Journal of Legal Subjects
ISSN: 2815-097X
Vol: 04, No. 04, June-July 2024
http://journal.hmjournals.com/index.php/JLS
DOI: https://doi.org/10.55529/jls.44.1.11

Law and Literature: To Kill a Mockingbird as a Legal Thriller

Dr. Sameeul Haq Nazki*

**University of Kashmir, Hazratbal Srinagar Jammu and Kashmir, India.*

*Corresponding Email: *saminazki@gmail.com*

Received: 28 February 2024 **Accepted:** 14 May 2024 **Published:** 27 June 2024

Abstract: ***This study examines the close affinity and historical relationship between law and literature through a meticulous study of Harper Lee's To Kill a Mocking a Bird (1960). Reading literature challenges conventions, fosters empathy, and stimulates critical thought. It acts as a mirror for analysing moral conundrums and legal doctrines. The nature of laws and their consideration of past decisions provide rich material for exploration in literature. Thus, the relationship between the two is long and well established. The novel To Kill a Mockingbird by Harper Lee serves as validation of this connection between law and literature. Its legal dimensions transform it into a forceful entry in the genre of legal thrillers. While the novel garners praise for its literary merit, it also fascinates as a legal suspense novel. It has captivated readers with its intricate examination of the judicial system and its philosophical interpretation on justice, prejudice, and morality. Harper Lee's masterpiece transcends mere storytelling to offer profound insights into the complexities of modern judicial system. This study examines the rendering of jurisdictive arrangement, analysing the courtroom drama and characters' battles against social injustices. It also shows how the novel captivates readers not only as a literary work but also as a gripping murder mystery. The close examination of the court proceedings surrounding Tom Robinson's trial and the larger social context of racial tensions in 1930s Alabama is confronted with the Atticus Finch's defence of Tom. Atticus represents a moral light in the novel against the darkness of bias and evil values of society.***

Keywords: Legal Thriller, Race, Justice, Rape, Endurance and Commitment.

1. INTRODUCTION

The dynamic interaction between literature and law aids in the definition of social limits. Both see a world where justice can lead to an idealised state. The continuous discussion concerning the nature of justice and the prospects for building a more equal society is aided by the legal and literary arts. Richard Posner, a prominent legal scholar and judge, advocated

Copyright The Author(s) 2024.This is an Open Access Article distributed under the CC BY license. (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/)

Journal of Legal Subjects
ISSN: 2815-097X
Vol: 04, No. 04, June-July 2024
http://journal.hmjournals.com/index.php/JLS
DOI: https://doi.org/10.55529/jls.44.1.11

for the use of literature as a tool for legal professionals. He believes literature enhances their understanding of human behaviour, ethics, and the complexities of the legal system. He further adds:

After a century as an autonomous discipline, academic law in America is busily ransacking the social sciences and the humanities for insights and approaches with which to enrich our understanding of the legal system. One of the humanities to which academic lawyers are drawn is the study of literature (1986:1351).

The exchange of the two is evident in the ancient Greek tragedian Sophocles' play *Antigone*. It dramatises a civil war that broke out after the death of King Oedipus, ultimately leading to the execution of Polinyces, who rebelled against his brother Creon. The play's protagonist, Antigone (a sister of Creon and Polinyces), defied Creon's royal orders and offered her brother a dignified and regal funeral. It is unbearable for her that her rebel brother's body will not be sanctified by holy rites and will lie unburied on the battlefield, prey for carrion animals. In this tale, the law of the territory stated that no one could offer Polinyces a royal burial. However, Antigone chose to defy this decree because she adhered to literary justice. Thus, Antigone, despite breaking the law of the land, felt that her act was justified as she believed that there is something over and above the law of the land that is moral. This law is determined by a literary focus on 'empathy' and its importance in legal proceedings. The Harvard Law Review *(2004)* referring to the law-literature exchange comments that, "few have denied that its (To Kill a Mockingbird) enduring power among lawyers and law students lies in its appeal to a deep-lying empathetic impulse" (1685).

