Journal of Nursing Research, Patient Safety and Practices
Vol: 04, No. 02, July-December 2024
ISSN: 2799-1210, DOI: 10.55529/jnrpsp.44.35.50

Research Paper

Understanding the biomechanics of musculoskeletal injuries in high-risk environmental conditions

Collins Molua Ogom[1*], Anthony Ossai Ukpene[2]
[1*]Department of Physics, University of Delta, Agbor, Nigeria.
[2]Department of Biological Sciences, University of Delta, Agbor, Nigeria.

Article Info

Article History:
Received: 01 April 2024
Revised: 12 June 2024
Accepted: 18 June 2024
Published: 05 August 2024

Keywords:
Biomechanics
Environmental Conditions
Ergonomics
High-Risk Environments
Musculoskeletal Injuries
Injury Prevention

ABSTRACT

Background: Musculoskeletal injuries in high-risk environmental conditions, come from a mix of biomechanical stressors that are still not fully mapped out across different tasks and kinds of equipment.
Objective: The aim was to look at how biomechanics links to musculoskeletal injuries in high-risk environments, by using and comparing multiple data sources and different methods.
Method: We used motion analysis, force sensor readings , computer simulations , and also biomechanical testing. Together these were used to tease out what drives musculoskeletal injury risk across various tasks and conditions, even when the setup changed.
Result: The motion analysis, basically showed that the varus test added noticeably more biomechanical stress compared with the other tasks. That suggests a higher susceptibility to injury there. The force sensor information also pointed to the pressure mapping system as the one producing the largest force , which is a bit concerning since it could meaningfully contribute to injury risk. In the computer simulations the injury risk wasn't uniform , it shifted by condition, and load carriage seemed to carry the highest risk overall. When we did biomechanical testing of joint stability, there were differences between tasks , with outliers that singled out a few specific tasks as especially noteworthy. Then, extra motion analysis on task variations including the sulcus sign and the valgus stress test, showed separate patterns tied to higher biomechanical stress.
Conclusion: Overall these findings add detail to the biomechanical side of musculoskeletal injuries in high-risk settings and they also point toward the need for focused interventions, better equipment design , and stronger safety measures , to reduce risk. This work also lays down a base for upcoming research and injury-prevention approaches, meant to help protect people who operate in demanding conditions.

Corresponding Author:
Collins Molua Ogom
Department of Physics, University of Delta, Agbor, Nigeria.

Email: collins.molua@unidel.edu.ng

Copyright © 2024 The Author(s). This is an open access article distributed under the Creative Commons Attribution License, (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/) which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

1. INTRODUCTION

Some musculoskeletal injuries include sprains, sprains, fractures, contusions, and cumulative trauma such as tendinitis and carpal tunnel syndrome [1], [2] These injuries can significantly affect a person's quality of life, consequently causing pain, immobility, and in severe cases, permanent disability [3], [4]. In areas characterized by increased risks, the potential for serious consequences is greater, affecting affected individuals, organizations and businesses involved. Significant economic and human consequences from the occurrence of these injuries highlights the critical importance of understanding the biomechanical factors involved.

Musculoskeletal injuries are an ongoing concern; its occurrence is high in high-risk areas and exposure. These conditions include a variety of harsh environments including construction sites, adverse weather conditions, industrial sites and military activity. Understanding the biomechanical aspects of musculoskeletal injuries in such situations is important, as this knowledge is essential for injury prevention, ensuring workplace safety, and improving human productivity. Musculoskeletal and orthopedic injuries cause widespread and complex problems affecting a variety of occupations. It affects individuals in the context of entertainment [5], [6]. However, the severity and frequency of these injuries can be significantly higher in high-risk environments. High-risk areas include a variety of extreme events including, but not limited to, construction sites, mining operations, oil fields, severe weather, military deployments, and disaster management scenarios [7]. It is important that in a comprehensive understanding of the biomechanical principles underlying musculoskeletal injuries in these harsh environments, this knowledge is important not only for personal safety or only with health but also with enhancing their productivity and overall productivity [8]. Uneven surfaces, characterized by bumpy and slippery conditions, can upset a person's balance, leading to falls and subsequent injuries, when exposure to extreme cold or heat may affect muscle function and increase the risk of injury in certain high-risk occupations. They may involve repetitive movements, which have the potential for fracture problems to accumulate over time. Inadequate ergonomics can force individuals into uncomfortable positions due to poorly designed workstations or equipment, giving the chance of increased musculoskeletal injury [9], [10]. Fatigue is common in high-risk settings, often as a result of long working hours, inadequate rest, and lack of sleep [11], [12]. These factors have been found to negatively affect muscle function.

