Journal of Nursing Research, Patient Safety and Practise
ISSN: 2799-1210
Vol: 03, No. 06, Oct- Nov 2023
http://journal.hmjournals.com/index.php/JNRPSP
DOI: https://doi.org/10.55529/jnrpsp.36.30.41

Comparative Study between Ear Set Use in Relation with Bacterial Biofilms Causing Ear-Infections

Muhammed Ozdemir [1*], Asal Aziz Tawfeeq[2], Tunjai Namiq Faiq[3]

[1]Master student, Medical labaloratory Techniques Department, Kirkuk Technical College, Northern Technical University, Kirkuk, Iraq.*
[2]Associate Professor, Department of Therapeutic Nutrition, Kirkuk Technical College, Northern Technical University, Kirkuk, Iraq.
[3]consultant otolaryngologist, Kirkuk Teaching Hospital, Kirkuk, Iraq.

Email: [2]drasalaziz@ntu.edu.iq , [3] mutazalharbi84@gmail.com
Corresponding Email: [1]memed.oz93@gmail.com*

Received: 13 July 2023 **Accepted:** 02 October 2023 **Published:** 17 November 2023

Abstract: Ear infections were recently considered as a serious public health issue in many countries; where Gram-positive and Gram-negative bacteria are the most associated organisms. Besides, the ability of many species of those microorganisms to grow biofilms on medical equipments and different head set raised the question about the relationship between widespread use of ear set and complicated ear infections. Therefore, this study was suggested in order to evaluate the relationship between the continuous use of ear set with biofilm formation and ear infections among handlers. Patients, Materials and Methods: This study was carried out in Kirkuk City during January to May 2023 on a total number of (168) participants from both sexes and age range between 20-60 years. Participants were divided into two groups according to ear set use; Group A included (n=120) headphone users with (50 male and 70 female), who attended Kirkuk Teaching Hospital. On the other hand, Group B included non-headphone users of (n=48) participants with (18 male and 30 female) as the control group. Ear swab samples were collected aseptically under the supervision of Otolaryngologist Consultant from both groups and were immediately cultivated on selective media for microbiological and biochemical diagnostic tests. In accordance, the biofilm formation ability by the isolated bacteria was distinguished using the Congo red agar method. In addition, this study involved certain serological test including: the estimation of Human GR, SOD, and MDA antioxidants levels through using of the ELISA technique for all the participants, and the biochemical tests included measuring Vitamin D3 levels by using the ichromaTM technique to through the light on other factors which might be associated with ear infections. Diagnostic tests revealed the isolation of (28.33%) Pseudomonas aeruginosa, and (45%) of Staphylococcus aureus biofilm positive isolates from ear swabs of Group A participants. While, ear swabs collected

Journal of Nursing Research, Patient Safety and Practise
ISSN: 2799-1210
Vol: 03, No. 06, Oct- Nov 2023
http://journal.hmjournals.com/index.php/JNRPSP
DOI: https://doi.org/10.55529/jnrpsp.36.30.41

from Group B had displayed the isolation of (4.16%) of Pseudomonas aeruginosa, and (8.33 %) of Staphylococcus aureus isolates respectively. Besides, the age range of (20-30) years old showed the highest rates among the infected patients, with male patients being more vulnerable to the infections than their female spouse. Moreover, Vitamin D3, human GR, SOD, and MDA antioxidant markers showed a significant decrease among participants of Group A in comparison to Group B Vitamin D3 and antioxidant marker levels.Staphylococcus aureus and Pseudomonas aeruginosa were the most prevalent agents involved in ear infections. Male patients were more susceptible to contracting ear infections. Vitamin D3, Human GR, SOD, and MDA antioxidant Marker levels declined in patients with ear infections especially the users of headphones.

Keywords: Ear Set, Headphones, Bacterial Biofilm, Ear Infections.

