



---

# A Qualitative Study of Exploring the Impact of Social Media on Political Participation

---

M K Imtiaz Hussain<sup>1</sup>, Hafsa Farooq<sup>2</sup>, Dr Naveed Iqbal<sup>3\*</sup>

<sup>1,2,3\*</sup>Mass Communication University of Karachi, Pakistan.

Email: <sup>1</sup>[mkimtiazhussain@gmail.com](mailto:mkimtiazhussain@gmail.com), <sup>2</sup>[imtiazhussainraja05@gmail.com](mailto:imtiazhussainraja05@gmail.com)

Corresponding Email: <sup>3\*</sup>[sajidalison2023@gmail.com](mailto:sajidalison2023@gmail.com)

Received: 05 June 2023

Accepted: 22 August 2023

Published: 07 October 2023

**Abstract:** *This studies examines the influence of social media on political participation by exploring the stories, perceptions, and behaviors of people. Through thematic evaluation of consciousness organization discussions and interviews, regarding 10 members (5 men and 5 girls), key findings emerge. Firstly, social media serves as a extensive supply of political statistics, allowing people to access diverse perspectives, information articles, and actual-time updates on political occasions. Secondly, social media systems facilitate political discussion and dialogue, allowing individuals to specific their critiques, engage with various viewpoints, and mission their personal ideals. Thirdly, social media performs a crucial function in political mobilization, empowering people to endorse for causes, improve awareness, and arrange campaigns. Additionally, social media complements individuals' feel of political efficacy, fostering their perception in their ability to make a distinction within the political sphere. Lastly, the examine highlights the relationship among social media engagement and offline political participation, with social media frequently serving as a catalyst for people' involvement in political activities. These findings emphasize the capability of social media as a tool for political engagement, information dissemination, and mobilization, however additionally spotlight challenges related to incorrect information. The implications of those findings advise the need for media literacy training, strategic use of social media by using policymakers and political actors, and efforts to sell knowledgeable and inclusive political engagement in the digital age.*

**Keywords:** *Social Media, Political Participation, Media Literacy, Offline Participation.*

## 1. INTRODUCTION

Communications technology and social media have dramatically changed how we engage in politics (Smith & Anderson, 2018). However, social media has also turned into an important



tool of political participation for a huge number of people — how a person interacts in society or the way they voice their opinion or get involved in politics has changed due to these platforms. This study seeks to examine the effect of social media on political participation and examines how those platforms have transformed political communication and mobilization.

What underpins this research is the fact that online community and more particularly, social media has become an essential method for individuals to communicate with each other and exchange information. Thanks largely to the growth of sites like Facebook, Twitter, and Instagram, folks have never had more access to political information or to other folks who think along similar lines, nor to the ability to give those opinions about society at large. Online alternatives to traditional methods of getting politically involved such as attending rallies or writing letters to elected officials, were used in addition to such actions, and sometimes instead.

Research carried out earlier by Smith, A., And Anderson, M (2018. ), examined several areas of society whereby social media has had an influence on society; one aspect being politics. There is some research exploring the association of social media use and political participation but has less been written on the contoured linkage. On the positive side, research also shows that social media can increase political participation through channels of communication and mobilization, but other evidence suggests that social media promotes political polarisation and disengagement.

For policy-makers, political campaigners, scholars and many others, the important thing will be to understand the consequences of social media in relation to political engagement. Unraveling how this interplay affects our efforts for meaningful civic engagement can inform our strategy for building deeper, broader, and more participatory democracy. Moreover, this study contributes to the knowledge base on media effect and communication theory with respect to political behavior.

### **Objectives**

RO1. To examine the perceptions and beliefs of individuals regarding the role of social media in political participation.

RO2. To explore the specific ways in which social media influences individuals' political behaviors and attitudes.

RO3. To identify the potential positive and negative consequences of social media on political participation.

RO4. To examine how demographic factors and online behaviors shape the relationship between social media use and political engagement.

### **Research Questions**

RQ1. How do individuals perceive the role of social media in political participation?

RQ2. In what ways does social media influence individuals' political behaviors and attitudes?

RQ3. What are the potential positive and negative consequences of social media on political participation?



RQ4. How do demographic factors and online behaviors shape the relationship between social media use and political engagement?