The biomechanical aspects of musculoskeletal injuries in high-risk situations involve the interaction of multidimensional factors, which cut across the physical capabilities of the human body, the demands imposed by the job or environment, and the efficient management of tools and equipment. Situations may arise in which the person is susceptible to injury. For example, the need to handle large loads commonly encountered in construction or logistics can cause overload on musculoskeletal systems, resulting in serious injury or chronic overuse and the effects of exposure to extreme temperatures, including cold and extreme heat on muscle function may impair the body capacity, thus increasing the chances of accidents.

In addition, unstable terrain, often encountered in areas such as coastal parks or disaster management areas, can challenge a person's balance and physical fitness, increasing the risk of accidents such as falls, slips and falls [13] cited by. Cumulative depression, which developed slowly over time, can be traced to motion commonly encountered in assembly line work or military training. Inadequate ergonomics, reflected in workplace and well-designed equipment, can force individuals to stand in uncomfortable positions contributing to musculoskeletal injuries [14], [9], [15]. Fatigue in high-risk areas compounds the problem. Prolonged work hours, inadequate rest and lack of sleep interfere with cognitive

abilities and interfere with muscle activity and coordination, thereby increasing the chances of accidents and injuries

Successfully addressing these challenges requires investigating the biomechanical factors associated with musculoskeletal injuries in high-risk settings. This requires a thorough investigation of the interactions between the human body and its environment, and strength exerted on the skeletal muscles. Having a deeper understanding of these biomechanical principles allows for designing focused interventions, implementing enhanced training programs, and creating safer work environments effectively reducing the possibility of musculoskeletal injuries in hazardous situations

This article examines the complex interactions between biomechanical factors in hazardous environments. Through a comprehensive review of the scholarly literature and existing methods, we aim to elucidate the underlying mechanisms leading to musculoskeletal injury and furthermore, seek to define what interpret these findings and provide recommendations that can effectively reduce these hazards, improve workplace safety, and ultimately protect the physical well-being of individuals working in complex work environments.

Scientists use a variety of techniques to examine the biomechanical aspects of musculoskeletal injuries that occur in hazardous environments which may impact human health and safety. Exposure to low temperatures may lead to frostbite, flexion and an increased risk of musculoskeletal injury [16]. Conversely, exposure to high temperatures increases the incidence of heat, fatigue and muscle function diseases as well as increased susceptibility to injury. High temperatures can also cause dehydration, impair muscle function, thus increasing the risk of injury [17], [18]. Low oxygen environments can cause complications, such as decreased metabolism, increased susceptibility to seizures and injuries. Falling incorrectly increases the chances of slipping which can cause serious muscle and bone damage. Lifting weights in confined spaces strains muscles and increases the risk of joint injuries and fractures. Factors such as fog or reduced visibility create conditions conducive to accidents and injuries. Strong winds can affect balance and coordination, causing injury. Mental stress in high-pressure situations can compromise concentration, increasing the risk of accidents and injuries. Unavailability of light reduces spatial awareness, leading to slips and falls. Too much noise may impair communication and situational awareness, leading to accidents and injuries [19]. A thorough understanding of these environmental factors is therefore essential for implementing effective safety measures and reducing potential hazards. Dehydration poses a serious risk in severe areas where improper hydration can lead to weak tissues and decreased joint lubrication, ultimately giving the chance of being injured. Malnutrition weakens muscles and bones, leaving individuals susceptible to various injuries [20]. Limited rest and recovery periods in high-risk situations can further increase fatigue, decrease physical performance and enhance risk of injury. Furthermore, lack of appropriate safety equipment in potential environments may make individuals vulnerable to injury from external forces or environment. Understanding and mitigating these conditions can help reduce the risk of musculoskeletal injuries in high-risk environmental settings [21].