1. INTRODUCTION

The majority of the microbial flora is found in the skin, mucosa, and ear canals where it aids mammals in a variety of metabolic processes like the creation of ATP and vitamins as well as inherent defensive mechanisms against infections [1] . However, in rare circumstances, the growth of these beneficial microbes might become uncontrolled, resulting in illness [1] [2]. Yet, ear diseases were seen as a serious public health issue in many developing countries with the potentiality to harm patient's psychological and social well-being [3][4]. In the same context, results of numerous studies revealed that, the majority of people (about 80%) will have at least one episode of otitis media through their lifetime, where 40% of them will have at least six recurrences if they did not treat the infection [3][4][5].Still, the external auditory canal of the ear contains a self-cleaning system in which membranes shed from the tympanic membranes migrate there, but when the natural defenses are compromised, pathogenic organisms such as Pseudomonas aeruginosa, Staphylococcus epidermidis, and Staphylococcus aureus will replace the normal flora causing rapid sever pain [5][6]. In addition, external factors such as extensive use of earphones or swimming without earplugs has a worse effect on the skin of the external auditory canal [7]. Moreover, numerous studies have shown that teenagers and young adults who use headphones have lower hearing thresholds than those who do not with higher rate of complicated ear infections [7][8][9]. Accordingly, found that, Gram-positive and Gram-negative bacteria were both associated with those infections [10]. Also, it was found that, in patients with ear infections, antioxidant and vitamin D3 significantly contributed to the pathogenesis of otitis media with effusion [11][12]. Since there was no such a study in Kirkuk city evaluating the effect of ear set use association with biofilm formation in patients with ear infections. Therefore, this study was suggested in order to evaluate the relationship between the continuous use of ear set/headphones with biofilm formation and ear infections among handlers of different ages.

2. MATERIALS AND METHODS

Patients

This study was carried out in Kirkuk City during January to May 2023 on a total number of

Journal of Nursing Research, Patient Safety and Practise
ISSN: 2799-1210
Vol: 03, No. 06, Oct- Nov 2023
http://journal.hmjournals.com/index.php/JNRPSP
DOI: https://doi.org/10.55529/jnrpsp.36.30.41

(168) participants from both sexes and age range between 20-60 years. Participants were divided into two groups according to ear set use; Group A included (n=120) headphone users with (50 male and 70 female), who attended Kirkuk General Hospital. On the other hand, Group B included non-headphone users of (n=48) participants with (18 male and 30 female) as the control group. Accordingly, study participants signed approval consent and responded to the questionnaire designed by the researchers involved data of age, educational level, smoking status, history of chronic infections, history of ear infection, presence of ear was, and discharge.

Sample Collection
Ear swab samples were collected aseptically under the supervision of Otolaryngologist Consultant from both groups and were immediately cultivated on selective media for microbiological and biochemical diagnostic tests.

Biofilm Formation Detection
The biofilm formation ability by the isolated bacteria was distinguished using the Congo red agar method according to the methodology cited in [12].

Serological Tests
This study involved certain serological test including: the estimation of Human GR, SOD, and MDA antioxidants levels through using of the ELISA technique for all the participants, and the biochemical tests included measuring Vitamin D3 levels by using the ichroma^{TM} technique.

Calculation of Results
Averaging the duplicate readings for each standard, control, and samples and subtract the average zero standard optical density. Constructing a standard curve with the human GR concentration on the y-axis and absorbance on the x-axis, and drawing a best fit curve through the points on the graph. If samples have been diluted, the concentration read from the standard curve had to be multiplied by the dilution factor. Using some plot software.

3. RESULTS AND DISCUSSIONS

Results
The external auditory canal of the ear contains a self-cleaning system; when this natural defense is compromised, pathogenic organisms such as Pseudomonas aeruginosa, Staphylococcus epidermidis , and Staphylococcus aureus will replace the normal flora and cause ear infections [13] [14]. While bacterial infections produce rapid, severe pain; the use of ear set might increase the problem. Thus, the total of (168) participants were involved in this study divided into two major groups (Group A and B). ear swabs were collected from the participants and microbiological diagnostic test results showed the isolation of multi-species of pathogenic bacteria isolated from Group A participants as illustrated in fig.1.

Journal of Nursing Research, Patient Safety and Practise
ISSN: 2799-1210
Vol: 03, No. 06, Oct- Nov 2023
http://journal.hmjournals.com/index.php/JNRPSP
DOI: https://doi.org/10.55529/jnrpsp.36.30.41



Fig. 1 Multi-species bacteria isolated from Group A participants of the study**.**

On the other hand, results displayed in fig.2 below represented the growth ratio of bacteria identified in Group B/ the control group; where they revealed the isolation of 2.08 % of Escherichia coli, 2.08 % of Klebsiella pneumonia, 4.16 % of Pseudomonas aeruginosa, 8.33 % of Staphylococcus aureus respectively .