2. RELATED WORKS

To Kill a Mockingbird by Harper Lee is a seminal work in the genre of legal thrillers and has inspired a wealth of related literature and scholarly works. The novel addresses themes of racial injustice, moral growth, and the complexities of human behavior, all framed within a gripping courtroom drama. Here are some related works and resources that explore similar themes or are inspired by "To Kill a Mockingbird":

Related Legal Thrillers and Novels

1. A Time to Kill by John Grisham
2. This novel also deals with themes of racial injustice and legal drama in the American South. It tells the story of a young lawyer defending a black man accused of murdering two white men who raped his daughter.
3. Inherit the Wind by Jerome Lawrence and Robert E. Lee
4. Although a play rather than a novel, this work explores legal and moral issues, specifically the right to think and speak freely. It's based on the Scopes "Monkey" Trial, which debated the legality of teaching evolution in schools.
5. Snow Falling on Cedars by David Guterson
6. This novel combines a love story with a courtroom drama, addressing issues of prejudice and injustice against Japanese-Americans in the post-WWII era.
7. Scholarly Works
8. "Reading Harper Lee: Understanding To Kill a Mockingbird and Go Set a Watchman" by Claudia Durst Johnson

Copyright The Author(s) 2024.This is an Open Access Article distributed under the CC BY license. (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/)

Journal of Legal Subjects
ISSN: 2815-097X
Vol: 04, No. 04, June-July 2024
http://journal.hmjournals.com/index.php/JLS
DOI: https://doi.org/10.55529/jls.44.1.11

9. This book provides a comprehensive analysis of Lee's novels, including their legal and social themes.
10. "Mockingbird: A Portrait of Harper Lee" by Charles J. Shields
11. A biography that gives insight into the life of Harper Lee and the creation of her famous work, exploring its impact on American literature and legal thought.
12. Essays and Articles
13. "Atticus Finch – A Lawyer's Hero" by Malcolm Gladwell
14. An essay that re-evaluates the character of Atticus Finch, discussing his role and impact as a lawyer and moral figure in the context of the civil rights movement.
15. "The Enduring Power of Attorney Atticus Finch" by Steven Lubet
16. An article analyzing Atticus Finch's character from a legal perspective, considering his influence on the legal profession and American society.

3. RESEARCH METHODOLOGY

Analyzing *to Kill a Mockingbird* by Harper Lee as a legal thriller within the context of Law and Literature requires a detailed and interdisciplinary research methodology. Here's a comprehensive approach you can take:

1. Literature Review
Objective: To gather existing research on *To Kill a Mockingbird*, its legal aspects, and its classification as a legal thriller.
Sources: Academic journals, legal reviews, books, dissertations, and theses.
Steps:
Review scholarly articles discussing the legal themes in *To Kill a Mockingbird*.
Examine literature on legal thrillers to define the genre and its characteristics.
Identify critical essays and analyses of Harper Lee's work.

2. Theoretical Framework
Objective: To outline the theories and concepts that will guide the analysis of the novel as a legal thriller.
Key Theories:
Literary Theory: Focus on narrative theory, genre theory, and structural analysis.
Legal Theory: Include jurisprudence, legal realism, and critical legal studies.
Ethical Theory: Consider moral philosophy and ethical dilemmas in legal practice.
Application: Use these theories to interpret the novel's plot, characters, and legal proceedings.

3. Textual Analysis
Objective: To closely read and analyze the text with a focus on its legal elements and thriller aspects.
Method:
Close Reading: Examine key legal scenes, courtroom drama, and character interactions.