### **Literature Review**

Participation's shaper is communication and media. With the rise of social media platforms the manner in which people can participate in political processes has evolved as well—through different communication vehicles, means of mobilizing, and distribution of information (Bimber et al., 2012). We will have a review of significant existing research on the interrelation between communication, media and political engagement through this part.

For communication scholars over many decades, the role of media as a shaper of political agendas and public opinion has been well-established. McCombs & Shaw (1972) argue that the media can set the agenda for public opinion and policy by determining what issue will be important. The Agenda-Setting Theory states that media will increase interest in one issue at the expense of another because it is presented as Research has proven that media coverage and online conversations about an issue can influence how people view political matters and even what topics they deem important enough to debate (Dearing & Rogers, 1996).

For people wanting to participate in politics, social media has become an instrumental platform. Young (2017) posits that social media enables people from all walks of life access a platform where they can air political sentiments, interact with others who share similar opinions, engage in political conversations, etc. These platforms' ability to facilitate user-to-user interaction enables individuals to communicate with each other, discuss issues, exchange information and advocate for causes, including politics (Boulianne, 2015).

The Uses & Gratification Theory suggests how media user actively search for specific gratification from consuming their media (Blumler & Katz, 1974). Applied to the realm of social media and political participation, this idea holds that people use social media sites to satisfy particular needs, e.g., the need for news, the desire for social connection and opportunities to make their voices heard in the public square through civic engagement. As an example, people can turn to social media for getting the latest updates and following the politic campaigns (Gil de Zúñiga, Jung, & Valenzuela, 2012). The Korean politicians use popular Twitter, Facebook and YouTube channels to voice out their ideas among the public in the virtual sphere. Moreover, they hold debates amongst themselves or through the media, debate in the National Assembly, share campaign materials and have discussions on social media platforms among other platforms to make

Yet, it's no surprise that with social media comes some risks in terms of political involvement. One critical concern has been the rise of filter bubbles and echo chambers in social media environments: people may be restricted to a narrow range of perspectives that do little more than confirm and further entrench their already held views, hindering access to different worldviews (Pariser On top of this, the proliferation of lies and fake news across social media platforms can be detrimental to the calibre of public political conversation, and can shape people's opinions of politics and how they engage with it (Lewandowsky, Ecker, & Cook, 2017).

The literature points to the importance of communication and media, especially social media, in promoting political participation. Social media has provided people with an alternative medium to discuss politics, collect news, or rally behind a cause. But filter bubble and



misinformation problems call for more nuance in our understanding of how social media affects political engagement.

Social media platforms have become integral in shaping political engagement and participation. This section reviews the existing literature on the relationship between social media and political engagement, focusing on its impact on political behavior, mobilization, and the participation of marginalized groups.

Various elements of political behaviour have been found to be affected by social media use based on research. Research also showed a positive correlation between online activism through social media, particularly Twitter, and in-person/telephonic political discussion participation (Agarwal & Prelec, 2010; Vitak et al., 2011). With social media, people have the ability to participate in political discussions, express ideas, view or share information from all corners of society (Shah et al., 2017).

Moreover, frequent social media using is related to higher levels of political efficacy, meaning people's confidence toward influencing within the political procedure (Boulianne, 2016). People can express ideas; can form online groups or take part in collective actions through social media platforms which make them feel powerful and improve their political efficacy (Jungherr, 2016).

The use of social media has been a critical tool for the rise of political awareness among people as well as mass movements. Social media is the tool activists and grassroots movements have used for communication, coordination of demonstrations, and gathering support for issues or causes ( Tremayne 2014 ). Real-time communication through social media empowers quick propagation of messages and orchestrates collective action to organize people having common interest in politics (Bode & Dalrymple, 2020).

In addition, social media platforms have emerged as important amplifiers for voices that have been disempowered or excluded from traditional broadcasting outlets, enabling communities to draw attention to their concerns and campaign for social justice (Neff, 2017). In fact research demonstrates how the use of social media permits the involvement of traditionally disempowered groups such as young people and minority communities to participate in political discussion and mobilisation ( Chadwick & Vaccari, 2019).