Fig. 2 Multi-species bacteria isolated from Group B participants of the study**.**

On the other hand, fig. 3 displayed the growth of Staphylococcus aureus on Blood agar (fig.3A) and the growth of Staphylococcus aureus on Congo Red agar (fig.3B) as an evidence of biofilm formation.



Fig. 3 (A): Growth of Staphylococcus aureus on Blood agar, (B): Demonstrates the growth of Staphylococcus aureus on Congo Red agar.

Concerning the distribution of age and gender within the study groups the presented study revealed that the greatest rates (48.14 vs. 45.45%) of male and female of patients (head phone

Journal of Nursing Research, Patient Safety and Practise
ISSN: 2799-1210
Vol: 03, No. 06, Oct- Nov 2023
http://journal.hmjournals.com/index.php/JNRPSP
DOI: https://doi.org/10.55529/jnrpsp.36.30.41

users, biofilm positive) respectively were within age group (20-30) years old in comparing to the rest of the age groups within the same study group, while the lowest rates (11.11 vs. 6.06 %) of male and female respectively were found within the age range (50-60) years old of (headphone users, biofilm positive) patients. Similarly, the second study group (headphone users, biofilm negative) patients showed the highest proportions (34.78 vs. 45.94 %) of male and female separately were within age range group (20-30) years old and the lowest rates (13.04 vs. 2.70 %) of male and female patients were within age group (50-60) years old.
The control group of the current study demonstrates 7 (38.88 %) male, and 12 (40%) female within age rage (20-30) years old, the lowest rate 2 (11.11 %) of male patients were within age group (50-60) years old. Table 1.

Table [1] Age and gender distribution among the study groups

Age range	**Headphone users with biofilm positive Gender No. (%)**			**Headphone users with biofilm negative Gender No. (%)**			**Control group Gender No. (%)**		
	Male	**Female**	**Total No. (%)**	**Male**	**Female**	**Total No. (%)**	**Male**	**Female**	**Total No. (%)**
20-30	13(48.14)	15(45.45)	28(46.66)	8(34.78)	17(45.94)	25(41.66)	7(38.88)	12(40)	19(39.58)
30-40	7(25.92)	10(30.30)	17(28.33)	5(21.73)	10(27)	15(25)	6(33.33)	8(26.66)	14(29.16)
40-50	4 (14.81)	6(18.18)	10(16.66)	7(30.43)	9(24.32)	16(26.66)	3(16.66)	5(16.66)	8(16.66)
50-60	3(11.11)	2(6.06)	5(8.33)	3(13.04)	1(2.70)	4(6.66)	2(11.11)	5(16.66)	7(14.58)
Total	27(45)	33(55)	60 (100)	23(38.33)	37(61.66)	60(100)	18(37.5)	30(62.5)	48(100)

On the other hand, there was significantly decreasing in the concentration levels of Vit. D3 (19.42 ng/mL) among (headphone users, biofilm positive) patients in comparing to (42.42 ng/mL) within (headphone users, biofilm negative) patients. The difference was highly significant, P=0.000. There was significantly dropping in GR antioxidant concentration level (1124.88 pg/mL) among (headphone users, biofilm positive) patients in matching to (2872.07 pg/mL) for the (headphone users, biofilm negative) patients. The P. Value equal to 0.000, and the results were highly significant. Minimal increment in the concentration level of SOD antioxidant (1.25 U/mL) noticed within (headphone users, biofilm negative) patients in matching to (0.77 U/mL) recorded among (headphone users, biofilm positive) patients. Regardless that the results were statistically non-significant since the P. value was greater than 0.05 .Regarding the MDA concentration level, there was insignificant lowering in their level (382.67 pg/mL) in the (headphone users, biofilm positive) patients group, whereas the (headphone users, biofilm negative) patients documented (479.38 pg/mL). The results were non-significant as shown in Table 2.