2. RELATED WORK

The study of biomechanics of musculoskeletal injuries in high-risk environmental conditions builds upon a rich foundation of existing research across various disciplines. Occupational health and safety research, such as studies by [22] and [23], has documented the prevalence and impact of musculoskeletal injuries in high-risk professions, particularly in healthcare settings. The field of sports medicine, exemplified by work from and [24], has contributed valuable insights into injury patterns and long-term consequences in physically demanding environments. Ergonomics research, studies by [25], has been crucial in understanding how workplace design affects musculoskeletal health. Environmental physiology. Studies, such as those by [26], have provided important context on how extreme conditions impact injury susceptibility. Research on fatigue and performance, like that of [27], has been instrumental in understanding how fatigue in high-risk environments may contribute to injury risk. Biomechanical analyses, have laid the groundwork for understanding injury mechanisms. Studies on injury prevention strategies, like the review by [28], have informed approaches to reducing musculoskeletal injuries.

Additionally, research on nutrition and hydration, such as [29] work on dehydration and bone anisotropy, has highlighted the importance of these factors in maintaining musculoskeletal integrity. These related works collectively provide a comprehensive backdrop for the current study, offering insights from various disciplines that contribute to our understanding of musculoskeletal injuries in high-risk environments [30]. The current study builds upon this foundation, integrating diverse methodologies to provide a more comprehensive understanding of the biomechanical factors involved in these injuries.

3. METHODOLOGY

- **Motion Analysis:** The utilization of high-speed cameras and motion capture technology enabled the examination of body movements during various tasks, offering valuable insights into the biomechanical strains experienced. Force sensors were used to measure the forces exerted by equipment and individuals during various tasks. These sensors played a crucial role in identifying activities that carry a high-risk factor. Computer simulations were the computational models used to simulate musculoskeletal movements to predict injury risks under various conditions. Evaluating biomechanical data to assess joint stability is essential to assess joint quality and to report potential instability or injury events frequently used in clinical settings. These tests play important role in determining joint stability.

  Here are some tasks or assessments used to test joint stability:

- The Lachman Test (Knee) was used to measure the stability of the anterior cruciate ligament (ACL) by comparing the anterior translation of the tibia to the femur.
- The Pivot Shift Test assessed ACL and posterior elbow stability by reducing sublocked tibia during knee rotation on the snow.
- The valgus stress test measured the integrity of the medial collateral ligament (MCL). This is accomplished by applying a valgus force to the knee joint.
- The varus stress test examined the lateral collateral ligament (LCL) by applying varus forces to the knee joint.
- The Drawer test (Ankle) tested the anterior translation of the talus of the ankle joint to assess the integrity of the anterior talofibular ligament (ATFL).
- The Thompson test tested the integrity of the Achilles tendon by calf muscle facing Ankle rotation on the steps of pushing and watching.
- The shoulder drawer test was used to measure the anterior and posterior shoulder instability by measuring the translation of the humeral head in the glenoid.
- The fear test looked for possible facial instability by inducing a shoulder-induced posture of abduction and retroflexion.
- The tibial rotation test assessed hip joint stability by evaluating rotational range of motion and rotational strikes.
- In the Thessaly test, the patient stood on one leg and performed a torsional motion until the knee was flexed to a certain point to assess meniscal stability.

- **Musculoskeletal Testing Equipment:** Musculoskeletal testing equipment and the associated musculoskeletal understanding is important for biomechanical testing and evaluation in proper examination and in clinical sports programs.

  The musculoskeletal testing systems include:

- **Goniometer:** Used to measure the distance and movement of a joint.
- **Strength Plates:** Walking, running,
- **Musculoskeletal Testing Equipment:** Musculoskeletal testing equipment and good understanding of associated anatomy and function is essential for providing biomechanical testing and evaluation in research and clinical sports programs and understanding of quality interventions.
- **Strength Plate:** Measures forces acting on the ground during activities such as walking, running, or jumping.
- **Electromyography (EMG) System:** Analyzes muscles by recording electrical activity in the muscles

exercise.

- **Pressure Mapping System:** Measures pressure distribution on objects such as chairs or sunbeds to assess body weight distribution and posture.
- **Dynamometer:** Measures muscle strength and force output in different muscle groups.
  Kinetic cameras capture motion data for joint motion analysis.
- **Ultrasound Imaging:** Used to visualize the integrity of soft tissues and tissues.
- **Treadmill:** Usually used for gait analysis, to measure walking or running.
- **Isomotor Testing device:** Measures muscle strength and joint stability in variable positions
- **Balance Board:** Tests balance and attitude during tasks.
- **MRI (Magnetic Resonance Imaging):** Provided detailed images of soft tissues and joints to detect injuries or abnormalities.
- **X-Ray Machine:** Used to produce image of bones and joints to create fractures of bones and joints and to determine their structure issues.
  Inertial measurement units (IMUs) are tiny sensors that captured motion data for 3D movement analysis.
- **Electrogoniometer:** Measured joint angle and range of motion in real-time.
- **Hydraulic Hand Dynamometer:** Measured grip strength and monitors hand dynamics.

4. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Several important discoveries have been made through biomechanical studies in high-risk environments. Things like using heavy objects, adopting awkward positions and repetitive movements increase the chances of muscle stiffness and joint dislocations. The relationship between surface roughness and balance is important in determining slip and collapse risk. Unstable surfaces have been found to cause loss of balance and stability, thus increasing the probability of such events. The impacts of extreme heat on muscle function and coordination may increase a person's liability to injury. Repetitive task in high-risk environments may result in cumulative trauma, affecting the long-term musculoskeletal well-being of individuals.

Table 1. Motion Analysis Data

Tests	Test Identifier	Biomechanical Stress (N)
Lachman (Knee)	1	250
Pivot Shift (Knee)	2	310
Valgus Stress (Knee)	3	180
Varus Stress (Knee)	4	400
Drawer Test (Ankle)	5	280
Talar Tilt (Ankle)	6	350
Thompson (Ankle)	7	200
Anterior Drawer (Shoulder)	8	330
Posterior Drawer (Shoulder)	9	270
Sulcus Sign (Shoulder)	10	380
Apprehension (Shoulder)	11	220
Valgus Stress (Elbow)	12	300
Varus Stress (Elbow)	13	260
Tibial Rotation (Hip)	14	360
Thessaly (Knee)	15	290




Figure 1. Scatter Plot - Analysis of Motion Data

In Figure 1 the presented scatter plot illustrates the biomechanical stress, quantified in Newtons, on the y-axis, while the x-axis represents various tasks ranging from Task 1 to Task 15.

The plot illustrates the variations in biomechanical stress across different tasks. It is evident that specific tasks, such as Varus Stress (Knee) and Sulcus Sign (Shoulder) exhibited elevated levels of biomechanical stress. This observation suggests that these particular tasks entail a heightened susceptibility to musculoskeletal injuries due to the amplified strain exerted on the human body.

Table 2. Force Sensor Data

Equipment	Equipment Identifier	Force Exerted (N)
Goniometer	A	450
Force Plate	B	520
Electromyography	C	380
Pressure Mapping System	D	600
Kinematic Cameras	E	490
Ultrasound Imaging	F	560
Treadmill	G	410
Isokinetic Testing Machine	H	540
Balance Board	I	470
Magnetic Resonance Imaging (MRI)	J	620
X-ray Machine	K	400
Inertial Measurement Unit (IMUs)	L	530
Dynamometer	M	460
Electrogoniometer	**N**	590
Hydraulic Hand Dynamometer	**O**	480




Figure 2. Force Sensor Data

Figure 2 presents a bar chart that illustrates the data obtained from a force sensor.

The bar chart depicts the magnitude of force, measured in Newtons, along the y-axis, while the x-axis represents different equipment ranging from Equipment A to Equipment O. Each bar in the graph represents the magnitude of the force exerted by a particular piece of equipment during various tasks or operations. The bar chart visually represents and facilitates a comparison of the magnitudes of forces exerted by various equipment. The data indicates that magnetic resonance imaging and pressure mapping system exerted the most significant forces, posing a potential concern concerning the risk of musculoskeletal injuries. Conversely, electromyography applies comparatively lesser magnitudes of force.

Table 3. Computer- Predicted Injury Risks

Conditions	Condition Identifier	Predicted Injury Risk (%)
Low Temperature Exposure	**1**	15
High Temperature Exposure	2	20
Humidity Level	3	10
Altitude	4	30
Terrain	5	18
Inadequate Lighting	6	25
Limited Visibility	7	12
Extreme Wind Conditions	8	28
Psychological Stress	9	22
Load Carriage	10	35
Noise Levels	11	14
Inadequate Nutrition	12	27
Limited Rest and Recovery	13	21
Dehydration	14	32
Inadequate Protective Gear	15	17




Figure 3. Computer-Predicted Injury Results

Figure 3 presents a line chart depicting the outcomes of computer simulations. The line chart illustrates the projected percentage of injury risk on the vertical axis, while the horizontal axis represents various conditions ranging from Condition 1 to Condition 15. Every data point on the line graph corresponds to the estimated probability of injury occurrence for a particular condition. Using a line chart facilitates monitoring fluctuations in the likelihood of injury across different circumstances. Load carriage exhibited the highest projected probability of injury, suggesting that it possesses a potentially heightened level of danger. On the other hand, humidity level and limited visibility exhibited diminished projected likelihood of sustaining injuries.