Copyright The Author(s) 2024.This is an Open Access Article distributed under the CC BY license. (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/)

Journal of Legal Subjects
ISSN: 2815-097X
Vol: 04, No. 04, June-July 2024
http://journal.hmjournals.com/index.php/JLS
DOI: https://doi.org/10.55529/jls.44.1.11

Genre Analysis: Identify elements typical of legal thrillers (e.g., suspense, ethical dilemmas, and legal conflicts).
Character Analysis: Focus on Atticus Finch as the lawyer protagonist and his role in the legal drama.

4. Contextual Analysis
Objective: To situate the novel within its broader socio-legal and historical context.
Components:
Historical Context: Explore the legal and racial climate of the 1930s American South, particularly concerning Jim Crow laws.
Biographical Context: Consider Harper Lee's background and the influence of her father's legal career on the novel.
Legal Context: Analyze the portrayal of legal practice, courtroom procedures, and ethical considerations in the novel.

5. Comparative Analysis
Objective: To compare *To Kill a Mockingbird* with other legal thrillers and courtroom dramas.
Method: Select other notable legal thrillers (e.g., *A Time to Kill* by John Grisham).
Compare narrative techniques, portrayal of legal processes, and thematic concerns.
Discuss similarities and differences in how legal and ethical issues are depicted.

6. Qualitative Research Methods
Objective: To gather additional insights from legal professionals and literary scholars.
Methods:
Interviews: Conduct interviews with lawyers, judges, and scholars specializing in law and literature.
Surveys: Use surveys to gather opinions from readers and legal professionals about the depiction of law in the novel.

7. Data Analysis
Objective: To synthesize all collected data and interpret the findings.
Methods:
Coding: Develop a coding system for thematic analysis of textual data.
Synthesis: Integrate findings from the literature review, textual analysis, contextual analysis, and qualitative research.
Interpretation: Draw conclusions about the classification of the novel as a legal thriller and its legal implications.

8. Writing and Presentation
Objective: To effectively communicate your research findings.
Structure:
Introduction: Present the research question, objectives, and significance.
Literature Review: Summarize key sources and theoretical frameworks.

Copyright The Author(s) 2024.This is an Open Access Article distributed under the CC BY license. (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/)

Journal of Legal Subjects
ISSN: 2815-097X
Vol: 04, No. 04, June-July 2024
http://journal.hmjournals.com/index.php/JLS
DOI: https://doi.org/10.55529/jls.44.1.11

Methodology: Detail the research design and methods used.
Analysis: Present and analyze the findings from the textual, contextual, and comparative analyses.
Discussion: Interpret the results in relation to the research question and theoretical framework.
Conclusion: Summarize the main findings and suggest areas for further research.

9. Ethical Considerations
Ensure proper citation and acknowledgment of all sources.
Obtain consent for any interviews or surveys conducted.
This methodology provides a comprehensive approach to analyzing *To Kill a Mockingbird* as a legal thriller, combining literary analysis with legal and contextual insights.

4. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

In the realm of literature, certain works transcend their traditional categorisations by offering readers a multifaceted experience that extends beyond mere storytelling. Harper Lee's *To* Kill a Mockingbird stands as a prime example, hailed not only as a literary masterpiece but also as a gripping legal suspense story. Lee's legal thriller immerses readers in a world where the intricacies of the legal system collide with the broader societal issues of justice. In a prejudiced society where racial bias often compromises justice, Atticus Finch, the moral compass of the novel, confronts the moral ambiguity of society by defending a black man. John Gardner defines morality as "nothing more than doing what is unselfish, helpful, kind, and noble-hearted, and doing it with at least a reasonable expectation that in the long run as well as the short we won't be sorry for what we've done, whether or not it was against some petty human law" (1978: 23).
Harper Lee's work opens with a narrative concerning Scout Finch's family, cantering on a single family, the Finches. Scout and Jem are the offspring of Atticus, and he is a practicing lawyer. Atticus is defending a black man in court, something that is not often done in the South due to racism. Defending a black guy in court is unusual and incomprehensible for people. He reads in a livingroom all day unlike others who hunt. To narrators introspection he appears a man of words instead of a man of action. Lee however intends to present him in a favourable light, a man committed to humanity and ready to act when necessary. This is shown in an episode when he promptly kills a mad dog even though he does not want to teach his children to shoot. His shooting skills are a surprise to Jem and Scout. Miss Maudie reveals that Atticus was known as "Ol' One-Shot" in his youth (101). Despite this talent, Atticus rarely hunts, which Miss Maudie attributes to his sense of civility and respect for life. "It requires an individual to be educated regarding the consistent and moral correctness with which to treat others in a diverse society" (Ezzo, 2001: 16-17). She suggests that Atticus views marksmanship as a God-given gift that grants him an unfair advantage over other living beings. As a result, he chooses not to use his skill unless absolutely necessary, as he did when he was forced to shoot the rabid dog, Tim Johnson, to protect the town with "the deadest shot in Maycomb County" (101). This revelation challenges Jem and Scout's perception of their father and emphasises his moral integrity and restraint in using his

