Whitley R (eds) *The Social Process of Scientific Investigation*. Dordrecht: D. Reidel Publishing Co., 197–219.
13. Callon M (1998) An essay on framing and overflowing: Economic externalities revisited by sociology. In: Callon M (ed.) *The Laws of the Markets*. Oxford: Blackwell.
14. Callon M (2009) *Civilizing markets: Carbon trading between in vitro and in vivo experiments*.



15. Accounting, Organizations and Society 34(3–4): 535–548.
16. Cetina KK (1997) Sociality with objects: Social relations in postsocial knowledge societies.
17. Theory, Culture & Society 14(4): 1–30.
18. Demeritt D (2001) The construction of global warming and the politics of science. *Annals of the Association of American Geographers* 91(2): 307–337.
19. Dewey J (1927) *The Public and Its Problems*. London: George Allen & Unwin.
20. Edwards PN (2010) *A Vast Machine: Computer Models, Climate Data, and the Politics of Global Warming*. Cambridge: The MIT Press.
21. Fisher B, Nakicenovic N, Alfsen KH, et al. (2007) Issues related to mitigation in the long-term context. In: Metz B, Davidson O, Bosch P, et al. (eds) *Climate Change 2007: Mitigation. Contribution of Working Group III to the Fourth Assessment Report of the IPCC*. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press, 169–250.
22. Fisher DR (2019) The broader importance of #FridaysForFuture. *Nature Climate Change* 9(6): 430–431.
23. Frame DJ, Macey AH and Allen MR (2014) Cumulative emissions and climate policy. *Nature Geoscience* 7(10): 692–693.
24. Krause F, Bach W and Koomey J (1989) *Energy Policy in the Greenhouse: From Warming Fate to Warming Limit*. El Cerrito, CA: International Project for Sustainable Energy Paths.
25. Lahn B (2020) A history of the global carbon budget. *Wiley Interdisciplinary Reviews: Climate Change* 11(3): e636.
26. Lahsen M (2007) Trust through participation? Problems of knowledge in climate decision making. In: Pettenger ME (ed.) *The Social Construction of Climate Change: Power, Knowledge, Norms, Discourses*. Aldershot: Ashgate.
27. Latour B (2007) Turning around politics: A note on Gerard de Vries' paper. *Social Studies of Science* 37(5): 811–820.
28. Livingston JE, Lövbrand E and Olsson JA (2018) From climates multiple to climate singular: Maintaining policy-relevance in the IPCC synthesis report. *Environmental Science & Policy* 90: 83–90.
29. Lohmann L (2009) Toward a different debate in environmental accounting: The cases of carbon and cost–benefit. *Accounting, Organizations and Society* 34(3): 499–534.
30. Romm J (2013) The real budget crisis: 'The CO2 emissions budget framing is a recipe for delaying concrete action now'. Available at: <https://thinkprogress.org/the-real-budget-crisis-the-co2-emissions-budget-framing-is-a-recipe-for-delaying-concrete-action-now-22f97720060f/> (accessed 26 November 2018).
31. Shackley S (2001) Epistemic lifestyles in climate change modelling. In: Miller CA and Edwards PN (eds) *Changing the Atmosphere: Expert Knowledge and Environmental Governance*. Cambridge: The MIT Press, 107–133.
32. Solomon S, Plattner G-K, Knutti R, et al. (2009) Irreversible climate change due to carbon dioxide emissions. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences* 106(6): 1704–1709.