Journal of Nursing Research, Patient Safety and Practise
ISSN: 2799-1210
Vol: 03, No. 06, Oct- Nov 2023
http://journal.hmjournals.com/index.php/JNRPSP
DOI: https://doi.org/10.55529/jnrpsp.36.30.41

Table [2] Comparison between the patients in regards to Vit D3, and anti-oxidants.

Parameters	Headphone users, biofilm positive patients	Headphone users, biofilm negative patients	P. Value
Vit. D3	19.42±0.80	42.42±1.30	0.000
Anti-oxidants			
GR	1124.88±239.11	2872.07±335.32	0.000
SOD	0.77±0.18	1.25±0.45	0.33
MDA	382.67±35.91	479.38±37.06	0.96
Independent sample t-test was used to analyse the numerical variables, probability (P) value less than 0.05 considered as significant, while P value more than 0.05 considered as non-significant. P value <0.01 highly significant. Mean ± Standard error.			

Vitamin D3 concentration level (19.42 ng/mL) was extremely lowering in (headphone users, biofilm positive) patients group, in matching to (36.32 ng/mL) that recoded for the (control group). The differences were highly significant .The control group showed greater concentration level of GR (3281.46 pg/mL) in (control group), in matching to (1124.86 pg/mL) that was recorded in (headphone users, biofilm positive) patients group. The variances were highly significant. In regards to the association of SOD antioxidant levels with the (headphone users, biofilm positive) patients and control group, demonstrate highly significant discrepancies.There was a slight changes in the concentration levels of serum MDA (382.67 vs. 544.35 pg/mL) documented among (headphone users, biofilm positive) patients and control group respectively. The difference was non-significant as revealed in Table 3.

Table [3] Relationship between patients and control group on basis of vit D3 and anti-oxidants.

Parameters	Headphone users, biofilm positive patients	Control group	P. Value
Vit. D3	19.42±0.80	36.32±1.24	0.000
Anti-oxidants			
GR	1124.86±239.11	3281.46±333.38	0.008
SOD	0.77±0.18	2.01±0.59	0.000
MDA	382.67±35.91	544.35±38.84	0.855
Independent sample t-test utilized to study the numerical variables. Probability (P) value lower than 0.05 considered as significant, while P value greater than 0.05 considered as non-significant. P value <0.01 highly significant. Mean ± Standard error.			

Table 4 exhibit the association between (headphone users, biofilm negative) patients and (control group) in regards to Vit. D3 and (GR, SOD, and MDA) antioxidants levels. It was noticed that vitamin D3 levels recorded a slight differences in their levels (42.42 vs. 36.32 ng/mL) in patients and control groups respectively, and the P. Value was lower than 0.01.

Journal of Nursing Research, Patient Safety and Practise
ISSN: 2799-1210
Vol: 03, No. 06, Oct- Nov 2023
http://journal.hmjournals.com/index.php/JNRPSP
DOI: https://doi.org/10.55529/jnrpsp.36.30.41

Moreover GR antioxidant showed higher level (3281.46 pg/mL) in control group when compared to the patients group that were headphone users but with biofilm negative results, where they recorded (2872.07 pg/mL), but the results were non-significant.
Furthermore, there was an insignificant change in SOD concentration levels (1.25 vs.2.01 U/mL) in patients and control group respectively. The outcomes were non-significant statistically, this was applicable for the concentration levels of MDA as shown in table 4.

Table [4] Comparison between (headphone users, biofilm negative) patients and control group on the basis of Vit. D3 and antioxidants levels.

Parameters	**Headphone users, biofilm negative patients**	**Control group**	**P. Value**
Vit. D3	42.42±1.31	36.32±1.24	0.001
Anti-oxidants			
GR	2872.07±335.32	3281.46±333.38	0.664
SOD	1.25±0.45	2.01±0.59	1.109
MDA	479.38±37.06	544.35±38.84	0.898
Independent sample t-test utilized to study the numerical variables. Probability (P) value lower than 0.05 considered as significant, while P value greater than 0.05 considered as non-significant. P value <0.01 highly significant. Mean ± Standard error.			