Social media has certainly made it easier to engage politically, but there are questions and concerns too. Selective exposure and echo chambers can also arise as individuals more often engage with people who share their views and are exposed to content tailored to confirm their own opinions (Bakshy, Messing, & Adamic, 2015). As such, this can curtail access to the breadth of viewpoints and further exacerbate polarized political conversation.

Furthermore, the pervasion of misinformation and disinformation on social media has brought into question the authenticity and credibility of political news. Lies lead to misunderstandings which influence peoples' politics opinions and actions and negatively impact the discussion about important issues and consequently democratic decisions making (Vosoughi, Roy & Aral, 2018).

Research has shown a mixed relationship between social media use and voter turnout. Some studies suggest that social media engagement positively correlates with increased political participation and voter turnout (Enli & Skogerbø, 2013). Social media platforms provide opportunities for political campaigns and organizations to reach out to potential voters, mobilize support, and disseminate information about voting procedures and deadlines (Vitak



et al., 2011). Moreover, social media platforms can facilitate peer-to-peer mobilization, as individuals share information about voting and encourage their social networks to participate (Davis, 2019).

### **Theoretical Framework**

This paper adopts a theory-based framework to guide the discussion and interpretation of the data; it blends concepts from communication and media theories. These two theoretical frameworks include the Agenda Setting Theory and the Uses and Gratifications Theory.

The media has been the center of attention when discussing how agenda setting works in society according to the Agenda Setting Theory by McCombs and Shaw (1972); they note that media can greatly influence the prominence and direction of public opinion through their selection of stories. This argument holds that media exerts the capability to direct our attention towards a subject and make it dominant in public conversation. When talking about social media and political participation the Agenda Setting theory allows us to comprehend how platforms like social media can shape our ideas/perceptions of political matters and the way how we prioritises our own political engagement.

The Uses and Gratifications Theory as conceived by Blumler and Katz (Blumler & Katz, 1974) is centred upon the viewer actively seeking out and using media and the media offering such uses to them. This view holds that people turn to media for different types of gratification — e.g., informational purposes, socializing with others, amusement, or reinforcing their own personal identity. By applying the Uses and Gratifications Theory in our research on Social Media and Political Participation enables us to understand what motives do we fulfill from Social Media activities relating to Political Behavior and Attitudes.

Through the use of such theoretical lenses, this article will attempt to make sense of the qualitative data gathered from focus groups and thereby gain further insight into how social media shapes political participation.

## **2. RESEARCH METHODOLOGY**

### **Research Design**

The study adopts a qualitative research design to gain an in-depth understanding of the experiences, perceptions, and behaviors of individuals regarding social media and political participation. Qualitative research allows for rich, nuanced insights into the complex interplay between social media use and political engagement (Creswell, 2014). Through qualitative methods, the study aims to explore the diverse perspectives and experiences of participants, capturing the intricacies of their engagement with social media in the political realm.

### **Data Collection**

Data collection for this study involves two main methods: FGD Focus Group Discussions and semi-structured interviews. The FGD provide a platform for participants to engage in group conversations, facilitating the exploration of shared experiences and perspectives (Krueger & Casey, 2015). The semi-structured interviews, on the other hand, offer individual participants



the opportunity to express their unique viewpoints and provide detailed accounts of their experiences (Rubin & Rubin, 2012).

### **Sampling Technique**

A purposive sampling strategy was employed to recruit 10 participants including 5 Male and 5 Female participants who have diverse backgrounds, varying levels of social media usage, and different degrees of political engagement. The sample include individuals from different age groups, genders, socioeconomic statuses, and political affiliations to ensure a comprehensive representation of perspectives.

### **Data Analysis**

The collected data was subjected to a thematic analysis approach, which involves identifying and analyzing recurring themes, patterns, and meanings within the data (Braun & Clarke, 2019). The FGDs and interviews were transcribed verbatim, and the transcripts were serve as the primary data source for analysis.

The analysis process involve several iterative steps. Initially, the transcripts were read and re-read to gain familiarity with the data. Then, open coding was applied to generate initial codes that capture significant ideas and concepts. These codes refined and organized into thematic categories through a process of axial coding. The identified themes were reviewed, compared, and analyzed to identify connections, relationships, and patterns within the data. The final step involved the interpretation of the themes, drawing upon relevant theoretical frameworks to provide a comprehensive understanding of the research topic.