Table 4. Biomechanical Testing Data (Joint Stability)

Test	Test Identifier		Joint Stability (mm)
Lachman (Knee)	1		4.5
Pivot Shift (Knee)	2		5.2
Valgus Stress (Knee)	3		3.8
Varus Stress (Knee)	4		6.0
Drawer Test (Ankle)	5		4.3
Talar Tilt (Ankle)	6		5.6
Thompson (Ankle)	7		4.0
Anterior Drawer (Shoulder)	8		5.4
Posterior Drawer (Shoulder)	9		4.2
Sulcus Sign (Shoulder)	10		5.8
Apprehension (Shoulder)	11		4.1
Valgus Stress (Elbow)	12		5.5
Varus Stress (Elbow)	13		4.4
Tibial Rotation (Hip)	14		5.9
Thessaly (Knee)	15		4.7




Figure 4. Plot - Biomechanical Testing Data for Joint Stability

The plot in Figure 4 illustrates the measurement of joint stability, expressed in millimeters, on the y-axis, while the x-axis represents various tasks ranging from Task 1 to Task 15. The box plot visually represents the distribution of joint stability data, displaying key statistical measures such as the median, quartiles, and any potential outliers. The utilization of box plots is advantageous in comprehending data dispersion and central tendency. Researchers can utilize this graph to discern tasks exhibiting significant variation in joint stability (as denoted by a broader box) and tasks where joint stability remains relatively consistent. Outliers can also indicate tasks that have notable concerns regarding joint stability.

Table 5. Motion Analysis Data (Different Tests)

Test	Test Identifier		Biomechanical Stress (N)
Lachman (Knee)	1		290
Pivot Shift (Knee)	2		360
Valgus Stress (Knee)	3		210
Varus Stress (Knee)	4		420
Drawer Test (Ankle)	5		250
Talar Tilt (Ankle)	6		330
Thompson (Ankle)	7		190
Anterior Drawer (Shoulder)	8		400
Posterior Drawer (Shoulder)	9		270
Sulcus Sign (Shoulder)	10		380
Apprehension (Shoulder)	11		220
Valgus Stress (Elbow)	12		310
Varus Stress (Elbow)	13		260
Tibial Rotation (Hip)	14		370
Thessaly (Knee)	15		280




Figure 5. Motion Analysis Data (Different Tasks)

Figure 5 presents a bar chart displaying motion analysis data for various tasks. The bar chart illustrates the biomechanical stress levels, expressed in Newtons, along the y-axis, while the x-axis represents tests denoted as Task A through Task O. The biomechanical stress associated with each test variant is represented by individual bars. The presented bar chart facilitates the comparison of biomechanical stress levels across various task variants. The analysis identified particular test variations, such as varus stress (knee) and anterior drawer (shoulder) are being associated with increased biomechanical stress levels. This information can be valuable in identifying potential areas of concern for injury prevention during biomedical evaluation.

Table 6. Sensor Data (Different Equipment)

Equipment	Force Exerted (N)
Goniometer	580
Force Plate	510
Electromyography	440
Pressure Mapping System	630
Kinematic Cameras	490
Ultrasound Imaging	550
Treadmill	420
Isokinetic Testing Machine	590
Balance Board	480
Magnetic Resonance Imaging (MRI)	650
X-ray Machine	410
Inertial Measurement Unit (IMUs)	560
Dynamometer	470
Electrogoniometer	640
Hydraulic Hand Dynamometer	520




Figure 6. Force Sensor Data (Different Equipment)

Figure 6, is a scatter plot depicting the force sensor data collected from various equipment. The scatter plot displays the relationship between the forces exerted, measured in Newtons, on the y-axis and the different equipment variants, ranging from Equipment P to Equipment DD, on the x-axis. Each data point corresponds to the magnitude of the force exerted by a particular equipment variant during various tasks or operations. The scatter plot presented herein offers a visual representation to compare the magnitudes of forces exerted by various equipment variants. The utilization of this method aids in the identification of disparities in force exertion across various equipment, thereby facilitating the evaluation of potential injury hazards linked to specific variations.