4. DISCUSSION

Bacterial Growth among the Study Groups:
The presented study revealed that the ratios of bacterial growth among (Group A/headphone users, biofilm positive) patients were (13.33%) for Escherichia coli, (13.33%) for Klebsiella pneumonia, (28.33%) of Pseudomonas aeruginosa, and the greatest rate (45%) of bacterial growth was for Staphylococcus aureus, while the (Group B/the control group) demonstrated (2.08 %) of Escherichia coli, (2.08 %) of Klebsiella pneumonia, (4.16 %) of Pseudomonas aeruginosa, (8.33 %) of Staphylococcus aureus and 40% exhibited no growth. These were supported by Yadav et al., who reported that two important opportunistic bacteria that cause nosocomial and community-acquired illnesses are Staphylococcus aureus and Pseudomonas aeruginosa. Both have been found in biofilm-related infections like chronic middle ear infections and chronic suppurative otitis media [13]. The current study likewise was near to a study conducted in Ramadi city, Iraq, where they found that the two most frequently isolated species were Pseudomonas aeruginosa in (57.5%) of the patients and Staphylococcus aureus in (16.8%) of the cases, this study also showed some disagreement to our study in regards to the percentage of bacterial growth, where they showed as mentioned before the growth proportion of Pseudomonas aeruginosa was higher than the one for Staphylococcus aureus, these variances may be attributed to the differences of sample sizes of both studies and the differences in the age groups, where about 20% of the patients were lower than 10 years old. In which their response to the bacteria are different, since their immune system are not well-developed and response differently to Bacteria[14]. Further studies have revealed that

Journal of Nursing Research, Patient Safety and Practise
ISSN: 2799-1210
Vol: 03, No. 06, Oct- Nov 2023
http://journal.hmjournals.com/index.php/JNRPSP
DOI: https://doi.org/10.55529/jnrpsp.36.30.41

Staphylococcus aureus is the most prevalent cause of otitis media [15][16]. The presented study also displayed an agreement to a study performed in Duhok city, Iraq, where they found that both Staphylococcus aureus, and Pseudomonas aeruginosa were the most frequent Bacteria involved in otitis media [17]. The biofilm phenotypic trait of Staphylococcus aureus, which permits entrance to the middle ear through the external canal, may be associated to its dominating isolation rate in this investigation.

Age and Gender Distribution among the Study Groups:
Concerning the distribution of age and gender within the study groups the presented study revealed that the greatest rates (48.14 vs. 45.45%) of male and female of patients (head phone users, biofilm positive) respectively were within age group (20-30) years old in comparing to the rest of the age groups within the same study group, while the lowest rates (11.11 vs. 6.06 %) of male and female respectively were found within the age range (50-60) years old. Similarly, the second study group (headphone users, biofilm negative) patients showed the highest proportions (34.78 vs. 45.94 %) of male and female separately were within age range group (20-30) years old and the lowest rates (13.04 vs. 2.70 %) of male and female patients were within age group (50-60) years old. These were disagreed in regards to the age distribution with a previous study conducted in Northern Cyprus where they found the highest rates of infections settled within age group (65 years old and above), while agreed with our findings in regards to the gender distribution, where they documented the highest rates of infected patients were male [18].

Comparison between the Patients in Regards to Vit D3, and Anti-Oxidants
The current study revealed that there was significantly decreasing in the concentration levels of Vit. D3 (19.42 ng/mL) among (headphone users, biofilm positive) patients in comparing to (42.42 ng/mL) within (headphone users, biofilm negative) patients. The difference was highly significant, P=0.000. These were close to what was stated by Sohrabpour S et al, who found that patients with otitis media suffered from Vit. D3 deficiency, and adding Vitamin D3 to their treatment regimen would help them to relieve from the infection [19][20]. In addition to that, the findings of the current study was supported by Asher BF, Guilford FT, who found that Otolaryngology patients frequently have Vitamin D deficiency, which can be treated with supplementation. The etiopathology of ear disorders in both adults and children was linked to Vitamin D deficiency[21]. there was significantly dropping in GR antioxidant concentration level (1124.88 pg/mL) among (headphone users, biofilm positive) patients in matching to (2872.07 pg/mL) for the (headphone users, biofilm negative) patients. These were in line with what was reported earlier by, who revealed that GR antioxidant decreased significantly in patients with ear infections [22].