Copyright The Author(s) 2024.This is an Open Access Article distributed under the CC BY license. (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/)

Journal of Legal Subjects
ISSN: 2815-097X
Vol: 04, No. 04, June-July 2024
http://journal.hmjournals.com/index.php/JLS
DOI: https://doi.org/10.55529/jls.44.1.11

abilities. Jem's description of Atticus during the shooting is vivid and expresses awe and admiration for his father's calm demeanour and expert marksmanship. "'d you see him, Scout? 'D you see him just standin' there? 'N' all of a sudden he just relaxed all over, an' it looked like that gun was a part of him… an' he did it so quick, like… I hafta aim for ten minutes'fore I can hit somethin'…" (101).

This scene serves as a powerful metaphor in the progression of novel. While Tim Johnson, (dog) an immediate threat in the scene, symbolically represents the deeper issues of racism, prejudice, and moral decay that plague the town of Maycomb. Critics have suggested that the "mad dog" of the novel isn't just Tim Johnson but rather the collective ignorance and hatred within Maycomb's society. Lee aims to point out the "Maycomb's "usual disease", racism, which makes them just as irrational and just as dangerous as Tim Johnson. Atticus's neighbours and friends, therefore, are those "mad dogs" that he must confront" (Bloom, 2007: 101). Atticus's neighbours and friends, in this interpretation, symbolise the societal forces of racism.

The accusation of rape is a central event in the plot. Tom, a black man, is falsely accused of raping Mayella Ewell, a white woman in the racially segregated town of Maycomb, Alabama, during the 1930s. In chapter 18, Tom takes the witness stand and recounts his version of the events leading up to the alleged rape of Mayella Ewell. He testifies that Mayella had asked him to do odd jobs around her house, and on the day of the incident, she had invited him inside to repair a door. Tom describes how Mayella made advances towards him and attempted to kiss him, which led to her father, Bob Ewell, catching them in the act. Tom insists that he did not harm May. The accusation arises from Mayella's attempt to hide her feelings for Tom and to deflect attention from her abusive father, Bob Ewell, who is responsible for Mayella's injuries. Mayella, living in poverty with her abusive and neglectful father, finds herself attracted to Tom. Tom is a kind and respectful black man who helps her with chores and shows her basic human decency. However, Mayella's feelings for Tom are taboo and forbidden in the rigidly segregated society of Maycomb. When Mayella's father, Bob Ewell, discovers her interactions with Tom, he becomes enraged and violently beats Mayella. "Calpurnia sighed. "Old Mr. Bob Ewell accused him of rapin' his girl an' had him arrested an' put in jail—" (125).