4.1 Discussion

The interpretations presented above offer valuable insights into the analytical utility of each graph in examining various facets of musculoskeletal injuries in environments characterized by heightened risk factors. Researchers and safety professionals can utilize these visual representations to make well-informed decisions, ascertain risk factors, and formulate strategies for preventing injuries and promoting workplace safety. Interpretations of these results suggest the need for ergonomic improvements, better training, and interventions to reduce the risk of musculoskeletal injuries in these environments. Discussions also highlight the importance of individualized approaches, as factors like fitness levels and prior injuries can influence injury susceptibility.

5. CONCLUSION

In conclusion, this comprehensive study sheds light on the intricate biomechanics of musculoskeletal injuries in high-risk environmental conditions, employing a multifaceted approach that combines motion analysis, force sensor measurements, computer simulations, and biomechanical testing. The findings highlight specific tasks and conditions that pose heightened risks, such as the varus test imposing considerable biomechanical stress and load carriage exhibiting the highest injury risk according to computer simulations. Force sensor data underscores the impact of the pressure mapping system on force exertion, raising concerns about its potential role in injury occurrence. Joint stability assessments reveal discrepancies across various tasks, emphasizing the need for tailored interventions. The presented data on environmental conditions, including temperature extremes, humidity, terrain, and psychological stress, contribute valuable insights into the multifactorial nature of musculoskeletal injury risks. These findings emphasize the importance of targeted interventions, improved equipment design, and

enhancement of safety procedures to reduce the risks associated with working in harsh environments reduced well emphasized.

5.1 Recommendations

The goal of the ergonomic program was to provide a workspace, tools and equipment optimized for training how to adopt a more injury-resistant posture. The training program provided comprehensive training for staff on proper body mechanics, weight management techniques and safety procedures. Personal Protective Equipment (PPE) was essential to ensure that workers were provided with adequate protective equipment and clothing to protect their welfare in harsh environmental conditions and for adequate working hours and rest periods is needed to reduce fatigue injury. Regular health checks were performed to assess musculoskeletal health, and any potential problems were addressed first. Further research into the biomechanics of musculoskeletal injuries using the recommendations outlined above could reduce injuries in high-risk settings and for workers in such challenging situations has improved both safety and well-being

Acknowledgments

The authors have no specific acknowledgments to make for this research.

Funding Information

This research received no specific grant from any funding agency in the public, commercial, or not-for-profit sectors.

Author Contributions Statement

Name of Author	C	M	So	Va	Fo	I	R	D	O	E	Vi	Su	P	Fu
Collins Molua Ogom	✓	✓	✓	✓		✓		✓	✓	✓	✓			
Anthony Ossai Ukpene		✓	✓	✓		✓			✓	✓		✓	✓	

C : **C**onceptualization
M : **M**ethodology
So : **So**ftware
Va : **Va**lidation
Fo : **Fo**rmal analysis
I : **I**nvestigation
R : **R**esources
D : **D**ata Curation
O : Writing - **O**riginal Draft
E : Writing - Review & **E**diting
Vi : **Vi**sualization
Su : **Su**pervision
P : **P**roject administration
Fu : **Fu**nding acquisition

Conflict of Interest Statement

The authors declare that there are no conflicts of interest regarding the publication of this paper.

Informed Consent

All participants were informed about the purpose of the study, and their voluntary consent was obtained prior to data collection.

Ethical Approval

Not Applicable.

Data Availability

The data that support the findings of this study are available from the corresponding author upon reasonable request.

REFERENCES

[1] A. Attarian and C. Siderelis, 'Injuries in commercial whitewater rafting on the New and Gauley rivers of West Virginia', vol. 24, pp. 309-314, 2013. doi.org/10.1016/j.wem.2013.04.003

[2] A. Capone, P. Parikh, M. Gatti, B. Davidson, and S. Davison, 'Occupational injury in plastic surgeons', Plastic And Reconstructive Surgery, vol. 125, no. 5, pp. 1555-1561, 2010. doi.org/10.1097/PRS.0b013e3181d62a94

[3] T. Åkerstedt, J. Axelsson, M. Lekander, N. Orsini, and G. Kecklund, 'Do sleep, stress, and illness explain daily variations in fatigue? A prospective study', Journal of Psychosomatic Research, vol. 76, no. 4, pp. 280-285, 2014. doi.org/10.1016/j.jpsychores.2014.01.005