Minimal increment in the concentration level of SOD antioxidant (1.25 U/mL) noticed within (headphone users, biofilm negative) patients in matching to (0.77 U/mL) recorded among (headphone users, biofilm positive) patients. The most potent detoxifying enzyme and antioxidant in a cell is superoxide dismutase (SOD). It is a crucial endogenous antioxidant enzyme that serves as a part of the body's primary defense mechanism against microbial

Journal of Nursing Research, Patient Safety and Practise
ISSN: 2799-1210
Vol: 03, No. 06, Oct- Nov 2023
http://journal.hmjournals.com/index.php/JNRPSP
DOI: https://doi.org/10.55529/jnrpsp.36.30.41

agents, so the decreasing in their concentrations lead to infections and make the host more susceptible to contract infections including ear infections [23].

Regarding the MDA concentration level, there was insignificant lowering in their level (382.67 pg/mL) in the (headphone users, biofilm positive) patients group, whereas the (headphone users, biofilm negative) patients documented (479.38 pg/mL). This disagreed with Serban R et al., who noticed that patients with ear infections had higher levels of MDA in comparing to healthy control, this differences may be attributed to the variances in the life style in regards to using head phone, and the kinetic responses of MDA in these patients [24].

Relationship between Patients and Control Group on Basis of Vit D3 and Anti-Oxidants
The presented study also showed that Vitamin D3 concentration level (19.42 ng/mL) was extremely lowering in (headphone users, biofilm positive) patients group, in matching to (36.32 ng/mL) that recoded for the (control group) as shown in table 4 and likewise it was noticed that vitamin D3 levels recorded a slight differences in their levels (42.42 vs. 36.32 ng/mL) in patients and control groups respectively, and the P. Value was lower than 0.01 as shown in table 4. These were close to results of a case-control study done by Hosseini S et al., who detected that patients with otitis media had lower Vitamin D levels in matching to healthy control [25]. the control group showed greater concentration level of GR (3281.46 pg/mL) in matching to (1124.86 pg/mL) that was recorded among (headphone users, biofilm positive) patients group. The variances were highly significant as shown in table 4. Moreover, GR antioxidant showed higher level (3281.46 pg/mL) in control group when compared to the patients group that were headphone users but with biofilm negative results, where they recorded (2872.07 pg/mL). In regards to the association of SOD antioxidant levels with the (headphone users, biofilm positive) patients and control group, demonstrate highly significant discrepancies. Table 3. Furthermore, there was an insignificant change in SOD concentration levels (1.25 vs.2.01 U/mL) in patients and control group respectively. There was a slight change in the concentration levels of serum MDA (382.67 vs. 544.35 pg/mL) documented among (headphone users, biofilm positive) patients and control group respectively. The difference was non-significant. Table 3. The outcomes were non-significant statistically, this was applicable for the concentration levels of MDA as shown in table 4. These were in line with what was reported earlier by Ozek H et al., who stated that SOD, and Glutathione levels reduced in patients with ear complications, in comparing to those with no ear infection [26], while our results showed disagreement to Garça MF et al., who found that MDA levels were higher in patients with ear infections in comparing to those with no infection, these differences might be correlated to the differences of bacterial species that caused ear infection and resulted in increased levels of MDA in their study, from the bacterial species detected in our study, and as a result produced high MDA [27].

5. CONCLUSIONS

Staphylococcus aureus and Pseudomonas aeruginosa were more prevalent agents involved in ear infections. Male patients with age range (20-30) were more susceptible patients to

Journal of Nursing Research, Patient Safety and Practise
ISSN: 2799-1210
Vol: 03, No. 06, Oct- Nov 2023
http://journal.hmjournals.com/index.php/JNRPSP
DOI: https://doi.org/10.55529/jnrpsp.36.30.41

contract ear infections. Vitamin D3 concentration levels declined in patients with ear infections along with the Human GR, SOD, and MDA antioxidants levels decreased in patients with ear infections.

Acknowledgment
Special thanks to Kirkuk Teaching Hospital for their assistance in collecting the required samples for my research.

