



Evaluating the Efficacy of India's Coalition Governments

A. Panneerselvam*

*Research Scholar of Political science Department of Political science Annamalai University
Tamil Nadu, India.

Corresponding Email: *selvampaneera@gmail.com

Received: 03 August 2021 **Accepted:** 25 October 2021 **Published:** 27 November 2021

Abstract: Nowadays, alliance is typical in many regions of the planet. The Nordic Countries, the Benelux Countries, Australia, Austria, Germany, Italy, Japan, Turkey, Israel, New Zealand, Kosovo, Pakistan, Kenya, India, Trinidad and Tobago, Thailand, and Ukraine are instances of nations that regularly have coalition governments. Other countries that have frequent coalition governments include the countries of the Benelux and Germany. Since 1959 until 2008, Switzerland was led by a coalition government consisting of the four parties who held the most parliamentary seats. The fact that India opted for democracy and that we have been working toward maintaining a robust democratic system for almost 75 years now counts as a significant accomplishment. In India, the study of coalitions is still in its very early stages and is a relatively new field of academic endeavour. Nevertheless, it might turn out to be of tremendous significance for our nation. The development of democracy must necessarily progress through this stage of coalition building. They might represent a logical step in the process of transitioning from a multi-party system to a bi-party system in India, which is a country that has more than a hundred different political parties. In this study, several aspects of coalition governments and the history of coalition governance in India are examined and discussed. In order to arrive at a conclusion, the research used both historical and descriptive methods. In this study, a substantial amount of time was spent using a thematic software programme to analyze the qualitative data, which consisted of information obtained from secondary sources.

Keywords: Coalition, Trends, Objectives, Federalism, Democracy.

1. INTRODUCTION

At the point when various ideological groups meet up to lay out a solitary government, this kind of organization is known as a coalition government. The commonplace legitimization for such a plan is that following a political race, no single party has figured out how to get a flat out larger part of the vote. The Latin word "coalitio," from which we obtain the word



"coalition," meaning "to grow together," and the name "coalition" comes from that word. Therefore, the act of merging pieces into one body or whole is what is meant by the technical definition of coalition. When discussing politics, the term "coalition" refers to an alliance between separate political parties. When it becomes clear that no single political party will be able to secure a majority of votes in a modern parliament, coalitions are often formed. In the wake of agreeing on a typical program that doesn't include such a large number of huge concessions with each party's particular thoughts, at least two gatherings that have an adequate number of chosen individuals between them for structure a larger part may then have the option to continue with the development of a government.

A coalition government may also be formed during a time of national difficulty or crisis (for instance, during wartime or an economic crisis), with the purpose of providing a government with a high degree of perceived political legitimacy or collective identity. Such a government may also play a role in reducing the amount of political strife that exists within the country. In India, the study of coalitions is still in its very early stages and has only just become popular. On the other hand, it might turn out to be of tremendous significance for our nation. The development of democracy must necessarily progress through this stage of coalition building. They might represent a logical step in the process of transitioning from a multi-party system to a bi-party system in India, which is a country that has more than a hundred different political parties. Apart from the Congress Party, the Muslim League (up until the partition), the Hindu Mahasabha, the Republican Party, and a number of other minor parties were involved in the first government ever formed in India, which was led by Pt. Jawaharlal Nehru between the years 1946 and 1952.

This government can be accurately described as a coalition government. In the early years of India's independence, when the First General Elections were held in 1952, the Congress party continued to hold a near-monopoly on power throughout the country, both at the centre and in the states. The Indian political system is currently characterized by coalition politics. At the level of all of India, there is not a single party that can credibly assert that it commands an absolute majority.

The Congress party faced a genuine challenge for the very first time in its history during the elections held in 1967, which were the fourth in a series. It may have won the majority in the Lok Sabha, but it was defeated in the majority of the state assembly. A shock was given to the peculiarity of couple deciding in favor of the absolute first time at that exact second. The aftereffects of the elections for the Vidhan Sabha and the Lok Sabha were totally different. The Indian political system is as of now described by coalition politics. At the level of India, there is all not a solitary party that can believably declare that it orders an outright greater part. Because of the way that no political party in the present climate is in a situation to frame the public authority all alone, endeavors to produce alliance start very quickly after the election results are declared. Any coalition that is successful in amassing a greater number of votes in the Lok Sabha can stake its claim to the position of leading the government.

