Journal of Legal Subjects
ISSN: 2815-097X
Vol: 01, No. 01, Aug-Sept 2021
http://journal.hmjournals.com/index.php/JLS
DOI: https://doi.org/10.55529/jls.11.25.31

A Comparative Analysis of Companies Act, 1956 and Companies Act, 2013 in India

S. Ramesh*

Assistant Professor of Commerce SR & BGNR Government Arts & Science College (A): Khammam, Telangana – India.

*Corresponding Email: *srameshmed@gmail.com*

Received: 12 June 2021 **Accepted:** 27 August 2021 **Published:** 30 September 2021

Abstract: The Companies Act is a crucial legislation that governs the functioning and regulation of companies in India. This study provides a comprehensive comparative analysis of the Companies Act 1956 and the Companies Act 2013, highlighting the major changes and improvements brought about by the latter. The research examines the key provisions, corporate governance principles, compliance requirements, and legal framework under both acts. The findings shed light on the evolution of corporate laws in India and the significant enhancements made to promote transparency, accountability, and investor protection in the corporate sector.

Keywords: Companies Act 1956, Companies Act 2013, Comparative Analysis, Corporate Governance, Compliance, Investor Protection.

1. INTRODUCTION

The Companies Act is a critical piece of legislation that governs the formation, functioning, and winding-up of companies in India. Over the years, there have been significant amendments to the act to adapt to changing business dynamics and strengthen corporate governance practices. This study conducts a comprehensive comparative analysis of the Companies Act 1956, which was in force for several decades, and the Companies Act 2013, a landmark reform aimed at modernizing corporate regulations and enhancing investor protection.

The Companies Act 1956, enacted on 1st April 1956, was a significant milestone in India's corporate history. It consolidated and amended the laws relating to companies and introduced a uniform legal framework for their incorporation and operation. However, over time, the act faced criticism for its shortcomings and limitations, such as outdated provisions and weak investor protection mechanisms.

Copyright The Author(s) 2021.This is an Open Access Article distributed under the CC BY license. (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/)

Journal of Legal Subjects
ISSN: 2815-097X
Vol: 01, No. 01, Aug-Sept 2021
http://journal.hmjournals.com/index.php/JLS
DOI: https://doi.org/10.55529/jls.11.25.31

In response to the evolving economic and business landscape, the Indian government introduced the Companies Act 2013, which received presidential assent on 29th August 2013. The Companies Act 2013 was a paradigm shift in India's corporate governance landscape, bringing about substantial reforms to enhance transparency, accountability, and investor protection.

Review of Literature:

Companies Act 1956:

The Companies Act 1956, the predecessor of the Companies Act 2013, was a landmark legislation that shaped the corporate landscape in India. Several scholars have studied and analyzed its provisions to assess its effectiveness in regulating the corporate sector.

Singh and Verma (2018) conducted a study on the Companies Act 1956's impact on corporate governance practices. The research highlighted the Act's role in promoting shareholder rights and introducing measures to prevent insider trading and fraudulent activities.

Sharma et al. (2016) examined the compliance burden on small and medium-sized enterprises (SMEs) under the Companies Act 1956. The study emphasized the challenges faced by SMEs in adhering to regulatory requirements and the need for simplified compliance procedures.

Misra and Chaudhary (2015) assessed the legal framework for mergers and acquisitions (M&A) under the Companies Act 1956. The research analyzed the provisions related to M&A and identified the complexities in the approval process.

Rajan and Jain (2014) conducted a comparative analysis of corporate governance regulations in India and other countries under the Companies Act 1956. The study evaluated India's corporate governance practices in the context of international standards and identified areas for improvement.

Companies Act 2013:

The Companies Act 2013 brought significant reforms to address the shortcomings of the Companies Act 1956 and align with global corporate governance practices. Researchers have extensively analyzed its provisions and impact on the corporate sector.

Ramanathan and Gupta (2020) studied the impact of the Companies Act 2013 on the role of independent directors in enhancing corporate governance. The research found that the Act's provisions led to greater independence and transparency in board decision-making.

Singhania and Agarwal (2019) examined the effectiveness of the Companies Act 2013 in protecting minority shareholders' interests. The study highlighted the provisions related to related party transactions and their impact on curbing potential conflicts of interest.

Copyright The Author(s) 2021.This is an Open Access Article distributed under the CC BY license. (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/)

Journal of Legal Subjects
ISSN: 2815-097X
Vol: 01, No. 01, Aug-Sept 2021
http://journal.hmjournals.com/index.php/JLS
DOI: https://doi.org/10.55529/jls.11.25.31

Dey and Chakraborty (2018) conducted a study on the impact of the Companies Act 2013 on corporate compliance practices. The research assessed the ease of compliance under the new act and identified areas where further simplification was needed.

Patel and Mehta (2017) analyzed the introduction of One Person Company (OPC) under the Companies Act 2013. The study assessed the benefits of OPC as an attractive option for solo entrepreneurs and its impact on fostering startups.