Atticus has been elected by Judge Taylor to take this case. Scout doesn't understand why Atticus is taking Tom's case because his children's lives are threatened. Scout explains how the town is so outraged and Atticus is dubbed "Nigger-lover" (110) Atticus being a nicest of father attempts to explain major reasons for doing it:

The main one is, if I couldn´t hold up my head in town, I couldn´t represent his county in the legislature, I couldn´t even tell you or Jem not to do something again."… "Because I could never ask you to mind me again. Scout, simply the nature of the work, every lawyer gets at least one case in his lifetime that affects him personally. This one mine, I guess" (100-101).

There is an explicit the connection between Atticus' role as father and his role as Lawyer. When Scout asks if they are going to win the trial of Tom, Atticus's response is a sober acknowledgment of the harsh reality of their situation. He confirms that prevailing court is racial biased.

In the pivotal scene where Atticus uncovers Bob Ewell's role in framing Tom, Atticus delves into the motivations behind Ewell's nefarious scheme and malevolent intentions. Bob as a

Copyright The Author(s) 2024.This is an Open Access Article distributed under the CC BY license. (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/)

Journal of Legal Subjects
ISSN: 2815-097X
Vol: 04, No. 04, June-July 2024
http://journal.hmjournals.com/index.php/JLS
DOI: https://doi.org/10.55529/jls.44.1.11

result of this spat in Atticus's face and threatened him. Atticus reflects that he allowed violence redirected towards him to prevent further harm to Mayella. It reveals Atticus's empathy and understanding of human nature, even in the face of hostility and injustice. Atticus's willingness to absorb the abuse to protect others demonstrates his moral strength and commitment to justice. "So if spitting in my face and threatening me saved Mayella one extra beating, that's something I'll gladly take. He had to take it out on somebody and I'd rather it be me than that houseful of children out there" (221).

Lee underscores the essence of true courage. It emphasises that courage isn't solely defined by physical strength or bravado but rather by the willingness to persevere in the face of adversity. It highlights the courage to stand up for what is right, even when defeat is likely, and to continue fighting for justice and morality regardless of the outcome.

I wanted you to see what real courage is, instead of getting the idea that courage is a man with a gun in his hand. It's when you know you're licked before you begin but you begin anyway and you see it through no matter what. You rarely win, but sometimes you do. Mrs. Dubose won, all ninety-eight pounds of her (115-116).

This notion challenges conventional notions of courage, illustrating that it resides in one's resilience, determination, and commitment to one's principles, even in the face of overwhelming challenges.

Chapter 17 describes the trial scene where Mr. Heck Tate is testifying as a witness. The atmosphere in the courtroom is tense as the circuit solicitor, Mr. Gilmer, questions Mr. Tate about the events surrounding the alleged crime. The description of Mr. Gilmer's tactics in using his slight cast to his advantage adds to the tension of the scene. Mr. Tate recounts the events of the night of November twenty-first, describing how he was called to the Ewell household by Bob Ewell, who claimed that his daughter had been raped by Tom. During the cross-examination, Atticus Finch questions Mr. Tate about why he didn't call a doctor for Mayella Ewell despite her injuries. "Atticus reached up and took off his glasses, turned his good right eye to the witness, and rained questions on her" (79). By the time he finishes questioning her, Atticus "looked like his stomach hurt" (80). The questioning becomes increasingly focused on the details of Mayella's injuries, particularly the bruising around her right eye and the finger marks on her throat. Atticus's line of questioning reveals inconsistencies in Mr. Tate's testimony and raises doubts about the truthfulness of the accusations against Tom. His friendly and empathetic manner of questioning Mayella is innate to his nature and more importantly:

He is friendly and polite to a fault, but Mayella is right to be suspicious: as his cross-examination of her soon reveals, his affability is also part of his profession technique. It is intended to disarm her, to put her off her guard, so as to help him extract with canny precision the information he wants from her and to pounce at the appropriate moment of vulnerability" (*HLR*, 1695).