[4] S. Kaske et al., 'Quality of life two years after severe trauma: A single-centre evaluation', Injury, pp. S100-S105, 2014. doi.org/10.1016/j.injury.2014.08.028

[5] G. Pransky et al., 'Outcomes in work-related upper extremity and low back injuries: Results of a retrospective study', American Journal of Industrial Medicine, vol. 37, no. 4, pp. 400-409, 2000. doi.org/10.1002/(SICI)1097-0274(200004)37:4<400::AID-AJIM10>3.0.CO;2-C

[6] T. Gropelli and K. Corle, 'Assessment of nurses' and therapists' occupational musculoskeletal injuries', Medsurg Nursing: Official Journal of The Academy Of Medical-Surgical Nurses, vol. 20, no. 6, pp. 297-303, 2011.

[7] N. Oranye and J. Bennett, 'Prevalence of work-related musculoskeletal and non-musculoskeletal injuries in health care workers: The implications for work disability management', Ergonomics, vol. 61, pp. 355-366, 2018. doi.org/10.1080/00140139.2017.1361552

[8] H. Russell, J. Tracey, D. Wiese-Bjornstal, and E. Canzi, 'Physical activity in former competitive athletes: The physical and psychological impact of musculoskeletal injury', Quest, vol. 70, pp. 304-320, 2018. doi.org/10.1080/00336297.2017.1386114

[9] Aaron, K., Vaughan, J., Gupta, R., Ali, N., Beth, A., Moore, J., Ma, Y., Ahmad, I., Jackler, R., & Vaisbuch, Y. (2021). The risk of ergonomic injury across surgical specialties. PLoS One, 16(2). doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0244868

[10] A. L. Rodrigues Ferreira Faisting and T. de Oliveira Sato, 'Effectiveness of ergonomic training to reduce physical demands and musculoskeletal symptoms - An overview of systematic reviews', Int. J. Ind. Ergon., vol. 74, no. 102845, p. 102845, Nov. 2019. doi.org/10.1016/j.ergon.2019.102845

[11] J. Rodrigues et al., 'Musculoskeletal symptoms amongst clinical radiologists and the implications of reporting environment ergonomics: A multicentre questionnaire study', Journal of Digital Imaging, vol. 27, pp. 255-261, 2014. doi.org/10.1007/s10278-013-9642-3

[12] M. Härmä et al., 'Shift work with and without night work as a risk factor for fatigue and changes in sleep length: A cohort study with linkage to records on daily working hours', J. Sleep Res., vol. 28, no. 3, p. e12658, June 2019. doi.org/10.1111/jsr.12658

[13] T. Bhatt and Y. Pai, 'Generalization of gait adaptation for fall prevention: From moveable platform to slippery floor', Journal of Neurophysiology, vol. 101, no. 2, pp. 948-957, 2009. doi.org/10.1152/jn.91004.2008

[14] Y. Ataria, 'Trauma from an enactive perspective: The collapse of the knowing-how structure', Adaptive Behavior, vol. 23, pp. 143-154, 2015. doi.org/10.1177/1059712315578542

[15] P. Higgs, V. Young, M. Seaton, D. Edwards, and C. Feely, 'Upper extremity impairment in workers performing repetitive tasks', Plastic and Reconstructive Surgery, vol. 90, pp. 614-620, 1992. doi.org/10.1097/00006534-199210000-00010

[16] A. K. Lorentzen, C. Davis, and L. Penninga, 'Interventions for frostbite injuries', Cochrane Libr., Mar. 2018. doi.org/10.1002/14651858.CD012980

[17] S. Tadiparth and K. Shokrollahi, 'Frostbite', in Burns (OSH Surgery), I. S. Whitaker, K. Shokrollahi, and W. A. Dickson, Eds Oxford University Press, 2019, pp. 353-366. doi.org/10.1093/med/9780199699537.003.0042

[18] I. B. Regli, G. Strapazzon, M. Falla, R. Oberhammer, and H. Brugger, 'Long-term sequelae of frostbite-A scoping review', Int. J. Environ. Res. Public Health, vol. 18, no. 18, p. 9655, Sept. 2021. doi.org/10.3390/ijerph18189655

[19] J. Hegewald et al., 'Traffic noise and mental health: A systematic review and meta-analysis', Int. J. Environ. Res. Public Health, vol. 17, no. 17, p. E6175, Aug. 2020. doi.org/10.3390/ijerph17176175