### **Ethical Considerations**

Ethical considerations were given utmost importance throughout the research process. Informed consent were obtained from all participants, for ensuring their voluntary participation and confidentiality of their personal information. The study adhere to ethical guidelines and protocols, ensuring the privacy, anonymity, and protection of participants' rights.

## **3. FINDINGS AND DISCUSSION**

### **3.1 Theme 1: Social Media as a Source of Political Information**

One prominent theme that emerged from the data is the role of social media as a source of political information. Participants highlighted that social media platforms have become important channels for accessing political news, updates, and analysis. They mentioned following news outlets, political organizations, and influential political figures on social media to stay informed about current political events.

Participants expressed that social media provides them with a diverse range of political information from various perspectives. They noted that they can easily access news articles, opinion pieces, and analysis on social media, allowing them to gather information and form their own opinions on political issues. Moreover, participants mentioned that social media platforms often provide real-time updates on political developments, enabling them to stay informed and engage in timely discussions.



Participant 1 shared, "I rely on social media for political information. It's convenient and accessible. I follow different news sources and political pages that provide a variety of viewpoints. It helps me understand different perspectives on political issues."

### **3.2 Theme 2: Social Media and Political Discussion**

Another significant theme that emerged is the influence of social media on political discussion. Participants noted that social media platforms serve as spaces for political dialogue and debate. They mentioned engaging in discussions with friends, family, and even strangers on social media platforms to exchange views and opinions on political topics.

Participants expressed that social media enables them to express their political opinions openly and engage in discussions with a diverse range of individuals. They noted that these discussions often expose them to different viewpoints, challenging their own perspectives and broadening their understanding of political issues. They also highlighted the convenience of social media platforms for initiating and participating in political discussions, as they can engage at their own pace and choose the level of involvement.

Participant 2 stated, "Social media allows me to engage in political discussions with a wider audience. I can share my thoughts, ask questions, and learn from others. It's a space where I can have meaningful conversations about politics."

### **3.3 Theme 3: Social Media and Political Mobilization**

Participants also discussed the role of social media in political mobilization. They highlighted that social media platforms offer opportunities for political activism and mobilizing support for various causes. Participants mentioned using social media to raise awareness, organize campaigns, and advocate for specific political issues.

Participants expressed that social media provides a platform for sharing information, rallying like-minded individuals, and amplifying their voices. They noted that social media enables them to reach a broader audience and engage in collective action. Participants highlighted the role of hashtags, viral campaigns, and online petitions in mobilizing public support and influencing political agendas.

Participant 3 shared, "Social media has empowered me to be politically active. I can mobilize people, raise awareness about important issues, and even organize protests or events through social media. It's a powerful tool for making a difference."

### **3.4 Theme 4: Social Media and Political Efficacy**

The theme of social media and political efficacy emerged from the data, indicating the impact of social media on individuals' perceptions of their ability to influence political processes. Participants expressed that social media platforms enhance their sense of political efficacy by providing them with a platform to express their opinions and engage in political discussions.

Participants noted that social media enables them to have a voice in political matters, regardless of their social status or offline influence. They mentioned that the ability to share their views, connect with others, and receive feedback or validation on social media contributes to their confidence and belief in their potential to make a difference.



Participant 4 stated, "Social media makes me feel that my voice matters. I can express my opinions and see others who share similar views. It gives me confidence that my voice can contribute to political conversations and have an impact."

**3.5 Theme 5: Social Media and Offline Political Participation**

The theme of social media's influence on offline political participation emerged from the data. Participants discussed how their engagement with social media translates into tangible actions in the offline world. They mentioned that social media often serves as a catalyst for their involvement in political activities, such as attending rallies, joining political organizations, or volunteering for campaigns.

Participants shared that social media platforms act as information hubs, notifying them about offline political events and opportunities for engagement. They mentioned that social media helps them connect with like-minded individuals and find avenues for active participation in their communities.

Participant 5 shared, "Through social media, I get information about offline political events and activities happening in my area. It motivates me to step out of the online realm and participate actively in the political processes that affect me and my community."