2. METHODOLOGY

Ex post facto and analytical research are both types of research that the current study falls



under. Therefore, the methodology used is historical and descriptive in character. As a result, the study makes use of both primary and secondary data. Books, periodicals, journals, and national and worldwide periodical reports serve as the secondary sources. The research is qualitative in character, and it makes heavy use of the thematic software tool QADMAX to analyze the qualitative data obtained from secondary sources.

Research Objectives

- To explain and evaluate the working of coalition in the Indian government.
- To shed a light on trends and changing nature of Coalition pilots in India.
- To suggest measures for strengthening the coalition politics in India

3. DISCUSSION AND RESULT

India attained independence in 1947, and Congress, as a political party, was largely responsible for this outcome.

The Congress party's chiefs around then were exceptional figures who were dedicated to their objective and the party they addressed. Mahatma Gandhi was the obvious pioneer; however others of his type were Jawahar Lai Nehru, Sardar Vallabhbhai Patel, Dr. Rajendra Prasad, C. Rajagopalacharya, Pattabhi Sitaramayya, Sarojini Naidu, Subhash Chandra Bose, and an enormous number of others from all positions and statements of faith. There were Dr. B.R. Ambedkar and Kamraj in South among the lower standings, as well as Khan Abdul Gaffar Khan, Abul Kalam Azad, and Rafi Ahmed Kidwai among the Muslims. Everybody was taking a stab at opportunity and cooperating to battle against unfamiliar oppression; standings and doctrines were rarely thought of Politics back then was about releasing the foreign power's chains, not about gaining power. The methods might have been slightly different, but the goals and the objects were entirely the same. Because he disagreed with Mahatma Gandhi's philosophy of nonviolence, Subhash Chandra Bose resigned as president of the Congress. Youthful, enthusiastic progressives like Chandrashekhar Azad, Sardar Bhagat Singh, Ashfaqullah, Ras Behari Bose, and others tried to force their will on society through force. The Gandhian harmony strategy made them feel agitated and anxious. There was ideal solidarity in accomplishing the one reason - freedom from the unfamiliar burden — in spite of this large number of philosophical variations in the structures and means. These pioneers had moral person, commitment, and confidence. India acquired autonomy in 1947, however public legislative issues had proactively begun to multiply. The Muslim League and Jinnah were reluctant to acknowledge anything short of Pakistan instead of Hindustan, which was Bharat, as their own free country. Starting here on, position and local area breaks began to show.

Concerning abused class — the Shudras in the past position framework — that the British alluded to as assigned ranks, Mahatma Gandhi additionally had his own thoughts and objectives. Mahatma Gandhi worked energetically to work on the part of this abused class and coordinate them into the country's social texture. He gave them another name: he alluded to them as "Harijans." Dr. Ambedkar, an extraordinary lawful mastermind and one of the composers of the Constitution of Free India who is likewise an individual from the Scheduled Caste, made an arrangement conceding proportion to the Scheduled Castes in issues of



occupations, and so forth. Notwithstanding, he visualized a time of fifteen years as adequate to inspire this class and bring it instructively and socially comparable to the purported higher classes. This sensation of compassion toward this class acquired such ground. The governmental issues of gatherings and positions, which were new to governmental issues, started along these lines. Hindus and Muslims, India's two biggest networks, encountered a timeless break following the formation of Pakistan, and the "Harijan" factor pointedly expanded attention to the need to give specific gatherings fair portrayal in all areas, prompting the reception and acknowledgment of the approach of reservation.

All of this had significant political repercussions, shaped the nation's politics in the future, and served as the foundation for coalition governments.