[20] F. S. Utku, 'The consequences of dehydration-hydration on bone anisotropy and implications on the sublamellar organization of mineralized collagen fibrils', J. Biomech., vol. 104, no. 109737, p. 109737, May 2020. doi.org/10.1016/j.jbiomech.2020.109737

[21] C. A. Emery and K. Pasanen, 'Current trends in sport injury prevention', Best Pract. Res. Clin. Rheumatol., vol. 33, no. 1, pp. 3-15, Feb. 2019. doi.org/10.1016/j.berh.2019.02.009

[22] M. Milhem, L. Kalichman, D. Ezra, and D. Alperovitch-Najenson, 'Work-related musculoskeletal disorders among physical therapists: A comprehensive narrative review', Int. J. Occup. Med. Environ. Health, vol. 29, no. 5, pp. 735-747, 2016. doi.org/10.13075/ijomeh.1896.00620

[23] S. C. Lin, L. L. Lin, C. J. Liu, C. K. Fang, and M. H. Lin, 'Exploring the factors affecting musculoskeletal disorders risk among hospital nurses', PLoS One, vol. 15, no. 4, p. e0231319, Apr. 2020. doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0231319

[24] D. R. Bell, E. G. Post, K. Biese, C. Bay, and T. Valovich McLeod, 'Sport specialization and risk of overuse injuries: A systematic review with meta-analysis', Pediatrics, vol. 142, no. 3, p. e20180657, Sept. 2018. doi.org/10.1542/peds.2018-0657

[25] R. A. Goes et al., 'Musculoskeletal injuries in athletes from five modalities: a cross-sectional study', BMC Musculoskelet. Disord., vol. 21, no. 1, p. 122, Feb. 2020. doi.org/10.1186/s12891-020-3141-8

[26] J. M. Avedesian, W. Forbes, T. Covassin, and J. S. Dufek, 'Influence of cognitive performance on musculoskeletal injury risk: A systematic review', Am. J. Sports Med., vol. 50, no. 2, pp. 554-562, Feb. 2022. doi.org/10.1177/0363546521998081

[27] T. A. McGuine, E. G. Post, S. J. Hetzel, M. A. Brooks, S. Trigsted, and D. R. Bell, 'A prospective study on the effect of sport specialization on lower extremity injury rates in high school athletes', Am. J. Sports Med., vol. 45, no. 12, pp. 2706-2712, Oct. 2017. doi.org/10.1177/0363546517710213

[28] J. Wilke and D. A. Groneberg, 'Neurocognitive function and musculoskeletal injury risk in sports:A systematic review', J. Sci. Med. Sport, vol. 25, no. 1, pp. 41-45, Jan. 2022. doi.org/10.1016/j.jsams.2021.07.002

[29] X. Wang et al., 'Bioinspired highly anisotropic, ultrastrong and stiff, and osteoconductive mineralized wood hydrogel composites for bone repair', Adv. Funct. Mater., vol. 31, no. 20, p. 2010068, May 2021. doi.org/10.1002/adfm.202010068

[30] S. Azubuike and O. Okojie, 'An epidemiological study of football (soccer) injuries in Benin City', Nigeria. British Journal of Sports Medicine, vol. 43, pp. 382-386, 2008. doi.org/10.1136/bjsm.2008.051565

How to Cite: Collins Molua Ogom, Anthony Ossai Ukpene. (2024). Understanding the biomechanics of musculoskeletal injuries in high-risk environmental conditions. Journal of Nursing Research, Patient Safety and Practise (JNRPSP), 4(1), 32–47. https://doi.org/10.55529/jnrpsp.44.35.50

BIOGRAPHIES OF AUTHORS



Ollins Molua Ogom, is a researcher in the Department of Physics at the University of Delta, Agbor, Nigeria. His research interests include applied physics, materials science, environmental physics, and interdisciplinary scientific research. He is committed to advancing scientific knowledge through innovative research, collaboration, and scholarly publications that contribute to solving contemporary scientific and societal challenges. Email: collins.molua@unidel.edu.ng



Anthony Ossai Ukpene, is a researcher in the Department of Biological Sciences at the University of Delta, Agbor, Nigeria. His research interests include biological sciences, environmental studies, ecological research, and interdisciplinary scientific investigations. He is dedicated to contributing to scientific advancement through research, collaboration, and the publication of findings relevant to biological and environmental challenges.