The testimony of Bob Ewell during Tom's trial reflects the impoverished appearance and unsavoury nature of his family. As Bob Ewell testifies, his crude language and demeanour draw attention to his lack of education and social standing. His accusations against Tom are delivered with a mixture of aggression and indignation. The courtroom reacts with shock and disapproval, and Judge Taylor intervenes to restore order. Atticus Finch, Tom's defense attorney, begins his cross-examination of Ewell by questioning his actions on the night of the

Copyright The Author(s) 2024.This is an Open Access Article distributed under the CC BY license. (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/)

Journal of Legal Subjects
ISSN: 2815-097X
Vol: 04, No. 04, June-July 2024
http://journal.hmjournals.com/index.php/JLS
DOI: https://doi.org/10.55529/jls.44.1.11

alleged assault. Atticus highlights Ewell's failure to seek medical attention for Mayella's injuries, raising doubts about his concern for her well-being. Atticus then challenges Ewell's literacy, asking him to write his name. Ewell, initially eager to demonstrate his abilities, becomes flustered as Atticus reveals his true intentions. Judge Taylor notices that Bob Ewell is left-handed, as he writes his name on the envelope. The accused has been attacked by someone who predominantly used left hand. This draws attention to the fact that Tom, the defendant, has a disabled left arm, rendering it physically impossible for him to have inflicted the injuries on Mayella as described.

Ewell becomes defensive, claiming that being left-handed has nothing to do with the case and accusing Atticus of taking advantage of him. Despite Ewell's protestations, Atticus continues to question him, but Ewell sticks to his story. Mr. Gilmer, the prosecuting attorney, asks Ewell if he is ambidextrous, to which Ewell vehemently denies, emphasising that he can use both hands equally well. There is no evidence that the crime Tom is charged with ever took place. The case has relied instead upon the testimony of two witnesses whose evidence has not only been called into serious question on cross examination, but has been flatly contradicted by the defendant. There is circumstantial evidence that strongly suggests Mayella Ewell was attacked by his father with his left hand.

When Mr. Gilmer, the prosecutor, asks her to recount what happened, Mayella initially struggles, bursting into tears and expressing fear, particularly of Atticus Finch, the defense attorney. Atticus, who has already discredited her father, Bob, by revealing his left-handedness as a significant detail. As she begins her testimony, Mayella describes how she asked Tom to help her break up a chiffarobe for kindling. She claims that when she went inside to get a nickel to pay him, Tom attacked her, hitting and choking her before her father arrived and stopped the assault. Tom, a twenty-five-year-old married man with three children, recounts his past trouble with the law—a fight where he was cut but not seriously injured, leading to a conviction because he couldn't pay the fine. Mr. Gilmer's cross-examination of Tom is a stark illustration of the racial prejudice and injustice prevailing in Maycomb. He insinuates that Tom's previous conviction for disorderly conduct somehow makes him capable of assaulting Mayella Ewell.

Atticus's questioning begins to reveal details about Mayella's life. She is nineteen and the eldest of seven siblings. Their mother has been dead for a long time, and Mayella's education was minimal, only attending school for a few years. Atticus methodically paints a picture of the Ewells' difficult living conditions: Bob's alcoholism, the poor health and hygiene of the children, and the general neglect and poverty. Atticus questions about her relationship with her father, Bob Ewell. Despite her initial reluctance, Mayella eventually admits that her father is not always kind to her, especially when he's been drinking. She remains inconsistent when describing the specifics of the alleged attack cast doubt on her reliability because "Atticus had hit her hard in a way" (191). He suggests that her accusations against Tom may be influenced by her desperate circumstances and the domineering presence of her father.

"Why didn't your screams make them come running? The dump's closer than the woods, isn't it?"… "Or didn't you scream until you saw your father in the window? You didn't think to scream until then, did you?"… "Did you scream first at your father instead of at Tom Robinson? Was that it?"