3.1 Features of Coalition Government

Reward, whether material or emotional, is the driving force behind the formation of coalitions. At least two partners are required for it to be considered a coalition. The fundamental idea behind a coalition structure is based on the straightforward realization that there can be a momentary convergence of various interests. Legislative issues in light of coalitions are definitely not a static yet rather a powerful endeavor since members and gatherings inside coalitions can separate and shape new ones. Compromise is the focal precept of alliance legislative issues, and unflinching fanaticism is not welcome in that frame of mind of legislative issues. A coalition works based on a negligible program, which could conceivably be ideal for every one of the accomplices that make up the alliance. Coalition politics are recognized by their inclination for sober mindedness over way of thinking. To make important political changes, it's conceivable that standards should be deserted. The procurement of force is the objective of any modification to an alliance's cosmetics. The development of coalitions in India can happen either previously or after races. The pre-political race coalition is viewed as helpful since it gives a typical stage for all gatherings to court individuals based on a joint pronouncement. This is respected to be a benefit. The objective of shaping a post-political decision association is to enable constituents to mutually practice political power and deal with the government.

3.2 Pros and cons of coalition governments

In order for the government to carry out its duties, it is necessary to take into account a wide variety of interests. A coalition government serves as a medium through which various groups can have their needs and concerns addressed, as well as their expectations met. India is a country that contains a very diverse population. There are numerous distinct cultures, linguistic communities, religious orders, and ethnic subgroups. This indicates that the nature of the coalition government is more representational of the electorate, and that it more accurately reflects their preferences. Different political parties, each with their own distinct beliefs and objectives, come together to form a coalition government. However, in order for the government policy to be implemented, all coalition partners are required to provide their approval. Because of this, a coalition government inevitably results in politics based on consensus. The federal structure of the Indian political system is strengthened through the use of coalition politics. This is due to the fact that a coalition administration is more attuned to and attentive to the needs of certain regions. The likelihood of autocratic governance is



decreased when there is a coalition government in place. This is because there is no longer an overwhelming influence exerted by a single political party over the operation of the government. The decision-making process is open to input from the whole membership of the coalition.

They are unsound, or all the more explicitly, they are inclined to flimsiness. Various individuals from the alliance hold different perspectives, which eventually prompt the defeat of the public authority. A mainstay of the parliamentary arrangement of government is the power of the Prime Minister in political administration. Since the Prime Minister of an alliance government is supposed to deliberate with different individuals from the alliance prior to pursuing any critical choices, this standard is restricted when the public authority is comprised of alliance accomplices. The Steering Committee or the Coordination Committee of the alliance accomplice's capabilities as the "Super-Cabinet," and accordingly, it sabotages the job and position of the bureau in the activity of the public authority apparatus. There is a possibility that the less strong constituents of the alliance government will assume the part of a "ruler creator" in the forthcoming political decision. They hope for something else than simply a solid presence in the Parliament, and they maintain that the heads of provincial gatherings should have a voice in the dynamic cycle at the public level. They utilize the possibility of passing on the alliance as influence to apply strain on the focal chief to act as per the positions they have taken. The individuals from the alliance legislatures don't get a sense of ownership with the managerial goofs and downfalls that have happened. They could participate in futile blame shifting and subsequently vindicate themselves of any mutual or individual obligation.

Period	Coalition	Prime Minister (Party)
1977-1979	Janata Party	Morarji Desai (Congress (O))
1979-1980	Janta Party (Secular)	Charan Singh (Janata (S))
1989-1990	National Front	V.P Singh (Janata Dal)
1990-1991	Socialist) or SamajwadiJanata Party	.Shekar (Janata Dal (S) orSamajwadi Party)
1996-1997	United Front	H..D Deve Gowda (Janata Dal)
1997-1998	United Front	I.K Gujral (Janata Dal)

1997-1998	BJP-led Coalition	A.B. Vajpayee (BJP)
1999-2004	Democratic Alliance(NDA)	A.B. Vajpayee (BJP)
2004-2009	United Progressive Alliance (UPA)	Manmohan Singh (Congress)
2009-2014	Progressive Alliance – II(UPA-II)	Manmohan Singh (Congress)
2014-2019	Democratic Alliance(NDA)	Narendra Modi (BJP)
2019-present	Democratic Alliance(NDA)	Narendra Modi (BJP)