6. REFERENCES

1. Bjarnsholt T. The role of bacterial biofilms in chronic infections. APMIS Suppl. 2013;(136):1-51. doi:10.1111/apm.12099.
2. Rimondini L, Cochis A, Varoni E, Azzimonti B, Carrassi A. Biofilm formation on implants and prosthetic dental materials. Handbook of bioceramics and biocomposites: Elsevier; 2015. p. 1-37.
3. Alharbi MM, Almasri MS, Aldayel AY, Alkhonezan SM. Parental Knowledge, Attitudes and Practices Towards Paediatric Ear Infections in Riyadh, Saudi Arabia: A quantitative study. Sultan Qaboos Univ Med J. 2019 May;19(2):e114-e121. doi: 10.18295/squmj.2019.19.02.006. Epub 2019 Sep 8. PMID: 31538009; PMCID: PMC6736261.
4. Zia S, Tahir HM, Azeem K, Adil SO. Frequency and factors of ear infection among swimmers, cotton bud and headphone users. Pakistan Journal of Public Health. 2019;9(1):15-8.
5. Kiakojuri K, Mahdavi Omran S, Jalili B, Hajiahmadi M, Bagheri M, Ferdousi Shahandashti E, Rajabnia R. Bacterial Otitis Externa in Patients Attending an ENT Clinic in Babol, North of Iran. Jundishapur J Microbiol. 2016 Feb 13;9(2):e23093. doi: 10.5812/jjm.23093. PMID: 27127584; PMCID: PMC4841979.
6. Russell JD, Donnelly M, McShane DP, Alun-Jones T, Walsh M. What causes acute otitis externa? J Laryngol Otol. 1993 Oct;107(10):898-901. doi: 10.1017/s0022215100124739. PMID: 8263385.
7. Rosenfeld RM, Schwartz SR, Cannon CR, Roland PS, Simon GR, Kumar KA, Huang WW, Haskell HW, Robertson PJ. Clinical practice guideline: acute otitis externa. Otolaryngol Head Neck Surg. 2014 Feb;150(1 Suppl):S1-S24. doi: 10.1177/0194599813517083. Erratum in: Otolaryngol Head Neck Surg. 2014 Mar;150(3):504. Erratum in: Otolaryngol Head Neck Surg. 2014 Mar;150(3):504. PMID: 24491310.
8. Vogel I, Brug J, Hosli EJ, van der Ploeg CP, Raat H. MP3 players and hearing loss: adolescents' perceptions of loud music and hearing conservation. J Pediatr. 2008 Mar;152(3):400-4. doi: 10.1016/j.jpeds.2007.07.009. Epub 2007 Oct 22. PMID: 18280849.
9. Mohammadpoorasl A, Hajizadeh M, Marin S, Heydari P, Ghalenoei M. Prevalence and pattern of using headphones and its relationship with hearing loss among students. Health Scope. 2019;8(1).

Journal of Nursing Research, Patient Safety and Practise
ISSN: 2799-1210
Vol: 03, No. 06, Oct- Nov 2023
http://journal.hmjournals.com/index.php/JNRPSP
DOI: https://doi.org/10.55529/jnrpsp.36.30.41