**Here is the theme chart in the form of a table:**

| <b>Theme</b>                                      | <b>Code</b> | <b>Description</b>   |
|---|-------------|--|
| Social Media as a Source of Political Information | <b>SI</b>   | Social media platforms as channels for accessing political news, updates, and analysis.            |
| Social Media and Political Discussion             | <b>PD</b>   | Social media as spaces for political dialogue, debate, and the exchange of views.                  |
| Social Media and Political Mobilization           | <b>PM</b>   | Social media platforms as tools for political activism, raising awareness, and mobilizing support. |
| Social Media and Political Efficacy               | <b>PE</b>   | Social media's impact on individuals' belief in their ability to influence political processes.    |
| Social Media and Offline Political Participation  | <b>OP</b>   | The link between social media engagement and involvement in offline political activities.          |
|   |             |  |

**Table of coding for the participants response:**

| <b>Participant</b> | <b>Theme 1:<br/>Social Media<br/>as a Source of<br/>Political<br/>Information<br/>(SI)</b> | <b>Theme 2:<br/>Social<br/>Media and<br/>Political<br/>Discussion<br/>(PD)</b> | <b>Theme 3:<br/>Social Media<br/>and Political<br/>Mobilization<br/>(PM)</b> | <b>Theme 4:<br/>Social<br/>Media<br/>and<br/>Political<br/>Efficacy<br/>(PE)</b> | <b>Theme 5:<br/>Social Media<br/>and Offline<br/>Political<br/>Participation<br/>(OP)</b> |
|--------------------|--|--|--|--|---|
| P1                 | SI   | PD   | PM   | PE   | OP  |
| P2                 |  | PD   | PM   |  | OP  |
| P3                 | SI   |  |  | PE   | OP  |





|     |    |    |    |    |    |
|-----|----|----|----|----|----|
| P4  |    |    |    |    | OP |
| P5  | SI | PD | PM | PE | OP |
| P6  |    | PD |    | PE | OP |
| P7  | SI |    | PM | PE | OP |
| P8  | SI |    |    |    | OP |
| P9  |    | PD | PM | PE | OP |
| P10 | SI |    | PM | PE | OP |

### **Cross-Thematic Analysis and Interpretation of Findings**

The analysis of the themes reveals the multifaceted impact of social media on political participation. Social media platforms serve as sources of political information, facilitating access to diverse perspectives and real-time updates. They also provide spaces for political discussion and dialogue, enabling individuals to exchange views, challenge their beliefs, and broaden their understanding of political issues. Moreover, social media platforms empower individuals to engage in political mobilization, raising awareness, and advocating for specific causes. The findings further suggest that social media enhances individuals' sense of political efficacy, fostering their belief in their ability to influence political processes. Additionally, the study highlights the link between social media engagement and offline political participation, with social media often serving as a catalyst for individuals' involvement in political activities.

These findings contribute to the existing literature by providing nuanced insights into the role of social media in shaping political participation. They underscore the potential of social media platforms as tools for political engagement, information dissemination, and mobilization. However, the findings also highlight the challenges associated with misinformation and the need for media literacy skills to navigate the vast amount of information available on social media platforms.

Overall, the findings suggest that social media has become an integral part of the political landscape, shaping individuals' experiences, perceptions, and behaviors in relation to political participation.

## **4. CONCLUSION**

### **Summary of Findings**

The study explored the relationship between social media and political participation, focusing on the experiences and perceptions of individuals. The thematic analysis of the focus group discussions and interviews revealed several key findings.

First, social media emerged as a significant source of political information, allowing individuals to access a wide range of perspectives, news articles, and real-time updates on political events. Participants emphasized the convenience and accessibility of social media platforms in staying informed about political issues.

Second, social media platforms were found to facilitate political discussion and dialogue. Participants highlighted the opportunity to express their political opinions, engage in conversations with diverse individuals, and challenge their own beliefs through exposure to different viewpoints.



Third, social media played a crucial role in political mobilization, empowering individuals to advocate for causes, raise awareness, and organize campaigns. Participants discussed how social media platforms facilitated the dissemination of information, the amplification of voices, and the mobilization of support for various political issues.

Furthermore, social media was found to enhance individuals' sense of political efficacy, fostering their belief in their ability to make a difference in the political sphere. Participants expressed confidence in their voice and their capacity to influence political conversations through their engagement with social media.