Copyright The Author(s) 2024.This is an Open Access Article distributed under the CC BY license. (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/)

Journal of Legal Subjects
ISSN: 2815-097X
Vol: 04, No. 04, June-July 2024
http://journal.hmjournals.com/index.php/JLS
DOI: https://doi.org/10.55529/jls.44.1.11

No answer.
"Who beat you up? Tom Robinson or your father?"
No answer.
"What did your father see in the window, the crime of rape or the best defense to it? Why don't you tell the truth, child, didn't Bob Ewell beat you up?" (191).
Atticus challenged Mayella's account of the events. He points out discrepancies in her testimony and confronts her with the prospect that her father, not Tom, was responsible for her injuries. Atticus Finch questions Tom, revealing that Tom is open about his past and has nothing to hide.
Tom explains how he came to know Mayella Ewell, passing her place daily to get to work at Mr. Link Deas' field and yard. He describes his encounter with Mayella when she asked him to "she had somethin' for me to do inside the house—" (201) and break up a chiffarobe for her, which he did without charge. Over time, Mayella frequently asked Tom to help with various chores. Despite the presence of her siblings, who watched but never helped, Tom continued to assist her without payment, understanding her financial difficulties.
Mayella asked Tom to get a box down from on top of a tall chiffarobe. As he was reaching for the box, Mayella grabbed him around the legs, startling him so much that he jumped down and overturned the chair. At this point, Tom became visibly uncomfortable and hesitant to continue his testimony. Judge Taylor urged him to proceed, reminding him of his oath to tell the truth. "Atticus insisted on telling the truth, more so than others, because seeing and telling the truth was the way Atticus could know who he was and what his community was" (Shaffer, 1981 189).When her father, Bob Ewell, witnessed the encounter, he threatened to kill Mayella. Terrified, Tom ran away. "If you had a clear conscience, why were you scared?" "Like I says before, it weren't safe for any nigger to be in a—fix like that. "No suh, scared I'd hafta face up to what I didn't do" (202). Atticus questions Tom to illustrate his innocence and the social pressures he faced. Tom's steadfast insistence on his innocence, despite Mr. Gilmer's relentless questioning, underscores his moral character. The climax of the cross-examination, where Tom asserts that Mayella is "mistaken in her mind," (202) reveals the tragedy of the situation. Ultimately, Atticus pleads, "I am confident that you gentlemen will review without passion the evidence you have heard, come to a decision, and restore this defendant to his family. In the name of God, do your duty" (209).
When Tom is brought in for trial, Judge Taylor becomes alert. In a dreamlike sequence, the jury returns with a guilty verdict. Scout observes the solemnity of the moment, noticing that the jury avoids looking at Tom. As the verdict is read, Jem's distress is palpable. Meanwhile, Atticus quietly gathers his belongings, whispers to Tom, and leaves the courtroom without ceremony. Scout is so absorbed in watching Atticus that she barely notices when Reverend Sykes urges her to stand as a sign of respect for her father. The black community, standing in the balcony, pays silent tribute to Atticus as he exits the courtroom, his lonely walk evoking a profound sense of respect and admiration. Even when Tom is convicted guilty, the black still give their best respect by standing up when Atticus passes I looked around. They were standing. All around us and in the balcony on the opposite wall, the Negroes were getting to their feet. Reverend Sykes's voice was as distant as Judge Taylor's. "Miss Jean Louise, stand up. Your father's passin" (215). Atticus's commitment to defending Tom to the best of his ability is a central theme.

Copyright The Author(s) 2024.This is an Open Access Article distributed under the CC BY license. (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/)

Journal of Legal Subjects
ISSN: 2815-097X
Vol: 04, No. 04, June-July 2024
http://journal.hmjournals.com/index.php/JLS
DOI: https://doi.org/10.55529/jls.44.1.11

Not simply intrepid in the face of mob violence, he accounts ably for himself in court, as well. Any other attorney in Maycomb, one character concludes, would have been at a loss in the Robinson case. But Atticus, in a trial unwinnable for the defense, was "the only man in [those] parts who [could] keep a jury out so long in a case like that" (Peter Zwick, 2010:1354).