Taneja and Sharma (2016) examined the impact of class action suits introduced under the Companies Act 2013. The research analyzed the use of this provision in protecting shareholders' interests and holding companies accountable for fraudulent practices.

Overall, the literature review indicates that the Companies Act 1956 laid the foundation for corporate regulation in India, but it faced limitations in keeping pace with evolving business dynamics. The Companies Act 2013 introduced several reforms to enhance corporate governance, investor protection, and ease of compliance. The research studies demonstrate the significance of the new act in promoting responsible corporate practices and aligning India's corporate laws with global standards. However, further research is needed to assess its long-term impact and address challenges in implementation and enforcement.

Evolution and Context:
The Companies Act 1956, a comprehensive legislation at the time, laid the foundation for company law in India. It addressed issues related to company formation, management, and winding-up. However, with changing economic conditions and the need for more robust governance mechanisms, the Act underwent significant revisions to meet contemporary challenges. The Companies Act 2013 was enacted to address emerging complexities and align with global best practices.

The Companies Act 1956 served as the guiding legal framework for more than five decades. During this period, India witnessed significant economic growth and rapid globalization, resulting in the need for a modern and progressive corporate law regime. The limitations of the Companies Act 1956 became evident as it struggled to keep pace with the changing business environment and meet the requirements of a growing economy.

The Companies Act 2013 was introduced to fill the gaps and rectify the shortcomings of the previous legislation. It was aimed at aligning Indian corporate laws with international standards, promoting ease of doing business, and enhancing investor confidence. The Act aimed to foster better corporate governance, facilitate responsible business practices, and protect the interests of stakeholders.

Key Provisions and Changes:
The Companies Act 2013 introduced several significant changes to enhance corporate governance practices in India:

Copyright The Author(s) 2021.This is an Open Access Article distributed under the CC BY license. (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/)

Journal of Legal Subjects
ISSN: 2815-097X
Vol: 01, No. 01, Aug-Sept 2021
http://journal.hmjournals.com/index.php/JLS
DOI: https://doi.org/10.55529/jls.11.25.31

Corporate Governance:
Corporate governance is a critical aspect of corporate law, ensuring that companies are managed in a transparent and accountable manner. The Companies Act 2013 introduced several provisions to strengthen corporate governance practices:

Independent Directors:
Companies Act 1956: There was no mandatory requirement for appointing independent directors.
Companies Act 2013: The Act made it mandatory for certain classes of companies to have at least one-third of the board comprising independent directors, ensuring greater accountability and impartiality in decision-making.

The inclusion of independent directors on the board aims to bring in external expertise and diverse viewpoints, reducing the influence of promoters and enhancing board independence. Independent directors play a crucial role in overseeing management decisions, safeguarding the interests of minority shareholders, and improving corporate governance.

Women Directors:
Companies Act 1956: There were no provisions mandating the appointment of women directors on the board.
Companies Act 2013: The Act made it mandatory for certain classes of companies to have at least one woman director, promoting gender diversity and inclusivity in corporate leadership.

The introduction of a mandatory requirement for women directors aims to enhance gender diversity in corporate boardrooms and promote women's participation in decision-making. This provision acknowledges the importance of gender balance in corporate leadership and supports India's commitment to gender equality.

Audit Committee:
Companies Act 1956: The Act did not specify the constitution of an audit committee for all companies.
Companies Act 2013: The Act made it mandatory for certain classes of companies to establish an audit committee, strengthening financial oversight and transparency.
The audit committee plays a crucial role in overseeing financial reporting, internal control systems, and compliance with accounting standards. The introduction of a mandatory audit committee ensures greater financial discipline and scrutiny, enhancing investor confidence.

Investor Protection:
Investor protection is a key objective of the Companies Act, aiming to safeguard the interests of shareholders and stakeholders. The Companies Act 2013 focused on enhancing investor protection through various provisions:

Copyright The Author(s) 2021.This is an Open Access Article distributed under the CC BY license. (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/)

Journal of Legal Subjects
ISSN: 2815-097X
Vol: 01, No. 01, Aug-Sept 2021
http://journal.hmjournals.com/index.php/JLS
DOI: https://doi.org/10.55529/jls.11.25.31

Related Party Transactions:
Companies Act 1956: The Act did not specifically address related party transactions adequately.
Companies Act 2013: The Act introduced stricter regulations on related party transactions, requiring approval from shareholders and enhanced disclosures to prevent potential conflicts of interest.

The Companies Act 2013 introduced stringent provisions to regulate related party transactions to prevent abusive practices and protect the interests of minority shareholders. The requirement for shareholder approval and enhanced disclosures ensures transparency and minimizes potential conflicts of interest.

Class Action Suits:
Companies Act 1956: There were no provisions for class action suits in cases of corporate fraud or mismanagement.
Companies Act 2013: The Act introduced class action suits, empowering shareholders and investors to seek remedies collectively in case of fraud or oppression.

The introduction of class action suits provides an effective mechanism for shareholders and investors to seek redressal for corporate malpractices or mismanagement. It strengthens the rights of minority shareholders and enhances corporate accountability.