Lastly, the study highlighted the connection between social media engagement and offline political participation. Participants discussed how their involvement in political activities, such as attending rallies or volunteering for campaigns, often stemmed from their engagement with social media.

### **Implications and Recommendations**

The findings of this study have several implications for both research and practice in the field of political communication and social media.

From a research perspective, the study provides valuable insights into the complex interplay between social media and political participation. The identified themes shed light on the positive impacts of social media, such as information accessibility, political discussion, mobilization, and increased political efficacy. However, the study also highlighted challenges, including the prevalence of misinformation and filter bubbles. Future research could delve deeper into these challenges and explore strategies to address them, such as promoting media literacy skills and developing algorithms that prioritize diverse content.

From a practical standpoint, the findings suggest the need for policymakers, political organizations, and media platforms to recognize the influential role of social media in shaping political participation. Efforts should be made to leverage the potential of social media as a tool for promoting informed political discourse, fostering engagement, and mobilizing communities around important political issues. Media literacy education programs could be implemented to equip individuals with the necessary skills to critically evaluate information encountered on social media.

Additionally, political actors and organizations can use social media platforms strategically to reach wider audiences, engage with constituents, and facilitate meaningful dialogue. Creating inclusive online spaces for respectful political discussions could help bridge ideological divides and foster a sense of collective responsibility.

### **Limitations of the Study**

It is important to acknowledge the limitations of this study. Firstly, the research design focused on qualitative methods, limiting the generalizability of the findings to a specific context and sample. Future research could employ quantitative approaches to assess the prevalence and extent of the identified themes among a larger population.

Secondly, the study relied on self-reported data, which may be subject to social desirability bias and individual perceptions. Triangulation of data from multiple sources, such as social media analytics and surveys, could provide a more comprehensive understanding of the relationship between social media and political participation.



## **5. CONCLUSION**

In conclusion, this study sheds light on the influence of social media on political participation. The findings highlight the role of social media as a source of political information, a platform for political discussion and mobilization, and a catalyst for offline political engagement. The study underscores the positive impact of social media in enhancing individuals' political efficacy and facilitating their involvement in political activities. However, it also emphasizes the challenges associated with misinformation and filter bubbles.

By understanding the dynamics between social media and political participation, policymakers, political actors, and media platforms can develop strategies to harness the potential of social media while addressing its limitations. This can contribute to the promotion of informed, inclusive, and meaningful political engagement in the digital age.

## **6. REFERENCES**

1. Berelson, B. R., Lazarsfeld, P. F., & McPhee, W. N. (1954). *Voting: A study of opinion formation in a presidential campaign*. University of Chicago Press.
2. Bennett, W. L. (2008). Changing citizenship in the digital age. In R. K. Nielsen (Ed.), *Handbook of politics: State and society in global perspective* (pp. 741-759). Springer.
3. Boulianne, S. (2009). Does internet use affect engagement? A meta-analysis of research. *Political Communication*, 26(2), 193-211.
4. Castells, M. (2012). *Networks of outrage and hope: Social movements in the Internet age*. Polity Press.
5. Chadwick, A. (2013). *The hybrid media system: Politics and power*. Oxford University Press.
6. Delli Carpini, M. X., & Keeter, S. (1996). *What Americans know about politics and why it matters*. Yale University Press.
7. Gil de Zúñiga, H., & Valenzuela, S. (2011). The mediating path to a stronger citizenship: Online and offline networks, weak ties, and civic engagement. *Communication Research*, 39(6), 837-860.
8. Hindman, M., Tsioutsoulis, K., & Johnson, J. A. (2003). *Googlearchy: How a few heavily-linked sites dominate politics on the web*. Presented at the Annual Meeting of the American Political Science Association.
9. Norris, P. (2001). *Digital divide: Civic engagement, information poverty, and the Internet worldwide*. Cambridge University Press.
10. Putnam, R. D. (2000). *Bowling alone: The collapse and revival of American community*. Simon & Schuster.
11. Shirky, C. (2008). *Here comes everybody: The power of organizing without organizations*. Penguin Books.
12. Sunstein, C. R. (2017). *Republic: Divided democracy in the age of social media*. Princeton University Press.
13. Tufekci, Z. (2017). *Twitter and tear gas: The power and fragility of networked protest*. Yale University Press.