10. Khatoon Z, McTiernan CD, Suuronen EJ, Mah TF, Alarcon EI. Bacterial biofilm formation on implantable devices and approaches to its treatment and prevention. Heliyon. 2018 Dec 28;4(12):e01067. doi: 10.1016/j.heliyon.2018.e01067. PMID: 30619958; PMCID: PMC6312881.
11. Walker RE, Bartley J, Camargo CA Jr, Mitchell EA. Vitamin D and Otitis Media. Curr Allergy Asthma Rep. 2019 Jun 3;19(7):33. doi: 10.1007/s11882-019-0866-2. PMID: 31161313.
12. Yilmaz T, Koçan EG, Besler HT, Yilmaz G, Gürsel B. The role of oxidants and antioxidants in otitis media with effusion in children. Otolaryngol Head Neck Surg. 2004 Dec;131(6):797-803. doi: 10.1016/j.otohns.2004.07.001. PMID: 15577771.
13. Neher A, Nagl M, Scholtz AW. Otitis externa: Atiologie, Diagnostik und Therapie [Otitis externa: etiology, diagnostic and therapy]. HNO. 2008 Oct;56(10):1067-79; quiz 1080. German. doi: 10.1007/s00106-008-1830-y. PMID: 18813896.
14. Stroman DW, Roland PS, Dohar J, Burt W. Microbiology of normal external auditory canal. Laryngoscope. 2001 Nov;111(11 Pt 1):2054-9. doi: 10.1097/00005537-200111000-00035. PMID: 11801996.
15. Meherali S, Campbell A, Hartling L, Scott S. Understanding Parents' Experiences and Information Needs on Pediatric Acute Otitis Media: A Qualitative Study. J Patient Exp. 2019 Mar;6(1):53-61. doi: 10.1177/2374373518771362. Epub 2018 Apr 24. PMID: 31236452; PMCID: PMC6572929.
16. Jamal A, Alsabea A, Tarakmeh M. Effect of Ear Infections on Hearing Ability: A Narrative Review on the Complications of Otitis Media. Cureus. 2022 Jul 28;14(7):e27400. doi: 10.7759/cureus.27400. PMID: 36046317; PMCID: PMC9419542.
17. Casselbrant M, Mandel E. Cummings Otolaryngology-Head and Neck Surgery. 2010.
18. Mostafa BE, El Fiky LM, El Sharnouby MM. Complications of suppurative otitis media: still a problem in the 21st century. ORL J Otorhinolaryngol Relat Spec. 2009;71(2):87-92. doi: 10.1159/000191472. Epub 2009 Jan 15. PMID: 19145101..
19. Wu JF, Jin Z, Yang JM, Liu YH, Duan ML. Extracranial and intracranial complications of otitis media: 22-year clinical experience and analysis. Acta Otolaryngol. 2012 Mar;132(3):261-5. doi: 10.3109/00016489.2011.643239. Epub 2012 Jan 8. PMID: 22224578.
20. Verhoeff M, van der Veen EL, Rovers MM, Sanders EA, Schilder AG. Chronic suppurative otitis media: a review. Int J Pediatr Otorhinolaryngol. 2006 Jan;70(1):1-12. doi: 10.1016/j.ijporl.2005.08.021. Epub 2005 Sep 27. PMID: 16198004.
21. Heyland DK, Johnson AP, Reynolds SC, Muscedere J. Procalcitonin for reduced antibiotic exposure in the critical care setting: a systematic review and an economic evaluation. Crit Care Med. 2011 Jul;39(7):1792-9. doi: 10.1097/CCM.0b013e31821201a5. PMID: 21358400.
22. Yao JD, Sanes DH. Developmental deprivation-induced perceptual and cortical processing deficits in awake-behaving animals. Elife. 2018 Jun 6;7:e33891. doi: 10.7554/eLife.33891. PMID: 29873632; PMCID: PMC6005681.
23. Colella-Santos MF, Donadon C, Sanfins MD, Borges LR. Otitis Media: Long-Term Effect on Central Auditory Nervous System. Biomed Res Int. 2019 Mar

Journal of Nursing Research, Patient Safety and Practise
ISSN: 2799-1210
Vol: 03, No. 06, Oct- Nov 2023
http://journal.hmjournals.com/index.php/JNRPSP
DOI: https://doi.org/10.55529/jnrpsp.36.30.41

28;2019:8930904. doi: 10.1155/2019/8930904. PMID: 31032365; PMCID: PMC6458954.

24. Avnstorp MB, Homøe P, Bjerregaard P, Jensen RG. Chronic suppurative otitis media, middle ear pathology and corresponding hearing loss in a cohort of Greenlandic children. Int J Pediatr Otorhinolaryngol. 2016 Apr;83:148-53. doi: 10.1016/j.ijporl.2016.01.017. Epub 2016 Jan 25. PMID: 26968069.
25. Borges LR, Paschoal JR, Colella-Santos MF. (Central) auditory processing: the impact of otitis media. Clinics (Sao Paulo). 2013 Jul;68(7):954-9. doi: 10.6061/clinics/2013(07)11. PMID: 23917659; PMCID: PMC3714994.Borges LR, Paschoal JR, Colella-Santos MF. (Central) Auditory Processing: the impact of otitis media. Clinics. 2013;68:954-9.
26. Marais J, Dale BA. Bullous myringitis: a review. Clin Otolaryngol Allied Sci. 1997 Dec;22(6):497-9. doi: 10.1046/j.1365-2273.1997.00069.x. PMID: 9466056.
27. Kasinathan S, Kondamudi NP. Bullous Myringitis. StatPearls [Internet]: StatPearls Publishing; 2021.