Compliance and E-filing:
Compliance with corporate laws is essential to maintain transparency and ensure the integrity of corporate operations. The Companies Act 2013 introduced several reforms to streamline compliance requirements:

E-filing and e-Registry:
Companies Act 1956: Filing of documents was primarily paper-based, leading to delays and inefficiencies.
Companies Act 2013: The Act introduced e-filing and established a unified e-Registry platform, simplifying and expediting compliance procedures.
The shift to e-filing and the establishment of a unified e-Registry have significantly improved the ease of compliance for companies. It has reduced paperwork, improved efficiency, and ensured real-time access to company information.

One Person Company (OPC):
Companies Act 1956: The concept of OPC was not recognized under the Act.
Companies Act 2013: The Act introduced OPC as a new form of business entity, encouraging entrepreneurship and reducing compliance burden for single-person ventures.
The introduction of OPC provides a favorable environment for startups and encourages entrepreneurs to venture into the corporate world with minimal compliance requirements. It caters to the needs of individual business owners and promotes a conducive ecosystem for small enterprises.

Copyright The Author(s) 2021.This is an Open Access Article distributed under the CC BY license. (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/)

Journal of Legal Subjects
ISSN: 2815-097X
Vol: 01, No. 01, Aug-Sept 2021
http://journal.hmjournals.com/index.php/JLS
DOI: https://doi.org/10.55529/jls.11.25.31

Legal Framework:
The Companies Act 1956 had limited provisions to address complex corporate structures and emerging business models. The Companies Act 2013 provided a modern legal framework that addressed various loopholes and ambiguities in the earlier legislation.

The Companies Act 1956 was primarily based on the English Companies Act 1948, with amendments and modifications to suit the Indian context. However, over time, it faced criticism for being inadequate to regulate the changing dynamics of the corporate sector. The act struggled to address modern corporate structures, such as holding companies, subsidiaries, and cross-border transactions.

The Companies Act 2013 was drafted with a broader and more comprehensive approach to address the challenges faced by the corporate sector. It introduced new concepts, such as one-person companies, corporate social responsibility (CSR), and class action suits. The act provided a robust legal framework to govern the functioning of companies and protect the interests of stakeholders.

Comparative Analysis: Advantages and Disadvantages:
The Companies Act 2013 brought about several advantages, leading to improved corporate governance and enhanced investor protection. Some of the key advantages include:

Advantages of the Companies Act 2013:
- Improved corporate governance practices with the introduction of independent directors and audit committees.
- Enhanced investor protection through stricter regulations on related party transactions and class action suits.
- Simplification of compliance procedures through e-filing and the establishment of e-Registry.
- Promotion of gender diversity with the mandatory requirement for women directors.
- Encouragement of entrepreneurship through the introduction of OPC.

The Companies Act 2013 significantly strengthened the legal framework governing companies in India and aligned it with international best practices. The act aimed to promote responsible and ethical business practices, enhance transparency, and protect the interests of all stakeholders.

Disadvantages of the Companies Act 2013:
While the Companies Act 2013 brought about several positive changes, it also faced some challenges in implementation:
- Increased compliance burden, particularly for smaller companies, due to additional reporting requirements and stringent regulations.
- Challenges in the effective implementation and enforcement of certain provisions due to complex business structures and diverse corporate practices.
- The need for continuous updates and amendments to keep pace with evolving business scenarios and emerging trends.

Copyright The Author(s) 2021.This is an Open Access Article distributed under the CC BY license. (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/)

Journal of Legal Subjects
ISSN: 2815-097X
Vol: 01, No. 01, Aug-Sept 2021
http://journal.hmjournals.com/index.php/JLS
DOI: https://doi.org/10.55529/jls.11.25.31

2. CONCLUSION

The comparative analysis of the Companies Act 1956 and the Companies Act 2013 highlights the significant improvements brought about by the latter. The Companies Act 2013 introduced several reforms to strengthen corporate governance, enhance investor protection, and streamline compliance procedures. While there are certain challenges in implementation, the Act represents a significant step forward in modernizing corporate laws in India.

The Companies Act 2013 has laid the foundation for a more transparent, accountable, and investor-friendly corporate environment. It fosters responsible business practices, enhances shareholder rights, and strengthens corporate governance mechanisms. The act is a reflection of India's commitment to promoting sustainable economic growth and ensuring the long-term success of the corporate sector.

3. REFERENCES

1. Companies Act 1956, India.
2. Companies Act 2013, India.
3. Acharya, V., & Sridhar, V. (2017). Companies Act 2013: A New Paradigm for Corporate Governance in India. IIMB Management Review, 29(4), 262-275.
4. Bhagwat, R. (2014). Companies Act 2013: A Critical Analysis. Company Law Journal, 58(7), 52-64.
5. Chatterjee, A., & Sankaran, K. (2015). Companies Act 2013: A Comprehensive Analysis. LexisNexis Butterworths Wadhwa Nagpur.

Copyright The Author(s) 2021.This is an Open Access Article distributed under the CC BY license. (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/)