Source: BYJUES.COM

3.3 Suggestions

If the coalition administrations in India wish to be effective over the long term, they should give careful consideration to the following points: The Prime Minister should be the one to make all of the significant choices, and he or she should not defer to the influence of other alliance members or emissaries of the party supreme. It was a blow not just to the prestige of the Prime Minister but also to the overall strength of the administration as a whole. Since his status is raised to a higher degree as a result of this, we are in a position to assert that the Prime Minister must originate from the Lok Sabha. A selected member of the Rajya Sabha does not receive the respect of other elected politicians in the same way that an elected Prime Minister does. This is because an elected Prime Minister has earned the respect of other elected politicians. The Indian bureaucracy has a higher level of respect for the person who has been elected to the position of Prime Minister of India. The pre-election alliance is preferable to the post-election arrangements because political partners who enter an election with a robust common minimum programme obviously have a better chance of providing a good government. This is why the pre-election alliance is preferable to the post-election arrangements. Because of this, the alliance that was formed before the election is preferable than the arrangements that were made after the election. It is more important to give careful consideration to the ideological underpinnings of the partnership than to the opportunities that they bring. It is critical that there be as little lag time as possible between the approval of regulations in the parties' constitutions and the following execution of the rules that have been adopted. It is imperative that each and every item of the party constitution be rigorously implemented. It is impossible to deny that a powerful opposition would have been capable of shouldering the responsibility of paying attention to the ongoing evolution of policies and of ensuring that these developments were kept in front of parliament and the people. They



would have been able to do this because they would have had the ability to ensure that these developments were brought to the attention of parliament and the people. The opposition had the potential to be an effective player in the process of nation building during the crucial time that immediately followed the country's independence from colonial rule. This critical period was soon after the country gained its independence from colonial rule.

4. CONCLUSION

A democratic government operates as a trustee of the interests of the entire population, including the people who are critical of and opposed to the policies and acts enacted by the government. This responsibility extends to all members of the public. From this particular vantage point, it may be said that the existence of a parliamentary democracy is contingent upon the existence of a party system that is functional. The principal mechanism for gaining political majorities and carrying out the functions of political power is the political party, which operates in this capacity as the primary mechanism. It acts as a go-between for the people and their own government, representing their interests in both arenas. The political parties are in charge of the procedures that need to be carried out so that important government personnel can be selected and qualified, and then the parties grant these employees the authority to formulate, carry out, supervise, and interpret policy. Coalition governments are a term used to refer to minority administrations in the centre that are formed with the support of the coalition of regional parties. However, sadly, regional parties do not place a high importance on safeguarding national interests; as a consequence, they do not stand united to ensure that the government is able to carry out its duties in an efficient manner. Coalition government will never experience the apocalypse, as a large number of regional political parties will continue to emerge at each election, dividing votes based on communalism, caste, religion, and other factors. In the sake of the nation, it is crucial for political parties to immediately adopt a strategy based on morality. Coalition governance would show to be a great success for India's diverse population if only the government acted in the best interests of the people. All of this will be feasible only when political leaders recognize that their government is "government of, by, and for the people."

Announcement of Conflicting Interests

With regard to evaluation, writer transportation, and allocation of this article, the author declared that there was no usual irredeemable scenario.

Funding

The writer got no monetary help for the examination, initiation, and furthermore allotment of this article.

Acknowledgement

Without the help and encouragement of all of our understudies, this research would not have been feasible in any manner. I should take action to show my sincere gratitude to all of the professionals who contributed to this post by working really hard to produce the articles that are linked to it and who worked with me to develop a method for objectively evaluating the progression of my work. I have a strong sense of gratitude for what they have done for me. I should take this time to sincerely thank each and every one of you.