His unwavering dedication to providing Tom with a fair trial, despite the prevailing racial prejudices of the time, underscores the novel's exploration of moral courage and integrity. Johnson says that "through a realistic delineation of her characters, Harper Lee succeeded in challenging the stereotypes" (1994: 137).

5. CONCLUSION

To Kill a Mockingbird is undoubtedly a legal thriller with the fast-paced courtroom dramas typically associated with the genre. Harper Lee's masterpiece intricately weaves together legal elements with profound social commentary and moral exploration. The novel transcends its classification as a mere legal thriller by delving into the complexities of human nature and the broader social implications of the trial. Lee deftly explores issues of empathy, innocence, and the corrosive effects of bigotry, challenging readers to confront uncomfortable truths about the nature of prejudice and the pervasiveness of injustice. Lee's work stands as a timeless masterpiece that defies easy categorization. While it undeniably functions as a legal thriller, its thematic depth, rich characterization, and thought-provoking commentary elevate it to a work of literature that transcends genre boundaries. Through its poignant portrayal of the quest for justice in the face of entrenched prejudice, Harper Lee's novel continues to resonate with readers as a powerful testament to the enduring power of empathy, integrity, and the pursuit of truth.

6. REFERENCES

1. Bloom, Harold. Modern Critical Interpretations: Harper Lee's To Kill a Mockingbird. New York: Chelsea House, InfoBase Publishing, 2007.
2. Harvard Law Review: Being Atticus Finch: The Professional Role of Empathy in To Kill a Mockingbird. Source: Harvard Law Review , Mar., 2004, Vol. 117, No. 5 (Mar., 2004), pp. 1682-1702 Published by: The Harvard Law Review Association Stable URL: https://www.jstor.org/stable/4093264
3. Ezzo, Gary, and Anne Marie. Growing Kids God's Way: Biblical Ethics for Parenting. 5th Edition. Simi Valley, California: Growing Families International, 2001.
4. Lee, Harper. To Kill a Mockingbird Harper Lee Copyright (C) 1960 by Harper Lee Published by arrangement with McIntosh and Otis, Inc. 1960. Downloaded from, https://www.raio.org/TKMFullText.pdf
5. Gardner, John. On Moral Fiction. New York: Basic Books, Inc., 1978.
6. Johnson, Claudia Durst. Understanding to Kill a Mockingbird: A Student Casebook to Issues, Sources, and Historic Documents. Westport, Connecticut: Greenwood, 1994.

Copyright The Author(s) 2024.This is an Open Access Article distributed under the CC BY license. (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/)

Journal of Legal Subjects
ISSN: 2815-097X
Vol: 04, No. 04, June-July 2024
http://journal.hmjournals.com/index.php/JLS
DOI: https://doi.org/10.55529/jls.44.1.11

7. Posner A. Richard. Law and Literature: A Relation Reargued. Source: Virginia Law Review, Vol.72, No.8 (Nov., 1986), pp. 1351-1392 Stable URL: http://www.jstor.org/stable/1073042. Accessed: 12-02-2024
8. Zwick, Peter. Rethinking Atticus Finch, 60 Case W. Res. L. Rev. Case Western Reserve Law Review Volume 60/ Issue 4 (2010) Available at: https://scholarlycommons.law.case.edu/caselrev/vol60/iss4/12
9. Posner A. Richard. Law and Literature: A Relation Reargued. Source: Virginia Law Review, Vol. 72, No. 8 (Nov., 1986), pp. 1351-1392 Stable URL: http://www.jstor.org/stable/1073042. Accessed: 12-02-2024
10. Shaffer, Thomas L. "The Moral Theology of Atticus Finch." University of Pittsburgh Law Review 42 (1981): 181-224.

Copyright The Author(s) 2024.This is an Open Access Article distributed under the CC BY license. (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/)