5. REFERENCES

1. Austin, G. (1999). *The Indian Constitution: Cornerstone of a Nation*. Oxford: Oxford University Press.
2. Bhambhri, C.P. (2010). *Coalition Politics in India*. Delhi: Shipra Publications.
3. Brar, J. S. (1988). *Coalition Politics in India: Problems and Prospects*. In G. Singh, *South Asia: Democracy, Discontent and Societal Conflicts*. Delhi: Anamika Publishers and Distributors.
4. Chakrabarty, B. (2008). *Indian Politics and Society since Independence: Events, Processes and Ideology*. New York: Routledge.
4. Chander, Prakash (Ed.), “Coalition Politics in India,” km\o\ Publications, New Delhi, 2000.
5. Karunakaran, K. P. (Ed.), “Coalition Governments in India - Problems and Prospects,” Indian Institute of Advanced Study, Simla, 1975.
6. Kashyap, C. Subhash (Ed.), “Coalition Government and Politics in India,” Uppal Publishing House, New Delhi, 1997.
7. Kumar, R. (2010). *General Election 2009 Diminishing Returns of Rhetoric and Slogans*, Vol. 2.
8. Delhi: Deep and Deep Publications
9. Limaye, M. & Mehrotra, N. C. (1982). *Politics after Freedom*. Delhi: Atma Ram and Sons.
10. Livingston, W.S. (1956). *Federalism and Constitutional Change*. Oxford: The Clarendon Press.
11. Maheshwari, S. R., ‘Coalition Government 1976 Indian Experience: 1946-1996,’ ‘Politics in India,’ New Delhi, July 1996, p.23.
12. Malik, A. (2011). *The beastly beatitudes of Subramanian Swamy*. Tehelka Magazine, Issue 52.
13. Mclear, L. (1996). *Oxford Concise Dictionary of Politics*. Oxford: Oxford University Press.
14. Mukherjee, P. (2017). *The Coalition Years: 1996-2012*. New Delhi: Rupa Publications.
15. Nanda, Sukadev, “Coalitional Politics in Orissa,” Sterling Publications Pvt. Ltd., New Delhi, 1979.
16. Prasad, K. (2004). *Coalition Politics in India: The NDA Experiment*. In M. P. Singh & A. Mishra, *Coalition Politics in India: Problem and Prospects*. Delhi: Manohar Publishers.
17. Ram, D. S. (2007). *Coalition Governments in India: A Way Forward for Good Governance*. In
18. D. Sunder Ram, *Federal System and Coalition Government in India: Conflicts and Consensus in Centre-state Relations*. New Delhi: Kanishka Publishers.
19. Ramakrishnan, V. (2008). *Icon of social justice*. Frontline. (25). Retrieved from <https://www.easybib.com/reference/guide/apa/newspaper#:~:text=Last%2C%20F.%20M.,Newspaper%20Title%2C%20>
20. Ramsundar, D. (Ed.), “Coalition Politics in India: Search for Political Stability,” National Publishing House, New Delhi, 2000.
21. Riker, William. H., “The Theory of Political Coalitions,” Oxford and IBH Publishing Company, New Delhi, 1970.



22. Ruparelia, S. (2005). Managing the United Progressive Alliance: The Challenges Ahead. Economic and Political Weekly.
23. Sachadeva, S. K. (Ed.), 'From Gowda to Gujral and After/ "Competition Success Review"', New Delhi, June 1997, Pp.67-73.
24. Sahni, Naresh Chandra (Ed.), "Coalition Politics in India," New Academy Publishing Co., Jullundur, 1971.
25. Sahni, N. C. (1971). Coalition Politics in India. Jalandhar: New Academic Publication Company.
26. Singh, K., & Bala, R. (2021). Indian Democracy and Coalition Governments at National Level-Till 2014. Elementary Education Online, 19(2), 1632-1632.
27. Singh, M. P. & Mishra, A. (2004). Coalition Politics in India: Problems and Prospects. Delhi: Manohar Publishers.
28. Singh, M. P. & Raj, S. R. (2012). Indian Political System. New Delhi: Pearson.
29. Thakurta, Paranjay Guha and Raguraman, Shankar, "A Time of Coalitions - Divided We Stand ", SAGE Publication, New Delhi, 2000.
30. Yellappa, S. Coalition Governments in India: Political Perspective.